1	
2	
3	
4	STATE OF ILLINOIS
5	SENATE REDISTRICTING SUBCOMMITTEE
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 20, 2021
12	2:00 P.M.
13	
14	
15	
16	VIA ZOOM VIDEOCONFERENCE
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

	Page 2
1	PRESENT via Zoom videoconference:
2	ILLINOIS REDISTRICTING SUBCOMMITTEE:
3	SENATOR ELGIE R. SIMS, JR., Vice-Chair SENATOR SCOTT M. BENNETT, Member
4	SENATOR CRISTINA CASTRO, Member SENATOR MATTIE HUNTER, Member
5	SENATOR EMIL JONES, Member
6	SENATOR LAURA M. MURPHY, Member SENATOR JASON BARICKMAN, Minority Spokesperson
7	SENATOR STEVE McCLURE, Member SENATOR JASON PLUMMER, Member
8	SENATOR TERRI BRYANT
9	SENATE STAFF:
10	MR. JOSHUA HORELED
11	MR. GIOVANNI RANDOZZO
12	WITNESSES:
13	MS. JANETH BARBA MR. ARNIE BERNSTEIN
14	MR. JUAN CALDERON (Puerto Rican Cultural Center)
15	MS. MADELEINE DOUBEK (Change Illinois Action Fund)
16	MS. CLARE DUGGAN (Illinois 123GO)
17	MR. ANDREW ELLISON MR. DALE FAST
18	MS. CELESTE FLORES (Mano a Mano Family Resource Center)
	MR. CHRISTOPHER KANICH
19	MR. ABRAHAM MATTHEW MS. JEANETTE QUIRK
20	MS. ANNA SCHIBROWSKY
21	ALSO PRESENT:
22	MR. BRIAN BURIAN MR. MIKE HOFFMAN
23	
24	

	Page 3
1	VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Good afternoon. This is
2	the meeting of the Senate Redistricting
3	Committee. The meeting is called to order.
4	Clerk, please take roll.
5	MR. RANDOZZO: Mr. Chairman, I believe we
6	have a substitution letter from Senator
7	McConchie.
8	VICE-CHAIR SIMS: I'm looking for the
9	letter now, Mr. Clerk.
10	MR. RANDOZZO: It's Senator Bryant in
11	place of Senator McConchie, sir.
12	VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Okay. I have a letter
13	from Senator McConchie replacing Leader
14	McConchie with Senator Bryant. Welcome,
15	Senator Bryant.
16	Clerk, you can proceed with the roll.
17	MR. RANDOZZO: Perfect. Senator Bryant?
18	Senator Plummer?
19	SENATOR PLUMMER: Present.
20	MR. RANDOZZO: Senator McClure?
21	SENATOR McCLURE: Present. Senator Bryant
22	is getting re-hooked up here, so she'll be on
23	in two seconds.
24	MR. RANDOZZO: Senator Bryant?

	Page 4
1	SENATOR BRYANT: I'm on. Present.
2	MR. RANDOZZO: Senator Barickman?
3	SENATOR BARICKMAN: Present.
4	MR. RANDOZZO: Senator Murphy? Senator
5	Murphy?
6	SENATOR MURPHY: I'm sorry. I must have
7	been muted. Here.
8	MR. RANDOZZO: Senator Jones?
9	SENATOR JONES: Here.
10	MR. RANDOZZO: Senator Hunter?
11	SENATOR HUNTER: Here.
12	MR. RANDOZZO: Senator Cunningham?
13	SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: Here.
14	MR. RANDOZZO: Senator Castro?
15	SENATOR CASTRO: Here.
16	MR. RANDOZZO: Senator Bennett?
17	Vice-Chair Sims?
18	VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Present.
19	MR. RANDOZZO: And Senator Aquino is
20	absent. We have a quorum, sir.
21	VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Thank you.
22	There being a quorum present, the
23	following entities are seeking leave to
24	photograph and video today's proceedings,

	Page 5
1	Blueroom Stream. Is there leave? Leave being
2	granted. Senator Castro for a motion.
3	SENATOR CASTRO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
4	I move that this hearing be
5	transcribed by the court reporter so that the
6	Committee can have a full transcript of this
7	hearing.
8	VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Thank you, Senator.
9	Senator Castro moves to allow a court reporter
LO	to transcribe today's hearing. Is there
L1	leave? Leave being granted. The court
L2	reporter will be allowed to transcribe today's
L3	hearing.
L4	On Friday, the Congressional map
L5	proposal was posted on the House and Senate
L6	Redistricting websites. Since the posting of
L7	that map, the Redistricting Committee has
L8	received nine Congressional map proposals from
L9	members of the public. Each of those maps
20	have been posted on the Senate Districting
21	Committee's ILGA website. We've emailed those
22	individuals and invited them to testify at
23	this hearing.
04	The Committee has also received a

number of email correspondences, which has been posted on the Committee's ILGA website under the testimony tab.

Today's hearing will focus on the proposals released or submitted since the Committee began its hearing on October 1st in Des Plaines. The purpose of this hearing is to hear testimony from the public regarding what they want to see in the Congressional map for the next decade.

We have the following individuals who have signed up to testify today: Trevor
Anthony Anderson from Oak Lawn Community High
School representing himself submitted three
maps for consideration; Andrew Ellison on
behalf of himself submitted one map for
consideration; Juan Calderon on behalf of the
Puerto Rican Cultural Center; Celeste Flores
Mano a Mano Family Resource Center; Janeth
Barba on behalf of self, Clare Duggan on
behalf of Illinois 123GO; Anna Schibrowsky
representing self; Dale Fast representing
self; Arnie Bernstein representing himself;
Christopher Kanish representing himself;

Page 7
Abraham Matthew representing himself; and
Madeleine Doubek on behalf of Change Illinois
Action Fund.
Our first witness will be Mr. Trevor
Anthony Anderson on behalf of the Oak Lawn
Community High School.
SENATOR BARICKMAN: Mr. Chairman, could we
just have some housekeeping matters, maybe
before we begin with the witnesses?
VICE-CHAIR SIMS: What are those
housekeeping matters, Senator Barickman?
SENATOR BARICKMAN: I raised my hand. I
guess, first, Mr. Chairman, there was
discussion at the prior hearing and I think
the Speaker's office has confirmed the
meetings that occurred between
VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Senator Barickman, why
don't we come back to that after we have
testimony from witnesses?
SENATOR BARICKMAN: Well, here is the
question, though, Mr. Chairman, with all due
respect. Is there a sponsor of the
legislation for which we plan to vote upon?
VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Senator Barickman, why

Page 8 don't we come back to that and we can have 1 2 that discussion of your questions after we hear from witnesses? 3 SENATOR BARICKMAN: But for the witnesses' 4 5 questions, Mr. Chairman, I would like to 6 direct my questions to the sponsor of the bill 7 and I'm trying to inquire whom that person is 8 on this Committee. 9 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Senator Barickman, at this moment, we don't even know what the 10 11 witnesses are going to testify to. How about we hear from the witnesses and we come back to 12 13 your questions after we've heard from 14 witnesses? 15 SENATOR BARICKMAN: Will we hear today 16 from any witnesses regarding the judicial 17 sub-circuits, Mr. Chairman? Senator, I'm trying to 18 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: get to the witnesses so that we can hear from 19 20 I don't know what the witnesses are them. 21 going to testify to. 22 SENATOR BARICKMAN: The reason I ask, in 23 the House hearings, witnesses who tried to ask

questions regarding the judicial sub-circuits

24

	Page 9
1	were told that they could not make those
2	presentations. Does this hearing involve also
3	the judicial sub-circuits?
4	VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Senator Barickman, as
5	you know, this is not the House. Can we get
6	to the witnesses and let's hear the questions
7	that they have.
8	SENATOR BARICKMAN: Fair enough,
9	Mr. Chairman. I look forward to that.
LO	VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Thank you.
L1	So our first witness is Mr. Trevor
L2	Anthony Anderson from Oak Lawn Community High
L3	School. Mr. Anderson, you have the floor.
L4	Mr. Anderson? Going once. We can certainly
L5	come back to Mr. Anderson.
L6	Mr. Andrew Ellison on behalf of self
L7	who submitted one map for consideration.
L8	Mr. Ellison, we'll start with you,
L9	sir.
20	MR. ELLISON: Good afternoon, everyone.
21	And I just want to clarify real quick, do I
22	have the opportunity to share my screen or is
23	that restricted at this time?
24	VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Please, go ahead.

Page 10 1 MR. ELLISON: Certainly. Excellent. 2 Just to clarify again, my name is 3 Andrew Ellison. I am here representing myself. I'm not on behalf of any 4 5 organization. I'm originally from Kokomo, 6 Indiana. Last night I drove three and a half 8 hours from Kokomo, Indiana, it's the central 9 part of the state, all the way out here to 10 Springfield to give my testimony on these maps because I'm very passionate about the issue of 11 redistricting and what's happening in 12. 13 Illinois. I just want to make sure that I'm 14 having my concerns taken seriously. And so I 15 thank you very much for having this Committee 16 hearing today. 17 Just as bit of background on myself, 18 being originally from Indiana, I went to Indiana University. I graduated with a degree 19 20 in political science in 2016. 21 In terms of my experience here in 22 Illinois, my stakes here, in 2016 I lived and 23 worked in the Peoria area for Tammy 24 Duckworth's 2016 Senate campaign. In 2017 and

2018, I was Congressman Raja Krishnamoorthi's finance assistant. I was an organizer for Ann Gillespie's Senate -- state Senate campaign in 2018. And in 2019 I had the great pleasure of serving in the state house as a legislative assistant for several representatives.

And so I've felt a great attachment to Illinois in my time here. And I just want to make sure that this process is going well, to make sure that that work bears fruition.

And the final bit of my background is that this last month the Indiana Senate Democratic Caucus used my non-partisan Congressional map as their baseline counter proposal map during testimony. And so I've had a bit of professional credibility on the matter of redistricting issues at the professional level.

So in that context, I have attempted to submit my preferred proposal for a Congressional map through the portal on the redistricting website. I had difficulty getting it submitted. There were technical issues at the end that were completely

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 12 preventing me from submitting it. So I've attempted to email it to the email address for the Senate Committee as well as to the House Committee. I had some adjustments that I'll discuss a bit, but more or less it's very similar to the plan that I would like to see. So if there is any ability to talk with someone technical on that end, that would be appreciated. But the thing I would just note about that is that this hasn't just been a casual activity for me. I've spent hundreds of hours over the last couple years kind of working on, thinking over this map, perfecting it. You know, there is over 10,000 precincts, voting precincts in the state of

You know, there is over 10,000 precincts, voting precincts in the state of Illinois. And I've been very deliberate about every single precinct and where I put each one of those on the map. I put deep thought into my process on this. And so it's not just a casual concern.

I want to make sure that as Illinois moves in this next decade, that the state is

very thoughtful about its process and how it approaches these maps.

I've felt a great sense of hope in the sense of where the Democratic majority is trying to push the maps as they move forward. And I also have a great sense of concern in terms of the feeling that the caucuses are overextending a bit in terms of what they're trying to achieve with the maps in terms of a partisan sense or the breaking of communities of interest. And so I wanted to touch on that today with the comparison to the proposal that I want to make.

And when I'm approaching it, my main concern is stability, just making sure that you have a stable map that, one, can reflect the communities of interest in an effective way but also providing some sort of stability in the sense of what kind of representatives are representing a lot of these areas.

The Ohio map that was proposed this last decade, you could even argue was a very stable map in the sense that they had 80 U.S. House elections over the decade with a very

consistent record of not having a ton of partisan turn in that sense.

So they originally had to split
Columbus into three different districts to
maximize Republican representation in those
area in a way that it fluctuated very heavily.
And in the last decade, they seated a seat to
the Democratic party in the Columbus area,
allowing them to shore up some of the
Republican seats in that region.

And so in that sense I want to bring my attention to the 3rd Congressional District both as it exists today and in the sense of how the Committee has proposed changing and recreating the districting system that they have there.

My deep concern with the 3rd District is that I would call it the most gerrymandered Congressional district in the country; not just for partisan intent but also for the intention of what kind of person is being elected in the primary electorate. It is not a coherent community of interest. It is a group of disparate communities that have been

mashed together that have very disparate concerns and needs between each other.

In the northern parts of the 3rd District, you have a lot of working-class Latino communities. In the very northeast corner, in Bridgeport and Armour Square, you have a very strong Asian population presence. And in some of these areas, you also have many white ethnic enclaves, whether it is Italian or Irish or Polish communities. And then white working-class communities to the south. And then some wealthier more college-educated suburbs like the LaGrange area and Western Springs in the northwest corner.

They're communities that have very little in common with each other, but they were drawn in a way to emphasize the election of Democratic candidates like the Lipinskis, Bill Lipinski before and Dan Lipinski in recent years, who promote more culturally conservative values that aren't necessarily reflective of a lot of areas that have been included in those areas; specifically because they depend on low turnout and low citizenship

rates from minority communities to emphasize the voting power of these more conservative white voters in the primaries.

With that understanding, in the way that they districts have had to flail out in Chicagoland trying to preserve all these seats, I feel it in my heart that it needs to be proposed as a novel proposal, a modest proposal you might say that the 3rd District as it exists as a haven and a money pit for money interest to have the 3rd District be eliminated as it exists and have these working-class communities combined with the nearby existing Voting Right Act complaint districts, to make sure that their needs are being met well.

It is not lost on me that the existing Voting Rights Act districts are having to see a massive amount of change under the existing proposals.

I'm going to share my screen here so that you can all kind of look at the scheme here. As we have it here, this is the proposal that -- for communities put forward

this last Friday, including the overlay of the existing districts on top so that we can get a sense of exactly where they are.

The lines are how the districts are as they are now. The colors are how they would change.

It's not lost on me that most of the

districts to the north and the west part of Chicagoland have seen very little change in terms of population or any other concerns.

Brad Schneider's 10th District, Jan

Schakowsky's 9th District, Mike Quigley's 5th,

Raja Krishnamoorthi's 8th, Sean Casten's 6th,

Chuy Garcia's 4th, these districts saw very

little change in terms of what they needed.

But the four black members of the Illinois delegation also saw significant changes to their districts to make room for the 3rd. Bobby Rush, in particular, was very vocal in his opposition to the map in recent days. His district is being forced to draw all the way out to take in a bunch of rural areas that have, frankly, nothing to do or nothing in common with the communities of his

district. Robin Kelly's district even more so being drawn all the way to the Peru-Ottawa area; areas that functionally have nothing in common with the area around Jackson Park or any of the Chicago southland area.

And so it's not lost on me that the black representatives of our delegation are having to pay a certain price to make room for representatives who are coming from, you know, the white suburbs from LaGrange or Western Springs. And, frankly, if I was a member of the ILBC or one of these black members, I would be very upset with this map, as I know that Bobby Rush and others are.

So the message that that sends is not lost on me. So in that sense I feel like because of the problems that have been coming out of the 3rd District in terms of fan representations presenting and the kind of sacrifices it would have to make to make room for that when these other districts are not having to do that, I propose that the 3rd District be eliminated to make room for these other seats.

So in that spirit, I have proposed this map that I have submitted to the Committee for your consideration. I just wanted to note I tried to submit this through the web portal to the House and the Senate, as I noted earlier. There was problems where I wasn't able to, but I have sent it through email for your consideration.

VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Mr. Ellison, we have received your proposal and it is posted on our website, so you don't have to worry about that for the Senate. We do have the proposal posted.

MR. ELLISON: Wonderful. Thank you.

I will note that there were some slight changes in a couple precincts I was trying to change that are different between this map and what's on there. But the general spirit of it is very similar, so I do appreciate that. Thank you.

In that spirit, this map proposal that I've created I feel better reflects the communities of interest that we would find in the Chicagoland area trying to achieve balance

and better trying to achieve the goals the Committee is trying to achieve in this region.

The 1st District that Congressman
Rush represents would now be entirely within
Cook County. It would take in the southwest
suburbs along with what he's representing
right now.

The 2nd District that Robin Kelly represents would not have to be stretching out into rural Kankakee County or even out to the Peru-Ottawa area. It would remain uniting the Chicago southland areas.

One other concern that I have regarding the other member of the delegation, Danny Davis, I am very worried that the map that has been proposed for him might not be Voting Rights Act compliant, just in the sense that, as has been proposed -- I'll even pull it up here for you to look at -- the 7th District right now, it's only coming out to about 42.9 percent black in terms of voting-age population in 2020.

When you measure it by citizen voting-age population 2019 or the

voting-eligible population as an estimate,
it's only coming out to about 49 percent
black. Whereas under my proposal, I'm able to
boost it up to about 45.1 percent black for
the census voting-age population. And on the
estimate of the citizen voting-age population,
it comes out to about 51 percent black.

The reason for this is that currently the Asian population in Armour Square and Bridgeport is currently split apart into several districts. I've unified those into the 7th District along with several Latino neighborhoods on the southwest side.

And by doing that, because they're lower citizenship rates in those communities, you are able to artificially inflate the electorate to make it so that the district becomes majority black, for all intents and purposes, and compliant with the Voting Rights Act.

So at a minimum, you know, to avoid any kind of lawsuit, I would try to be very mindful of that in trying to boost the black representation in that district as well.

Beyond that, I think it's just very evident that as we're going into the next election, trying to overextend yourself in a very partisan way, trying to maximize the number of seats that have been proposed in the map, whether it's, you know, 14 Democrats and 3 Republicans or even 15 Democrats and 2 Republican, as another plan was put out on Sundays, I feel, you know, going into a -- by the midterm would be playing with fire to say the least.

Some of these districts, especially the 3rd District, as its proposed, in my mind as a Democratic operative, it is not sustainable in the long run.

A lot of sacrifices are being made by black members of the delegation to make room for a district that may not even be sustainable in the long run. So I think that's something to be very mindful of.

The reason that I think those districts are being proposed in that way by consultants, whether they're in DC or Illinois or wherever, is not out of a concern of

maximizing Democratic interests. I'm sure
they want more Democrats to be represented.
But I don't think that at the end of the day
their primary motivation is whether a district
is red or blue. What they're most concerned
about is the green, whether they're getting
paid significant amounts of money for multiple
competitive districts in a very expensive
Chicagoland media market.

If there are four light blue seats, they are maybe, in theory, just out of reach for Republicans but just enough to incentivize a lot of money to come in. The interests are not there for representation or what the people of the district need. The consultants are pushing that because they want districts that are going to force campaigns to spend a lot of money there. And it is going to be an invitation that way.

Because in all likelihood, there are only going to be 20 to 25 Congressional districts in the entire country that are going to be competitive next year because of both gerrymandering and regional polarization

throughout the entire country.

And that is coupled with the national campaign arms, the DCCC and NRCC, raising record sums of money. We've never been in an era where we've raised this much campaign cash with so few competitive Congressional districts. It will be an open invitation for dark money interest to come into the state and flood the state with negative money.

They'll tell you otherwise. But that's going to have a negative impact on the state, not just at the Congressional level. It will bleed down to legislative races. You know, it will be a Pandora's box that will not be something that can easily be contained no matter what anyone is promising.

The Fair Tax Amendment last year was not a fluke. The Justice Kilbride retention last year was not a fluke. There is voter outrage out there that's not dissipated.

And if this dark money is coming into the state and aggravating people, making them upset, it's going to spiral out of control.

If you think -- if you think those are flukes,

I've got a big metal bean in the loop I'd like to sell you.

I'm hopeful that the Committee will at least consider my proposal and my thoughts today and be mindful that a bird in the hand is worth two in the bush, in that being mindful about the needs for communities of interest, making sure that the districts ae representative of the communities as they deserve them to be is healthy and better for the state in the long run and creating a stable political environment that will favor the people of Illinois in the long run.

So with that, I thank you very much for your time and consideration today. And thank you for holding this meeting.

17 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Thank you so much,
18 Mr. Ellison.

Questions from members of the Committee? Senator Castro.

SENATOR CASTRO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

And first off, thank you, Mr. Ellison, for actually driving out here to testify today.

So thank you very much.

Page 26 1 MR. ELLISON: Certainly. 2 SENATOR CASTRO: A couple questions. 3 Illinois lost population and lost a seat, obviously. But the Latino community grew and 4 5 is now about 18.2 percent of the population. 6 My question is, do you support two 7 Latino or Latino-influenced districts? 8 MR. ELLISON: On the merits I support it. 9 In terms of practicality, I would argue that it is -- at least as it has been proposed by 10 outside groups, I don't believe it's 11 necessarily workable in the sense that if we 12 13 had 20 districts or 22 -- I don't know the exact number -- but if we had more 14 15 Congressional districts, I would absolutely be 16 in favor of that because I do feel like 17 Illinois is deserving a more Latino 18 representation. 19 The issue is that when you account 20 for it -- so I'll go ahead and pull my map up 21 again so you can look at it, just as an 22 example. So if I can find it here, sorry. 23 The basic gist of what I was going to get at here is the 4th District, as I've got 24

it, as it exists right now, the total population comes out to about 71 percent Latino. When you go by census and voting-age population, it comes down, as I note here, to about 67.5 percent Latino.

When you start accounting for the voting-eligible population, the citizen voting-age population based on 2019 estimates, it starts coming down to about 58 percent Latino. And then when we account for drops in turnout in a lot of these areas, you start getting into a little shakier turf where it's still majority Latino by the electorate, but it starts getting very shaky very quick.

And so in theory, you could split the earmuffs in a way that I'm not necessarily sure would be very complaint. But my point is that if you break that northern earmuff off and try to put it in other areas, under a 17-district scheme, in all likelihood, it would still end up as a plurality white electorate and you'll probably end up with maybe a white representative who might be amenable to the concerns of the Latino

community, but not necessarily any more than what they might get with any other member.

What I will say is that I believe my 11th District, I feel, actually offers a good opportunity for a Latino seat, even though it is not in any way in an attempt to be VRA compliant or anything like that. My 11th District comes out to about 22 percent Latino and it is the home of Aurora and West Chicago.

I know that there are many prominent Latino political figures out in that region.

There are many prominent Latino figures in Aurora. I know Senator Karina Villa lives out in that area.

And so while Bill Foster may represent that area now and he may run there or he may do something else in his career -- I don't know, I don't try to speculate on any of that. But sometime in the distant future, you know, I feel like my 11th District could be a good opportunity district for a Latino representative of a certain sort.

And that makes sense to me just in

the sense that Kane County is the most Latino county in the state. So logically that region would make a good hub for a second Latino opportunity district.

And so while I think -- while I think an effort to create, like, a second majority or plurality Latino seat is not feasible under a 17-district system, I think that some seats like my 11th or even my 5th could provide good opportunities for Latino representation in the future.

SENATOR CASTRO: So a follow-up to that, have you attempted in your iteration here, attempted to draw either straight Latino or a Latino-influenced district? Have you attempted that? I know you mentioned something about the 3rd Congressional and it's, you know, having the high second-highest Latino population of all the Congressional districts. Have you attempted that?

MR. ELLISON: Yes. I know that one of my friends, Frank Calabrese has made efforts. I know that he testified last week on kind of an idea that he has mocked up.

I think in -- in theory -- although I will say one error with that analysis is that oftentimes it's using total population, which is not the standard that you want to use when you're measuring for Voting Rights Act compliance just in the sense that children and noncitizens can't vote.

And so their impact in terms of who they're actually electing, even though they're represented by someone, they're not able to have an impact on process in terms of having a candidate of Latino voters' choice.

So I have looked at different schemes. I have attempted it. In actuality, when you're creating a district like that where you're taking kind of the northern earmuff and you're either trying to stretch out it to Elgin or doing something where maybe you're stretching the southern earmuff out to Aurora or something like that, you end up with districts where maybe it's coming in at like the 30s or something like that when you start getting into the voting-age population or, certainly, by census voting-age population so

Page 31 -- or the citizen voting-age population. 1 2 So, again, in theory, you might be 3 able to get something -- well, you get a significant minority. But in terms of, again, 4 5 under a 17-district scheme, it's basically 6 impossible to make it work that way. If we 7 had more districts in the state, if we hadn't 8 been populated as much, I would absolutely 9 want to see that because I feel -- I'm a massive proponent of, like, maximizing 10 11 minority representation. Minorities have been very underrepresented in our political process 12 13 for our entire history. And I think we're 14 making big gains in that. You are a testament 15 to that, I should say. 16 But it's difficult under the scheme 17 that we've been able to provide under the rules that we have to work it, it's difficult 18 to create a majority or even plurality Latino 19 20 second seat at this time. 21 SENATOR CASTRO: One other question, you

mentioned Elgin. Is Elgin in your 8th or in your 11th?

24 MR. ELLISON: Elgin is in my --

22

23

	Page 32
1	SENATOR CASTRO: It's kind of hard to see.
2	MR. ELLISON: Yeah, Elgin is primarily in
3	my 8th District here. I might have, like,
4	little fringes just because I had all of my
5	districts population-wise are exactly equal
6	down to the person, plus or minus one person.
7	So I might have taken a little fringe here and
8	there. But, like, for all intents and
9	purposes, Elgin is in the 8th District.
LO	SENATOR CASTRO: Thank you.
1	MR. ELLISON: Certainly, thank you.
L2	VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Thank you, Senator
L3	Castro.
L <b>4</b>	A bit of housekeeping, we have a
L5	request from Andrew Campbell from WGEM to
L6	videotape today's proceedings and from Lee
L <b>7</b>	Milner to photograph today's proceedings.
L8	I see a hand from Senator Bryant.
L9	Senator Bryant.
20	SENATOR BRYANT: I didn't expect to get
21	recognized that quickly.
22	Mr. Ellison, looking at your
23	districts for 17 and 13, on those two
24	districts, do you believe that the citizens in

those communities would be adequately represented considering it looks like there may be 300 miles or more from the north to the south in the 17th?

And then also I'm curious to know what the metro east has in common at the lower western part of 13 with the central part of 13, which tends to be very rural. So I'm -- looking at 17 and 13 and not understanding your rationale.

MR. ELLISON: Certainly, yes. I like to think that I'm a very transparent person. I try to be upfront with people.

So I would say, like, these are, obviously, not compact districts. Like anyone with two working eyes would say that. They are very -- geographically these are very disparate areas that are being drawn together.

However, I would say that there are very distinct communities of interest that are being drawn together. These two particular districts, especially the 17th, along with the 13th as well, are primarily urban and suburban districts. There are nominally rural parts

within them that are fairly sparsely populated.

But in terms of the concerns of these communities, I wouldn't say that there are good communities of interest between them. My 13th District, for example, is over 20 percent black. There are significant black populations in all of the major cities that I connected to the 13th in Champaign-Urbana, Decatur, Springfield, and throughout the metro east.

There are significant black populations who otherwise, because of being very fractured throughout central and southern Illinois, there is a very distinct chance that the concerns of the communities, who have been consistently underserved throughout state history in a lot of these areas, by uniting them together, it strengthens, it pulls and strengthens their voting power within the district and creates a situation where they are better poised to achieve the -- to achieve the ends and the needs that they need to see met in their communities that they might not

1 otherwise been getting over time.

12.

SENATOR BRYANT: You could be uniting them by race. But you can look at 17 and clearly you're not uniting them, as you said, in using a compact situation.

So you could have someone 3- to 400 miles away in a community that may or may not have transportation -- and I get it, the elected should be reaching out to their constituency. But you still have to be able for the individual constituents to also reach out to their representative.

So separating them that much, clearly it sounds to me like you are -- you are looking to unite by numbers on a chart whether certain populations are represented, but you clearly do not care -- I'm sorry, I don't mean to phrase it that way. You clearly did not -- I don't mean it emotional.

MR. ELLISON: You're fine.

SENATOR BRYANT: You clearly were not looking to make sure that geographically that they have access to their representative.

24 MR. ELLISON: Absolutely. And I think

Page 36 1 that's a valid concern. I would say that the 2 17th District as we have it now, for example, 3 which is represented by Congresswoman Cheri Bustos, it constitutes effectively all the 4 5 areas that we have in the 17th District right 6 now with the exception of the 7 Bloomington-Normal metro. 8 To all that I've understood, 9 Congresswoman Cheri Bustos has been a very 10 accessible congresswoman. I'll note for example that when I was 11 working in the Peoria area for several months 12 13 in the 2016 campaign for Congresswoman Duckworth at the time, now Senator, Cheri 14 15 Bustos came to our campaign office at least 16 three or four different times throughout that campaign cycle. And I was only there for a 17 18 couple months. And I know that she was visiting the others. 19 20 But that is not just the campaign 21 season that she is around. Whenever she's in 22 the district, I know, having had friends who 23 work in the office, when she's in the 24 district, she's wanting to visit every single

Page 37 And even though she specifically will 1 not be there anymore, I have great confidence 2 at least that this district, again, will have 3 a representative who is very present 4 5 throughout the district and visiting a lot of 6 the urban and suburban areas of this district. Once again, the 17th is not an 7 8 inherently rural district. It's uniting the 9 urban and suburban cores that scatter 10 throughout the northwestern and central Illinois and the specific needs that they 11 12 have. 13 Whereas the rural communities, rural and white, working-class, small, urban pockets 14 15 in the 14th and 16th District have very 16 disparate needs from what people in the 17 communities in the 17th District might have. 18 So in terms of compactness, 19 absolutely, I will say that I'm guilty as 20 charged. This is not a compact district. 21 But in terms of drawing communities 22 together that are going to have fairly similar 23 needs and concerns that they're wanting to bring to their member of Congress, I would say 24

that the 17th District functions as an effective community of interest.

SENATOR BRYANT: Except for the rural communities of the 17th District who look, to me, by this map, to have been totally left out of the conversation. But I'll let you answer that. And then that's all the questions I have.

MR. ELLISON: Certainly. And one of the main things that I try to hammer home to people when discussing the issue of redistricting is that it's impossible to make everyone happy. There are always going to be some people who don't necessarily have all of their wants or needs met. There are winners and losers to this process inherently.

And in my mind the goal is to make as many people in a utilitarian way, make as many people winners as possible.

That's not to say that I think the rural people in this -- the rural residents of this district are losers. I think that the representative is going to have to pay attention to these rural voters, just as much

Page 39 1 as they have to pay attention to the urban 2 voters. 3 I know that Congresswoman Cheri Bustos took that very seriously. And it 4 5 showed in the election results that she would 6 overperform relative to other candidates on 7 the ballot. 8 As it exists today, you know, it is a 9 district that voted for Donald Trump by 2 points, but she reelected by 4 because she a 10 11 very strong presence in these rural areas. And I feel confident, you know, even 12 13 if the district is becoming more inherently urban or suburban, there is still a 14 15 significant rural presence in this district. 16 And I don't think that any representative who is worth their salt would ever be leaving 17 these rural areas behind, so to speak. 18 19 So even if they're not necessarily a 20 perfect fit, I'm not necessarily concerned 21 about their needs not be met, if that makes 22 sense. 23 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Senator Bryant, is that

your last question or is your hand still up?

## 10/20/2021

Page 40
SENATOR BRYANT: I'm sorry. No, that's
the last one. Thank you.
VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Thank you.
Senator Plummer.
SENATOR PLUMMER: Sure. Thank you,
Chairman Sims.
Mr. Ellison, thank you for appearing.
I know at the beginning you kind of walked
though your background a little bit and I know
you drove a long way.
But so I understood, you are
presently a resident of where?
MR. ELLISON: Kokomo, Indiana.
SENATOR PLUMMER: Kokomo, Indiana. Okay.
Great. Nice community.
So out of the sake of time, I know we
want to be a little careful here. But how
would you say your current proposal benefits
the citizens of Illinois in general?
MR. ELLISON: Yes, I would say that my
Chicagoland district for one, I think, better
reflects some of the communities of interest
throughout the region.
You know, Lake County being fully

Page 41 united in one district instead of being split 1 2 The 8th District two or three different ways. having the six northwest township of Cook 3 County. My 6th District is the six 4 5 eastern-most townships of DuPage County, along 6 with the northeastern parts of Wayne township. 7 You know, the 11th being Kane and western 8 DuPage. The 3rd is Kendall and northern Will. And so each of these communities I 9 feel are much more coherent communities of 10 interest in that would better meet the needs 11 12 of the people in these areas. And I even feel 13 that way about the 13th and 17th, as I was 14 just elaborating with Senator Bryant in terms 15 of meeting that need. So as a whole, I feel like my map 16 17 better represents what the communities of interest in the state look like in terms of 18 what they need and having their voices heard 19 20 in the process. 21 I think during testimony SENATOR PLUMMER: 22 earlier I heard you say that there might only 23 be 20 to 25 competitive Congressional 24 districts nationally.

Page 42 1 Do you view this as a good thing, a 2 bad thing? Is this an issue in your mind? MR. ELLISON: I would say it is an issue. 3 SENATOR PLUMMER: 4 In what way? 5 MR. ELLISON: Yes, I think at a national 6 level -- at a certain point, this is difficult 7 to control just because our country is 8 becoming so hyperpolarized in a regional 9 level, like, you know, urban and increasingly suburban areas are becoming extremely 10 11 Democratic, more rural areas are becoming extremely Republican. And this is not just an 12 13 American thing. This is becoming a global issue along with, you know, education 14 15 polarization, for example. Like this isn't 16 just an Illinois issue. 17 Like, globally around the world, if 18 you're college educated, you're tending to trend more Democratic. If you don't have a 19 20 college education, you're tending to trend 21 more Republican. 22 So I think a lot of that is feeding 23 into the polarization at the national level in 24 a way that individual parties can't

1 | necessarily control.

But even compounding beyond that, we have an issue where a lot of states are redistricting their maps throughout the country and engaging in a sort of Cold War, you know, like a bit of an arms race, ratcheting up the tensions between each other, and making it so that limiting the number of opportunities in competitive districts of the country.

So, for example, being from Indiana, I spoke and testified at some of the redistricting hearings we had there. At one point I had to drive two and a half hours down to Columbus to make my voice heard on a -- my feeling being from Kokomo, Indiana, part of north central and east central Indiana, that area has not had a member of Congress elected to it in over 20 years since David McIntosh tried to run for governor in 2000 and it shows.

Our region is very industrial. It's been depopulating for 40 years. And we've not had a champion in Congress. We're always

Page 44 getting drawn in with suburban areas of the 1 2 Indianapolis metro. And sure enough, with the 3 district that they've passed this time, instead of making a more compact suburban 4 5 competitive district, as I had as my proposal 6 that the Indiana Senate Democrats used, they opted to put Kokomo in with Hamilton County, 7 8 areas that basically have nothing in common 9 with each other. 10 And so when you have that arms race 11 where Indiana is doing this thing and maybe Oregon or Texas or Illinois are doing all 12 13 these different things, at a national level, I 14 feel like it is problematic. And it's -- it 15 does create that arms race and incentive to 16 behave in that way. 17 So how does your SENATOR PLUMMER: 18 proposal provide more competition and more choice for voters? 19 20 So I would note that MR. ELLISON: Yes. 21 my 2018 Attorney General race -- I've got it 22 on the right ledger there. Multiple districts 23 of mine in this case, you know, in a Biden

midterm, you know, historically the party out

Page 45 of power tends to do better in midterms when 1 2 they're out of power. That's not an assured 3 thing. But if we're just making assumptions, 4 at least to some degree we can suspect that. 5 And, you know, if you've got a 6 situation where Kwame Raoul maybe wasn't doing so well, maybe some of these districts in 7 8 theory, like my 17th or my 6th or my 11th 9 could be more competitive in some of those 10 scenarios. 11 I don't necessarily assume that again because we have no idea what the environment 12 13 is going to look like. But I don't think it's 14 necessarily something that should be ruled 15 out. 16 SENATOR PLUMMER: So I guess my question 17 would be, you know, we don't have to dive into the details, I think you kind of -- my 18 19 question was going to be how many competitive 20 districts do you think there are. I think you kind of answered that. If you want to go a 21 22 little deeper, you're welcome to.

But I'm a little -- I'm a little curious that there is a concern about the

23

Page 46 national environment where there is not a lot 1 2 of competitive districts and then I look at a 3 map like this and I heard you say in Indiana 4 you tried to draw more competitive and more 5 compact districts for the Senate Democrats, I 6 believe you said. And I look at this and these districts don't look super compact and 7 8 they don't look super competitive to me. 9 So it kind of seems like, you know, 10 again -- and I know you said in your opening statement, I think you described your 11 occupation or something as a Democrat 12 13 operative. I'm just concerned here that this 14 map doesn't resolve those tensions that you 15 said are building up nationally. It doesn't 16 resolve some of those issues that I think 17 leads to a polarization of our politics, our policies, and our population where 18 19 gerrymandering creates a less competitive 20 playground for the citizens to engage in their 21 civic duty. 22 And if you could walk me through this 23

map and how this is designed to create competition, how this is designed to engage

Page 47 1 the voters more, and how this is maybe 2 designed to diffuse those tensions, instead of 3 maybe being so partisan, I would love to hear that. 4 5 But other than that, I think that 6 would be my last question. I sure do appreciate the obvious amount of time you put 7 8 into this and traveling over here. 9 MR. ELLISON: Certainly, thank you. 10 I appreciate this question to help 11 clarify this. And I want to respect the 12 Committee's time to go too much longer. 13 But, basically, I don't necessarily see my views on Indiana and Illinois as 14 15 incongruous. In the case of Indiana, I'm not 16 necessarily just drawing the district to be --17 the 5th District, as I view it, to be 18 competitive. The way I drew it was I take 19 northern Indianapolis, most of Hamilton 20 County, northwest Boone -- or Boone County to 21 the northwest and then Hendricks to the west, 22 creating, just in a general sense, a district

that is highly concentrated with wealthier,

college-educated, white residents in the metro

23

area. They are their own --

VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Mr. Ellison, if I could, could you confine your discussion to the Illinois recommendations? I appreciate the discussions of Indiana. But could you confine them to the Illinois proposal?

MR. ELLISON: Yes. My general point with that is my concern first and foremost in that instance is with creating a community of interest rather than necessarily an interest in partisan concern.

So with that in mind, when we're looking at the Illinois map, it's basically impossible for my 6th District, for example, to be more compact than I've drawn it right now. It is a very sensible community of interest, much more so than either the existing 6th District as it exists or, you know, the proposal that's been put forward.

The western suburbs and the northwest suburbs in particular, as I've noted with educational polarization that's occurring, these areas are filled with a lot of college-educated, white residents who have

Page 49 been trending Democratic. You would have to 1 2 go out of your way to draw a district that is 3 more amenable to Republicans just because of the trends we're seeing in those areas. 4 5 And so my primary concern is making 6 sure that the communities of interest are 7 better represented in these areas and kind of 8 letting the partisanships fall where they may. 9 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Senator Plummer, was 10 that your last question? 11 SENATOR PLUMMER: That was. Thank you. 12 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Okay. Thank you so 13 much. Mr. Ellison, thank you. It does look 14 15 like you spent a considerable amount of time 16 with this proposal and to be -- and to participate here today. So we appreciate your 17 time and effort. 18 19 I guess I do have one question. 20 you've talked the shifting districts, there 21 has also been shifting population. So with 22 the loss of the one district, the proposal has 23 to take that into consideration and making sure that you're keeping those communities of 24

interest together.

And building on a couple of the questions that Senator Castro asked in terms of making sure that you are keeping the communities of interest together and adequately recognized them, I think that's really the tone of all of the questions that I've heard today from all of the participants who asked questions, I'm just trying to understand the proposal as -- and how it maximizes those areas that you've outlined.

But I appreciate that. I think
you've answered a number of those questions
already, so I appreciate that. But that is an
area that I spent some time looking at this
proposal and a little more depth, I think that
would -- those are some of the things I know
I'm looking at.

MR. ELLISON: Yeah. I appreciate that question. The one thing I guess I would emphasize that I didn't even emphasize at the House hearing is that, you know, when a state loses a Congressional seat, more often than not, the chunk of the population has to come

from where most of the people live. So even though the heaviest deep population is coming from, you know, quote/unquote, downstate outside of the Chicagoland area, Chicagoland still has two-thirds of the Congressional districts. And so Chicagoland is still going to bear the brunt in terms of what population gets cut or which districts get cut, where the population gets moved around.

And so with that in mind, my feeling is that if we're trying to create the districts in a sensible way that makes sense for the communities that want to be represented by them in terms of the representatives who are trying to run for them, the elimination of the 3rd District as it currently exists, has existed for some time in the hodgepodge of communities that is drawn together, it makes sense in my mind to split those disparate communities up with disparate needs into the districts that are most representative for them; putting the Latino voters in the northern part of the 3rd District in with the 4th District that's

	Page 52
1	majority Latino because Congressman Garcia or
2	someone else will have a better sense for
3	them; putting Asian voters in the Armour
4	Square and Bridgeport area in the 7th to make
5	sure it's very compliant, et cetera.
6	So in my mind to make everything
7	balance and sit just right, eliminating that
8	3rd District as it exists makes the most sense
9	to me in terms of getting everything to fit
10	just right at the statewide level. I think
11	you're muted there.
12	VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Thank you, Mr. Ellison.
13	I appreciate that explanation and the
14	proposal. This was very helpful.
15	MR. ELLISON: Thank you very much for the
16	opportunity. I appreciate it.
17	VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Absolutely.
18	Next we're going to move on to
19	Mr. Juan Calderon. Mr. Calderon, you have the
20	floor, sir.
21	MR. CALDERON: Thank you, Senator and
22	Leader.
23	Good evening, Senators. My name is
24	Juan Calderon. I identify as Puerto Rican

Mexican, later in the census track as

African-American; born and raised in Chicago's

west side Humboldt Park. I'm currently a

member of the Commission to end poverty. And

I have -- my full-time job is the chief

operating officer at the Puerto Rican Cultural

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Center.

I'm here today speaking on behalf of our Latino/Latina neighbors across the northwest side of Chicago and the suburbs.

The Illinois General Assembly unveiled the draft of a Congressional map that does not provide racial equity for redistricting in Chicago's northwest side, and specifically the northwest side suburbs.

I stand here today advocating for you guys to consider to redraw the map to include an additional Latino majority Congressional district. Latinos have a significant population growth and we need to amplify our voices in Congress.

We need an additional voice in Congress that will prioritize a Latino collective agenda, both the Puerto Rico

Self-Determination Act currently in Congress and the Immigration Reform Act.

Our policy team here at the Puerto Rican Cultural Center is currently leading the Self-Determination Act that I've mentioned, H.R. 2070 that Congressmen Nydia Velazquez and Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez introduced. This current legislation calls for a status convention to allow the people of Puerto Rico to determine its status of independence or state order to make a choice.

Why am I touching on this piece of legislation of Congress? Because today we have members in Congress that are not listening to the Puerto Rican community, to the Latino constituents because of the lack of common interest and/or small percentage as illustrated by the previous speaker of Latino populations that are being segregated into small pots in every district on the northwest side and the suburbs. Furthermore, they have no interest in becoming a voice for our people.

Gentrification displacement, lack of

wealth, and growing taxes are the drivers of the Latino population in Chicago's northwest side that is dispersing to the suburbs.

Leaders of this great state, we must continue to see a long-term impact that the COVID pandemic is going to have in our communities, specifically the Latino community. And we need to make sure to have an additional voice, an advocacy voice alongside our Congressional Congressman Jesus "Chuy" Garcia for the next generation of Latino Illinoisans.

We need to have a Latino district in Chicago's northwest side that will charge to address the common interests of our population.

As I close my brief remarks, I say
Illinois is ready for an additional Latino
voice and an advocate in Congress. Illinois
needs to completely overhaul the northwest
side current mapping recommendations to
incorporate a new district, hopefully a new
Latino-influenced district in the 11th
District that can start from the 11th District

Page 56 and take from the 8th District, the 5th, and 1 2 the 6th District to create a Latino-influenced district that will add and amplify our voice 3 as we are leading our efforts and pushing our 4 5 agenda forward in Congress. 6 And I leave you with that and thank 7 you for taking the time to listen to me. 8 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Thank you, Mr. Calderon. 9 Senator Bryant, I see your hand 10 raised. 11 SENATOR BRYANT: Yes. I have a question more out of curiosity than anything. 12 13 Mr. Calderon, I think you were here in the 14 Committee room just few minutes ago, but I 15 noticed that you are now someplace else in 16 what looks like the Capitol. The previous 17 witness was here to testify in the House Committee, it looked like, from his testimony, 18 he was also here. 19 20 Is there any reason why you didn't 21 stay in the Committee room? 22 MR. CALDERON: Well, the only reason is 23 that I got there at 2 o'clock and an hour half 24 passed by and I had another prior engagement,

## 10/20/2021

	Page 57
1	prior meeting beforehand. I didn't know if it
2	was going to delay.
3	SENATOR BRYANT: Okay. That's all I have.
4	Thank you.
5	VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Thank you.
6	Senator, other questions? Members?
7	Seeing none, thank you, Mr. Calderon,
8	for your testimony here and your advocacy on
9	this issue.
10	Next I have Celeste Flores seeking to
11	testify. Ms. Flores.
12	MS. FLORES: Good afternoon, Celeste
13	Flores. I'm here with Mano a Mano Family
14	Resource Center.
15	I wasn't planning on testifying, but
16	I was listening to the House hearing and, you
17	know, heard fellow community members
18	advocating for maps. And, you know, the
19	current map that's proposed at least for the
20	district one of the districts that Mano a
21	Mano serves is the 10th Congressional
22	District. And we see the Round Lake areas and
23	Waukegan being put together. And that's what
24	we are hoping for. That's what we're hearing

1 | from the community.

So I have seen some map proposals that would put those communities in different Congressional seats. So I'm just asking this Committee to stay on track with what they've already -- what they've already proposed.

And I just want to thank this

Committee for putting out the maps and giving
the community time to look at them and be able
to testify and be part of this process. Thank
you all for your time and safe travels back
home. And good luck being back in session
next week.

VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Ms. Flores, thank you. Again, I appreciate that. We appreciate your desire to testify here today. You have been an active participant in this process, and we appreciate you continuing to do that. Thank you so much.

Next, I have Janeth Barba.

MS. BARBA: My name is Janeth Barba. I am here to represent myself. And I'll tell you a little bit about myself and kind of what I'm hoping you guys will be able to accomplish.

I was born and raised in Aurora,
Illinois. I've worked in Aurora and actually
currently work in Aurora. So I have spent a
lot of time in that area.

I am the daughter of immigrant parents. My mom is now a citizen, first generation. And I grew up in a Latino household, bilingual, speaking Spanish. I went on from -- to graduate from Illinois State University with my bachelor's in social work and my master's in social work. And now I'm a licensed clinical social worker. And I work for an agency that's called Family Service Association. It's a community mental health agency that works in Kane County, the Elgin part of Cook, and part of -- or Kendall County. So, essentially, working in the Aurora and Elgin community.

Previous to being in this position, I actually worked for DuPage County and worked heavily in the West Chicago area.

So I have a lot of experience working with those three communities. Like I said, one, I grew up and I've had the opportunity to

kind of see how it's grown through the time.

I also -- another unique role that I have is that I am the police social work supervisor at Aurora Police Department. So I'm not speaking on behalf of them, but it is -- it is Aurora that we serve and our services are based on those individuals experiencing crisis.

So I want to thank you all for allowing me the opportunity to speak.

The proposed map would be unfair to the Latino voters. Really, what it's going to do right now is it's going to silence their voice when we actually need to hear from them the most. We have a large population that's currently suffering but they're suffering in silence.

So we definitely -- what I'm hoping is that the communities of Aurora, Elgin, and West Chicago are united so there is Latino representation in those areas. Because the families that live there whose children are growing up there, there is a lot of similarities in their values, in their

Page 61 1 history, and the way that they view problems, 2 how they approach problems. But also the 3 things that negatively impact them; you know, immigration issues, the economy, health, you 4 5 know, small businesses, you know. And even 6 just in that, there is information that says Latino-owned businesses were not accessing the 7 8 Payment Protection loans compared to the 9 general population. So we have a lot of Latino-owned 10 11 businesses that, essentially, have suffered not being able to get assistance that other 12 13 businesses have been able to get. And that's 14 huge in our communities to have small 15 businesses. It really is a cornerstone for 16 us. What I want to say is that what I've 17 18 noticed through my time in living in Aurora, working with Aurora is that with different 19 20 generations, we see more and more desire to be 21 involved in political matters. 22 And a lot of that makes sense. You 23

know, I am a first-generation individual. went through the education system. I learned

about the political system and how to vote and what that matters.

We have a growing population of
Latinos. As it was already mentioned before,
there is a lot of people exiting the state of
Illinois. That's not the case for Latinos.
Latinos are growing, especially in these
communities. And I'm sure once all the census
data is in, we'll probably end up seeing that
being represented.

So we have a huge desire of people wanting to participate. And I think there is a lot of momentum that right now needs to be captured; the desire especially for the youth, people who are starting their careers or in their careers that really want to make Illinois their home. Right?

There is a lot of states right now, too, that are, you know, pulling on our -- some of our best resources. We want to keep our Latinos here. And how we keep them here is by them being heard and understood.

So, you know, my entry into voting, it actually started at a young age. And I

know we talked about -- you know, there was
mention before how there is -- you know,
children are not eligible to vote. We
definitely know that. However, we're,
essentially, creating a system that they will
eventually be eligible to vote within this

time frame.

When I was -- I think I was like in first grade. I'm going to date this back. My first voting experience was actually going with my mom to vote for Bill Clinton at the time. So that was my first entry into what it -- what voting even was, why did it matter.

We as Latinos, that's how we learn things. We do things in community. We do things, you know, in celebration. We, essentially, need the momentum to keep going. And the way we, essentially, keep the momentum going is by, essentially, ensuring that our voices are heard.

So one of the things that can happen, essentially, if the Latino voice is not heard and represented, is you're going to lose a lot of those individuals that right now are like

let's, you know, address these issues, let's come together, the community right now that's coming together.

What's happened to previous generations of Latino voters, in my opinion, it's something called learned helplessness. So that is actually a term I did not coin. It's a psychological term that basically says when you're exposed to a lot of pain and suffering and you can't escape it and there is no way of escaping what you've learned, you, essentially, stop trying, even if the situation now becomes escapable.

They did this, essentially, with dogs. But they've seen this now working in -this happens with individuals. The reality is that we've had a large population of Latinos that no matter what they say, what they vote on, it doesn't make a difference because their voice isn't strong enough represented. So after awhile, it doesn't matter whether they vote or don't vote or they participate or don't participate. So they go into learned helplessness. So even when there is an

opportunity, it makes it that much harder.

12.

We have a population right now that wants to participate. Let's not shut their voices out and let them fall into what previous generations have fallen into, which is learned helplessness.

Now, those who are stuck in that, they can come out, but they're going to need to see how it's done. The population right now that's younger, that's -- you know, has gone through the education system here.

That's working. They're very invested.

Last thing I want to say is we definitely need more than one district in Illinois that will represent the Latino population. And the Latino population relationship is key. It's the key to engaging, engaging them. So they don't need to feel heard; they need to be heard. They don't need to feel understood; they need to be understood. Right? There is a difference feeling than actually things happening as a result of it.

So we definitely need to combine

communities that have similar needs and similar strengths so we can build even on those strengths that they already have.

So the areas of Aurora, Elgin, West Chicago, they're communities that have, as mentioned, a growing Latino population that needs to be represented. And we need fair redistricting that then accomplishes fair representation. Thank you.

VICE-CHAIR SIMS: All right. Thank you, Ms. Barba.

Questions from members of the Committee? Seeing none, Ms. Barba, I appreciate your participation here today. And thank you for your testimony.

I will remind all of our witnesses to please remember to state your name at the beginning of your testimony and spell your name for the record. As was mentioned at the outset, we do have a court reporter who is transcribing today's hearing, so we want to make sure we get an accurate spelling of your name.

Next, I have Clare Duggan. And make

Page 67 1 sure you also state who you are representing. 2 Ms. Duggan, please, the floor is 3 yours. MS. DUGGAN: Thank you Chairman, Senator 4 5 My name is Clare Duggan; C-l-a-r-e, 6 last name Duggan, D-u-g-g-a-n. 7 I represent a civic organization 8 based in the 19th Ward of the city of Chicago 9 on the far southwest side. Our organization is called Illinois 123GO because we are a 10 community-based organization made up of our 11 neighbors that are based in the 1st, 2nd, and 12 13 3rd Congressional District of the state of 14 Illinois. The GO stands for gerrymander 15 override. We believe in being a community-based 16 17 organization and unifying based on common 18 cause and common principles; not based on lines that I know we all have to draw so we 19 20 know where to vote. But, you know, we believe 21 that the community activism is based on common 22 cause and knowing our neighbors and speaking

out and coming together on issues.

23

originally we were part of the first ten organizations to join, we've since grown to 40 grassroots organizations across Illinois' 3rd District -- 3rd Congressional District to form the Coalition for Change in IL3.

Again, we strove not to look at the boundaries that divide us but what is the common cause that brings us together. And we've seen that growing from our membership based here in the very diverse and integrated part of the 19th Ward in the far southwest side that we unified with other southwest siders, be that Bridgeport, Back of the Yards, McKinley Park, and the near southwest suburbs.

We looked at what were the issues that mattered to us; issues of social justice, issues of transportation and infrastructure.

How do we get to our jobs? Practical things like freight trains blocking the way or do we have the orange line to be able create new jobs in the southwest corridor or to get to jobs downtown from the southwest corridor.

We're concerned about environmental issues across the district. Whether I live in

Beverly in the southwest side -- the far southwest side, I still care about what's happening with the air we breathe and the water we drink, in all the areas across the district. We care about education and these kids going to schools across the area.

Across the district and across our coalition, all 40 groups and the thousands of members that represent, we care about immigration reform. We care about diversity and inclusion, a range of issues.

And as we look at solving problems like environmental issues and the need for job growth and the need for transportation, we care about the growth of labor and having good paying, fair union jobs growing not just for the traditional immigrants but for the next generation of immigrants. So we've come together in this common cause to unify.

When we look at the map that was proposed this past Friday and we spent a lot of time this past weekend with our membership and -- both within Illinois 123GO and across the coalition, what really strikes us is the

changes that are being proposed really silence that people power that we've worked so hard to grow.

Our goal is that we do see -- you know, I was very struck by the former speaker, the first gentleman who had the map -- I'm sorry, I don't have name at hand -- they way he typified our communities was not recognizable to those of us who actually live here. It was very strange to have someone from Indiana talking about our communities and how they're so different, when our experiences is those who live here and those who organize here and those who organize across the communities here, we expect -- we experience our common cause in our inclusion.

So we're asking the Committee to actually take a step back and maintain the historical boundaries of IL3. That we do in our current form and we understand there is going to be some changes, but what's the least change necessary to be able to maintain this coalition and communities that we've brought together so that our ability to raise our

Page 71 voice to Washington about these issues that 1 2 matter to the citizens across the area will 3 continue to be represented in the new map and that we won't be disempowered and lumped in 4 5 with other areas where we feel our voices will 6 be diluted. As a small business owner, a 8 community activist, and a life-long resident 9 of this area, I really hope that we can build on our desire to grow a more diverse and more 10 integrated collaborative way of working 11 between citizens and the government, instead 12 13 of silencing our voices. 14 So with that, I'm open for any 15 questions and I appreciate the opportunity to 16 speak with you today. 17 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Thank you so much, 18 Ms. Duggan. 19 I can certainly appreciate the 20 slowdown of traffic via the trains and having 21 recently experienced that as early as -- as 22 late as today prior to this hearing. So I'm 23 certainly sympathetic to that.

Senator Castro for a question.

Page 72 1 SENATOR CASTRO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 2 I'm going to ask the same question 3 that I asked Mr. Ellison. Obviously, Illinois lost population, right? And the Latino 4 5 community has gained 18.2 percent of the 6 state's population. Do you support two Latino or 7 8 Latino-influenced districts? MS. DUGGAN: Well, I'm not a mapmaker like 9 10 Mr. Ellison, you said, in that way. I'm a community-based activist. So I really looked 11 12 across that. 13 What I can only speak to is within the 3rd District, we have a very broad 14 15 coalition that includes the Latino groups from other wards. And we don't see -- like I said, 16 I was rather offended by the way how he 17 18 typified how the 19th Ward talks to the 11th or the 15th Ward in terms of that. 19 20 We do have -- we have found common 21 cause and support in elevating each other's 22 voices rather than assuming that somehow, 23 because I came from an Irish-ethnic heritage

in my neighborhood, which is no longer the

case that it's the majority here, it just happens to be personal heritage, that I wouldn't care about immigration reform or I wouldn't care about Puerto Rico or I wouldn't care about that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So I'm all for making sure that this representation is fair, to your question, Senator. But I don't have a macro sense of how well or what are the best possible ways to divide up all the population. But I do think it is strange, given the growth in the city and within the Latino population, that the proposal for IL3 is to take out the city wards, thereby lessening their voices in the IL3's representation, and lumping us in with folks, you know, a few hours away that don't share, you know, the -- what's happening when we asphalt factory in McKinley Park, what's happening when we don't have Orange Line service on the southwest side. Like you said, the trains stop all of us from getting to work in the morning, which is something that has improved recently because of the activism from our Congressperson.

Page 74 1 So I hope that answers your question. 2 Like I said, I'm not a macro map specialist. 3 I'm a community activist. But my experience in working across groups on the southwest side 4 5 is we try and elevate each other's voices 6 until such time as there is a comprehensive district that would make sense to be primarily 7 8 Latino focused. Because the votes are going 9 to be there one day and then there will be 10 time. 11 SENATOR CASTRO: And, Ms. Duggan, I do 12 recognize that you're not an expert in drawing 13 maps, but it's a very simple question that's 14 either yes or no. 15 Do you support two Latino or 16 Latino-influenced districts? 17 MS. DUGGAN: I believe absolutely having Latino-influenced districts in terms of 18 balance -- if that's what the population on --19 20 but you do have to look at the macro sense on 21 a map to be able to answer that honestly, I 22 would say. 23 I can say in my heart, having a 24 Latino-focused district sounds fantastic.

Ι

Page 75 don't know if the numbers bear out for that in 1 2 terms of how the boundaries overlap. But I would be supportive of it if it was possible. 3 SENATOR CASTRO: Excellent. 4 Ms. Duqqan, 5 have you looked at all the other submissions 6 and do you have any opinion on some of the 7 other public submissions that have been put 8 onto the portal? 9 MS. DUGGAN: Like, for example, the one 10 from the previous gentlemen or... SENATOR CASTRO: 11 Yes. There has been 12 actually several submitted to the portal. 13 I was just kind of curious if you've had an 14 opportunity to review that, has your coalition 15 had an opportunity to review it? MS. DUGGAN: To be honest, I haven't 16 17 looked at all of them. I've seen some that 18 have been published more through the press and 19 then I'm assuming are on there. I've seen 20 ones come from the DCCC that has been shared 21 widely in the press. And I've now been on two 22 hearings with the gentleman before me today in 23 terms of that. 24 But, no, I have not reviewed all of

## 10/20/2021

	Page 76
1	them in detail.
2	SENATOR CASTRO: Thank you.
3	VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Thank you, Senator
4	Castro.
5	Senator Bryant I saw hand up but go
6	down. Was that intentional?
7	SENATOR BRYANT: Yeah, I'm good.
8	VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Okay. Senator McClure.
9	SENATOR McCLURE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
10	Thank you very much, ma'am, for
11	testimony today.
12	So obviously this is a draft map is
13	what it's been described as, so I intend to
14	see another map sometime by next week. How
15	much time would you need to review the final
16	map in order to properly analyze and be able
17	to come back to the Committee and testify
18	about it?
19	MS. DUGGAN: Well, my understanding is
20	there isn't going to be another opportunity to
21	testify or will there be?
22	SENATOR McCLURE: I don't know. At this
23	moment I don't know.
24	In a world where government works

Page 77 1 well, how much time would you need to present 2 any sort of testimony after seeing a map, a 3 final map? MS. DUGGAN: So we are -- if I look at the 4 5 case of how we've experienced this last drop 6 versus feedback, you know, it came out within hours, minutes to hours of the Friday 7 8 meetings. So in that case, we only could just 9 go, oh, I'm looking at this vague blob on a 10 map and I haven't had time to zoom in. People 11 are at their jobs. People are doing their other things. We don't all have this as a 12 13 full-time job. 14 So we spent a few days and evenings 15 and weekend time, you know, analyzing it with 16 our members and having opportunity to have 17 back and forth in that membership so they could put together a comprehensive statement. 18 19 So I would say at this point we're 20 all, obviously, very -- you have our 21 attention, so it's not like we have to --22 we're ready to respond in such time. 23 But I would say it's in all due

course, we would need one to two days, at a

	Page 78
1	minimum, to be respectfully looking at
2	something and not be giving a gut reaction.
3	SENATOR McCLURE: Thank you. No further
4	questions.
5	VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Thank you, Senator
6	McClure.
7	Ms. Duggan, thank you for your
8	testimony, very informative. Again, I
9	appreciate the work that you and your
10	colleagues are doing across your communities.
11	So thank you for being here today.
12	MS. DUGGAN: Thank you for your time.
13	VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Absolutely.
14	Next I have Anna Schibrowsky and I
15	hope I said that right. Please state your
16	name and spell it for the record and your
17	group and organization that you're
18	representing.
19	MS. SCHIBROWSKY: Hi, My name is Anna
20	Schibrowsky. First name is A-n-n-a; last name
21	Schibrowsky, S-c-h-i-b, as in boy,
22	r-o-w-s-k-y.
23	I am here today representing myself.
24	I am a resident of Chicago's Bridgeport

Page 79 1 neighborhood and the current 3rd Congressional 2 District. And I'm testifying today to urge you 3 to allow my neighborhood to remain in the 3rd 4 5 Congressional District and to maintain the 6 historical boundaries of the 3rd Congressional 7 District. 8 For over 20 years, the 3rd 9 Congressional District has represented 10 working-class communities from the Bridgeport neighbor going southwest through the suburbs 11 to Joliet and it's roughly followed the I-55 12. 13 corridor. It has included blue-collar 14 15 communities that benefit from the jobs and the 16 economic prosperity of industry but also 17 struggle with the pollution and traffic 18 created by manufacturing and shipping. Bridgeport residents have been 19 20 talking in the past year with Joliet residents 21 to discuss our common issues around railroad 22 hubs, last mile cleats, and logistics centers

847-236-0773

The proposed map that I've seen would

operating along the I-55 corridor.

23

Page 80 split my neighborhood into the 4th and 7th 1 2 Congressional Districts. It would carve up Bridgeport at one of our major retail 3 intersections. It would move our grocery 4 5 store into the 7th District and our drug 6 stores into the 4th District. 7 The proposed 4th District would form 8 a horseshoe around the city. It would loop 9 from the stockyards plant manufacturing district and include it in the same 10 Congressional district as Oak Park country 11 12 club. 13 The proposed new 3rd Congressional 14 District stretches half way across the state 15 and it contains disparate populations. 16 would include manufacturing-oriented 17 neighborhoods in Chicago and similar blue-collar suburbs, but it would also include 18 miles and miles of farmland and grain elevator 19 20 villages. It would stretch out to LaSalle 21 22

County, which is where I grew up. I know the area and I understand a lot of the issues in that area are going to revolve of around

23

Page 81 farming and farm subsides. 1 2 So constituents would not be 3 well-served by districts where the representatives have to split their focus 4 5 between industrial areas and country clubs or 6 between multi-modal facilities and soybean 7 farms. 8 So please keep the historical 9 boundaries and keep the character of the 3rd 10 Congressional District. Thank you. 11 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Thank you, 12. Ms. Schibrowsky. 13 Senator Castro, I see your hand. SENATOR CASTRO: Thank you. I'm going to 14 15 ask the same question that I asked the 16 previous speaker. So, obviously, you know, 17 repeat what I asked, Illinois lost population. 18 The Latino community gained 18.2 percent. 19 you support two Latino or Latino-influenced 20 districts? 21 MS. SCHIBROWSKY: Yes. As an individual, 22 I do. 23 SENATOR CASTRO: Just out of curiosity, 24 have you looked at any of the other public

Page 82 submissions? I know there have been several. 1 2 Have you been able to have an opportunity look 3 at them, study them? Do you like any of them? MS. SCHIBROWSKY: I have been able to 4 5 glance at them. I guess I would be interested 6 in seeing a proposal that would include more 7 of the I-55 corridor including the Latin X 8 communities near us. But it would have to --I guess there is a lot of if, ands, or butts 9 in that to see what else would be included in 10 that district in order to make a majority 11 Latin X district and whether it would still 12 13 include, like I said, the historical boundaries and character of the current 14 15 district. 16 SENATOR CASTRO: Another question. I know 17 you talked about LaSalle-Peru and you were 18 originally from there. I know you mentioned 19 the importance of the strong industrial union 20 base. Doesn't LaSalle-Peru also have that? 21 MS. SCHIBROWSKY: Not anymore. 22 Historically, yes, LaSalle County historically 23 was a strong union factory area. But it is

not anymore. And their concerns are more

Page 83 about bringing back industry, while the 1 2 concerns in this area are about -- I hate to 3 say -- too much industry. But we have concentration of industry and pollution and I 4 5 view those as they are separate issues. 6 And I think it's unfair to the 7 residents and unfair to potential 8 Congressional reps to try to make them 9 represent both of those differing interests. 10 SENATOR CASTRO: Awesome. Well, thank you 11 for your testimony. Mr. Chairman, no further questions. 12 13 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Thank you, Senator 14 Castro. 15 Ms. Schibrowsky, thank you. I don't 16 see any other hands. So thank you for your 17 testimony. We appreciate you being here 18 today. Next I see Mr. Dale Fast seeking to 19 20 testify. Mr. Fast, the floor is yours. 21 MR. FAST: My name is Dale Fast; Dale, 22 F-a-s-t, a resident of the 3rd Congressional 23 District. 24 I reside in Beverly on the southwest

side of Chicago. I am retired, having served as a faculty member and administrator at St. Xavier University in nearby Mount Greenwood for 37 years.

I've seen the proposed Illinois

Congressional map. I have even simply looked at the first one; I have not looked at the others. But I'm a bit surprised at seeing the district I called home for decades replaced by something that is quite unrecognizable.

The southwest side of Chicago is a diverse place. And I've connected with my neighbors in Berwyn, Cicero, Orland Park, and many other suburbs around my home because we have common interests.

The southwest side has consistently had representation in Congress that hails from that same area, not the rural parts of the state; the state that share less in common with us.

Our district is a transportation hub with three Metra lines running through, a booming trucking industry passing up the I-55 to Chicago, and a well-connected education

Page 85 system that has people all over the suburbs 1 2 attending schools on the southwest side. For decades I have fought for social 3 justice and would strive to make our democracy 4 5 more inclusive. By splitting parts of the 6 district, the state could segment communities 7 and diminish their chance at fairer 8 representation. 9 I don't want to see my neighbors' 10 voices diluted in this redistricting process. I ask the Redistricting Committee in this 11 process, please maintain the character of the 12 13 southwest side and keep Illinois' 3rd District 14 in its general shape as in the current map. 15 Thank you. 16 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Thank you, Mr. Fast. 17 Senator Castro. 18 SENATOR CASTRO: Thank you, Mr. Fast. answered the second part of my question but I 19 20 will ask you the first, similar to what I've asked everyone. 21 22 Do you support two Latino or 23 Latino-influenced districts?

MR. FAST: I certainly think that's going

Page 86 to make sense. I don't know how one exactly 1 2 does that and makes that work. But it makes sense to me that that's a direction that our 3 4 state is going. 5 SENATOR CASTRO: Thank you. No further 6 questions, Mr. Chairman. 7 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Thank you, Senator 8 Castro. 9 Seeing no further hands, Mr. Fast, 10 thank you so much for your testimony here and 11 for your advocacy on behalf of your community. Next, I have Ms. Jeanette Quirk on my 12 13 list of testifiers. Ms. Quirk, you have the 14 floor. 15 MS. OUIRK: Hi. My name is Jeanette 16 Quirk, J-e-a-n-e-t-t-e. I live in LaGrange 17 Highlands, an unincorporated area adjacent to 18 LaGrange, a near southwestern suburb of 19 Chicago. 20 Thank you so much to the Committee 21 for your time and effort and for all the other 22 folks who are testifying. 23 I'm originally from Chicagoland, the 24 south suburb of Riverdale. I'm here as a

member of the Congressional District IL3 and as a member of several grassroots efforts to promote civic engagement and responsibility.

I wanted to testify simply because with over 31 years in the area, we have grown to value the diversity and intelligence in the southwest side and suburbs.

Growing up in Riverdale, we live on the Illinois Central line. Riverdale borders the city on the south, and the great migration of Americans into the south side was a defining feature of the area in the '60s, '70s and '80s when I was growing up there.

We were also proud of our proximity to the train line and spent many days traveling on the train to downtown Chicago for work, fun, and civic engagement.

The southwest side appealed to my husband and I for the same reasons when we bought a house. On the Burlington Western commuter line, it had easy access to the city and also vibrant and a mixed larger community, not to mention the wider area filled with beautiful forest preserves that spread to the

1 | west and south.

What I soon realized in this area, which I learned comprised IL3, is that it stuck with people who are passionate about government, equality, service to the community, the arts, infrastructure, education, and all of the things that make up our lives as Midwesterners and Americans.

I learned that the southwest side, like the south side also had its genesis in the city and continued to expand with human migration on a south-moving trajectory.

In my area we are bound by the waterways and train lines of the southwest transportation corridor. These features have dominated our daily lives in terms of jobs and recreation and the time of the inception of the Illinois-Michigan Canal, the Cook County forest preserves, Old Route 66, up to the building of the Stevenson Expressway and the UPS facilities.

I learned that the area was full of city and southwest side natives who migrated like I did. They encouraged me to become

engaged in civic life, to take initiative, and to fight for what's right for people across society.

12.

When I realized the boundaries of my congressional district, it made sense that I included the origins to the area and the current expression of the area. This means that the reach of the district IL3 into my area on southern suburbs of Tinley Park,
Orland Park, and the Palos area made sense, as the families there often had their origins in the deep southwest side neighborhoods.

As I began to meet people in all of the IL3 neighbors, I realized we did want common things and that we truly enjoyed meeting and working with each other for common civic causes.

The district has shown its vitality and passion and a good old-fashion work ethic coming out of the greatest generation and passed on to the baby boomers and our children, XYZ, millennials and onward.

Grown children flock back to this area to have families and start businesses.

People come for the train lines, the culture, the diversity and the backyards.

I ask of you, do not destroy IL3 as it represents a historic evolution of multicultural cooperation and aspiration. We belong together, from Bridgeport to Orland Park. We are not only rural, only city, or only suburban. We are all of those things.

Together we move Illinois forward in all the important areas; civic participation, economic strength and diversity for all people, caring for our people no matter what their backgrounds and working to keep Illinois strong, moral, and competitive in our divided nation.

I also want to mention that Mr. Ellis couldn't have gotten it more wrong when he said that our communities did not have much in common. When he said we were a hodgepodge, I just don't understand how he could say those things.

I will say, Senator Castro, and I know you're going to ask the question, that I was not able to read the additional maps.

## 10/20/2021

	Page 91
1	They came up on my email this morning and I've
2	been at work, so I haven't been able to see
3	them.
4	VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Okay. Thank you,
5	Ms. Quirk.
6	Senator Castro for a question.
7	SENATOR CASTRO: One more question, do you
8	support two Latino or Latino-influenced
9	districts?
10	MS. QUIRK: I support the idea of Latino
11	districts. Without knowing much else, I can't
12	really say anything else.
13	SENATOR CASTRO: Okay. Thank you.
14	VICE-CHAIR SIMS: All right. Thank you,
15	Ms. Quirk. I appreciate your testimony and
16	for being here to advocate on behalf of your
17	community. It's very helpful.
18	Next I have Mr. Arnie Bernstein.
19	Mr. Bernstein, you have the floor, sir.
20	MR. BERNSTEIN: My name is Arnie
21	Bernstein; A-r-n-i-e, B-e-r-n-s-t-e-i-n. I'm
22	a 25-year resident of the Beverly
23	neighborhood. I married into it.
24	A few years ago, I'm sure some of you

1 know, there was some leaflets left in our 2 neighborhood by White Supremacist Nazi I was part of a group of local 3 elements. 4 residents who gathered together to speak out 5 against this and talk about the integrity and 6 the diversity of not only the Beverly area but the southwest side at large. 7 That's the 8 beauty of the 3rd District.

We are united in a lot of different ways; by ethnicity, by race, by religion.

Certainly I'm a minority religion in this area. Blue color, white collar.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

But things that really unite us are the commonalities, the transportation issues. The train doesn't care who you are when they sit there on the tracks.

I formerly taught at Morton College, which is out in Cicero. I don't think Morton is part of the 3rd District, but I do know parts of Cicero are part of the 3rd District. And as I would drive to work, I was always struck by the beauty of the diversity of the district.

By moving parts of it to a rural area

Page 93 1 and what seems to be a carving up of a ward 2 here, a ward there, a finger of a ward here, a finger of a ward there, it seems to be 3 changing the fundamental nature of our 4 5 district going from Bridgeport -- and as a Sox 6 fan, I spent a lot of time in Bridgeport -down to Orland, which is -- these are shopping 8 areas that people from our area go to. It's a diverse district. It's a 9 wonderful area to live. And the commonalities 10 I think are what unites us. 11 12 To address Senator Castro, I have not 13 looked at the maps per se. However, having worked with Hispanic students at various 14 15 schools, I certainly support the idea of 16 largely Hispanic districts. 17 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Okay. Mr. Bernstein, I 18 don't know if we lost you. 19 MR. BERNSTEIN: Can you hear me? 20 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: We can hear you. 21 you conclude your testimony? 22 I'm sorry. Yes, I have. MR. BERNSTEIN: 23 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Thank you. Questions from members of the Committee. I see Senator 24

	Page 94
1	Castro gave the thumbs up when you answered
2	her question as part of your testimony.
3	MR. BERNSTEIN: I saw it coming.
4	VICE-CHAIR SIMS: That's the professor in
5	you. And I appreciate the fact that you,
6	again, you as other residents of the 3rd
7	Congressional District have raised the
8	transportation issue. Again, I mentioned that
9	also earlier. And I certainly have an
LO	affinity for your choice of baseball team.
1	MR. BERNSTEIN: Thank you. I wish they
L2	had done better.
L3	VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Likewise. Thank you so
L4	much, Mr. Bernstein. I appreciate you being
L5	here today and for your testimony, for your
L6	advocacy on behalf of your community.
L7	Next I have Mr. Christopher Kanich.
L8	I hope I'm saying that right.
L9	MR. KANICH: It's Kanich, but I respond to
20	basically anything.
21	VICE-CHAIR SIMS: I appreciate that. But
22	I surely want to get it right. But the floor
23	is yours, sir.
24	MR. KANICH: Thank you very much, Senator

Sims. Thank you for this opportunity to address the Committee.

I'm testifying here today as a fifth generation resident of southern Cook County and the south side of Chicago, as well as a resident of the current 3rd Congressional District.

Over the past six months, I've also served as a commissioner for the Chicago Advisory Redistricting Commission, which has been a groundbreaking and successful experiment showing that a citizen-led commission can draw an equitable and high-quality district map.

While we were focused on municipal ward maps, we heard hundreds of residents of Chicago tell us very earnestly and passionately that they want their communities kept whole.

The proposed Congressional map breaks up both Bridgeport and the Greater Chinatown Area, making it even harder for our communities to effectively advocate for ourselves at the federal level and secure much

needed resources, as well as Ms. Duggan,

Ms. Schibrowsky, Mr. Fast, and Ms. Quirk have

all mentioned -- and Mr. Bernstein have all

mentioned much, much better than I can, it's

the cross-roads of several transportation

networks that really do tie our district

together quite well and have a very strong

theme.

And even though our community is culturally and socio-economically diverse, we are united by a strong working-class common denominator here. Making the 3rd District more white and more rural is not in the best interests of all of these communities, as it completely changes of character of the district.

If this change was, indeed, made to ruthlessly entrench a Democratic district, I feel that even this strategy is foolhardy and could backfire, as the new 3rd District could very possibly be won by a strong centrist Republican in the general election, even one running without the support of their party.

This map is bad for Bridgeport, bad

for the Greater Chinatown Area, bad for the southwest side of Chicago and Cook County, and bad for the Illinois Congressional delegation.

I encourage you to find a way to restore the strong, working-class, culturally and socioeconomically diverse character of the current 3rd Congressional District.

I would also be very happy to host Mr. Ellison in the current 3rd District to show him a little bit more about how well our community is tied together by common interests.

And in the interests of everybody's time, I would like to say that I do support two Latino-influenced districts. I believe Mr. Calabrese's map is a fantastic starting point for such a discussion. However, I haven't seen a full map that would adequately create two influenced districts within an entire redistricting of the state of Illinois.

I've also given a quick look to a lot of the other maps that were submitted. I believe it was Mr. Kotski's map, I certainly believe is favorable to the map that was

Page 98 1 currently published as a draft by the 2 Committee. 3 And that is all I have to say at this So thank you very much for your time. 4 moment. 5 I would be happy to take questions. 6 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Thank you so much, Mr. Kanich. And, again, I think you addressed 7 8 Senator Castro's questions, so I appreciate 9 your testimony here today and also your -- let me say this for not just you but all of the 10 individual citizens and residents of the 3rd 11 Congressional District who made the --12 13 offering the outreach to Mr. Ellison to show 14 the great diversity of the district and I 15 appreciate that, that offer. That is exactly 16 what as -- as all of us as neighbors we should 17 be looking to do, making sure we are 18 showcasing and showing the best that our communities have to offer. So I appreciate 19 20 you making that offer. 21 Next I have Mr. Abraham Matthew. The 22 floor is yours, sir. 23 MR. MATTHEW: Thank you to the Chair and

the members of the Committee.

My name is Abraham Matthew. That's A-b-r-a-h-a-m, M-a-t-t-h-e-w. I'm a father, I'm an attorney, and I live and practice law in Chicago's Bridgeport neighborhood, which at present is part of Illinois' 3rd Congressional District.

I'm before you today to ask you to allow Bridgeport, Armour Square, Canaryville, McKinely Park, and Beverly, to remain as part of the 3rd Congressional District.

Now, the task of redistricting is not an easy one. You've to deal with issues of geography, as you have a limited amount of space. You have to deal with competing interests, whether they're political or whether they're the interests of incumbents or they're the interests of the people and the community group that are served by the people who would be elected in the proposed maps.

It's a tough thing to do. And I thank you for holding this hearing today because you're doing a great job of reaching out to the people who will be affected most by this map. This is a great time and a great

opportunity for us to educate each other about the process and about the interests of the specific regions that are affected by the map that was proposed on the 15th.

I'm here today to ask that our communities are allowed to remain in the 3rd District. And there are two points I would like to make today. The first is that the 3rd Congressional District as it stands now is the transportation hub of the Midwest.

At present starting from where I'm at here in Bridgeport where Highway 55 and the Dan Ryan begin and going all the way down to Joliet, there are truck yards, there are rail yards, there is a CSX interchange, there is Midway Airport. We have parts of Argonne National Laboratory. There are large logistics hubs that are presently there and presently under works.

We are the logistics capital of the Midwest. And why this is important is because when we as a district and we as Illinois need support from Washington DC, the best way to do that is to have one person, a point person,

who can go to Washington and get the resources that we need to invest in our future.

If we're going to get dollars for electric vehicle structure, for upgrading bridges and roads, we're going to have to look to our congressman here in this district -- congressman or congresswoman in this district.

And so by having this transportation hub contained in one district, we make things easier, not only for the region but for Illinois as a whole. Because we're, essentially, electing a point person for our transportation hub.

So it's vitally important that we not split off parts of that transportation hub such that we have to coordinate as a people and as a state amongst various representatives who have other duties and other constituencies to attend to.

And the second point I would like to make is that our district may be diverse, but we share a common character. The infrastructure in this district, which I just touched on, began over 150 years ago. It

began with the construction of the 1 2 Illinois-Michigan Canal, which parts right here in Bridgeport where I sit and went all 3 the way down past Joliet to the Illinois 4 5 River. And that canal earthed a new 6 generation of infrastructure for the Midwest. That's why we have so much infrastructure here 7 8 in the 3rd District, is because the vital link 9 between the East Coast and the Gulf of Mexico 10 was placed here in the district. It's the way 11 that we can get goods from Lake Michigan and

the Great Lake cities down to New Orleans.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So out of that, as generations went on, the infrastructure grew around it. We got Midway Airport and we got all of these trucking and transportation hubs, the train yards. And they were all built by immigrants. They were built by people who came to this country for a better life and they weren't all from one place.

Some of those immigrants came here from Europe. Some came here from Asia. Some came here from Mexico. We have people from every country on the planet in this district.

So it's correct to say that we are a diverse district. But when you dig deeper than just the statistics, we see that we're united by a common character. And that common character is, essentially, the American dream. It's

6 that we all want to provide for our families.

We all want to be able to go to work and start

businesses. We're a very entrepreneurial
district.

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

We simply are a veritable cornucopia of faiths, colors, and creeds. And our values are shared. And that diversity is our strength.

As a father, a small businessperson, and the son of an immigrant from India, I may be unique in my neighborhood here in Bridgeport, but I share a common plight with everyone along the I-55 corridor.

We work hard to educate our kids, grow our businesses, and enjoy the diversity of this region. And as people and as the transportation capital of the Midwest, those ties bind us together and we deserve common representation.

So the 3rd Congressional District historically has represented residents of the southwest side of Chicago and the southwest suburbs. And for almost three decades, the district has represented constituents were I live here in Bridgeport, down to Beverly through Canaryville, which is just south of Bridgeport, through the suburbs of Stickney, Lyons, Palos, Worth, and Orland Townships.

We have a strong history as a working-class stronghold and as people who simply have real grit. As a resident of the 11th Ward, Chicago's Bridgeport neighborhood and the southwest side, I am asking the Committee to allow my community and the others that I've mentioned to remain in the 3rd Congressional District.

I just want to thank you all again for listening to us, for hearing us out. It's a testament to yourselves. And I truly appreciate the opportunity and any questions that you may have.

VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Thank you, Mr. Matthew.

I see one hand raised. Senator

1 | Castro.

SENATOR CASTRO: Mr. Matthew, you might have, since you're at the tail end, might have missed my initial question, so let me restate it.

Obviously, Illinois lost population. But when it comes to a Latino community, we gained 18.2 percent of the population. My quick question is, do you support two Latino or Latino-influenced districts?

MR. MATTHEW: Yes, I do. And part of my issue with the current map is that it destroys what is effectively the second

Latino-influenced district in the state.

Right now the 3rd Congressional
District as it stands is, I believe, the
second-most Latino-influenced district in the
state. And when we're talking about districts
that -- going into politics are going to be
weighted more Democratic, we were talking
about a Democratic primary. So that influence
is even stronger within a Democratic primary
if voting trends continue.

So by creating a new map which takes

Page 106 out, essentially, a lot of the Latino portion 1 2 from the 3rd District and destroys the character of the 3rd District as a diverse 3 place, we're also reducing the influence of 4 5 Latinos in our Congressional delegation. 6 So it's really important that the 3rd 7 District retain its current character. 8 SENATOR CASTRO: Have you had an 9 opportunity to look at any of the other maps I mean... 10 that have been proposed? 11 MR. MATTHEW: Yes, Senator. I had the 12 opportunity to take a look at Mr. Harden's 13 map, and I believe it maintains the status 14 quo, as a district with strong Latino 15 representation and influence in that I believe 16 it's 25 percent of the population, of the 17 voting-age population would be of Latino 18 origin. 19 And also Mr. Kotski's map is a few 20 points higher than that. And the current 21 proposal that's before the Committee is lower 22 than that. So it's vital that we retain that 23 influence in our Congressional delegation. 24 SENATOR CASTRO: I know you talked a

Page 107 little bit about, you know, Latino 1 2 representation. You're right, it is the 3 second highest Latino population of all the Congressional districts. 4 5 But I also would like to see, have 6 you looked at it from a state-wide 7 perspective? Like thoughts on the northwest 8 side has big pockets of Latinos to the northwest suburbs. 9 When you look at all of that, I still 10 11 go back, do you support a second Latino or Latino-influenced district? So if you can 12 13 expound a little bit about that, that would be 14 great. 15 Yes, I do. I believe the MR. MATTHEW: 16 statistics are there to bear that out. And my 17 worry on the current proposal is that we're 18 regressing rather than moving forward. 19 SENATOR CASTRO: I have nothing further, 20 Mr. Chairman. 21 Thank you, Senator VICE-CHAIR SIMS: 22 Castro. 23 Mr. Matthew, again, thank you for 24 your participation here today and for

Page 108 advocating on behalf of your community. 1 2 testimony was very helpful. We appreciate 3 that. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 4 MR. MATTHEW: 5 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Last but the certainly 6 not least on my list of preselect -- or 7 pre-signed-in testifiers is Madeleine Doubek 8 on behalf of Change Illinois. Madeleine. MS. DOUBEK: Thank you, Vice-Chair Sims 9 10 and Committee Members for the opportunity to wrap up the testimony today on the draft 11 12 congressional map. 13 My name is Madeleine Doubek. 14 M-a-d-e-l-e-i-n-e, last name D-o-u-b, as in 15 boy, e-k. And I am the Executive Director for 16 the Change Illinois Action Fund and Change 17 Illinois. 18 As part of my testimony today, I would like to review the Princeton 19 20 Gerrymandering Project's analysis of the draft 21 map and provide some feedback on the 22 Congressional map proposal. 23 The Princeton Gerrymandering Project 24 is an organization based out of Princeton

University that seeks to actively educate, provide resources, and help people nationwide to engage in the redistricting process. One of their resources is a free mapping tool for communities of interest called Representable.

PGP also uses quantifiable metrics to review, analyze, and grade district maps in three overall categories; partisan fairness, competitiveness, and geographic features.

In PGP's analysis, graded A to F, Illinois scored much lower than most other states. It only was matched by Texas.

The Illinois draft map received an F in partisan fairness and geographic features and a C in competitiveness.

Their analysis found that the maps provide a significant Democratic advantage. Eleven of the draft districts are projected to be uncompetitive Democratic seats and three districts are uncompetitive Republican seats.

For competitive districts, their analysis projects only three of the 17 congressional districts will be competitive with all three competitive districts favorable

1 to Democratic candidates.

The main part of the PGP's analysis I want to focus on today is the geographic features section where the Illinois draft map also scored an F. The grade uses a standard quantitative measure of compactness known as average Reock. Higher Reock scores mean districts are more compact. The draft Congressional map for Illinois scored a .307 out of 1.

The other factor measured is the extent of the number of counties split up in the draft map. The Congressional map proposal presented splits up 42 of the Illinois 102 counties.

Now, splitting a county alone doesn't necessarily mean that a district is gerrymandered. For instance, Cook County and DuPage Counties have to be split up due to their population sizes being larger than what's allowed in Congressional districts to meet the equal population standards.

However, a district map with a higher number of split countries does raise questions

- 1 | about what goal mapmakers set out to achieve.
- 2 | The same goes for compactness. While a
- 3 | non-compact district doesn't guaranty a
- 4 | gerrymander, as exemplified in the current 4th
- 5 | Congressional District, it does raise
- 6 | questions about what is motivating the drawing
- 7 of those borders.
- 8 The sheer lack of compactness is one
- 9 of the more troubling aspects of the
- 10 | Congressional map proposal. It's seem to pit
- 11 | urban and rural areas of Illinois against each
- 12 other at a time when we've already been
- 13 | experiencing extraordinary polarization.
- 14 One example out of many is the 17th
- 15 | District, which is not compact, and splits 15
- 16 | counties stretching from Rockford to
- 17 | Bloomington and not simply by going straight
- 18 | south. Instead, the district runs from
- 19 | Rockford in northwestern Illinois, turns west
- 20 | to the Mississippi River, and then runs down a
- 21 | narrow strip of western Illinois to the Quad
- 22 | Cities and cuts back east to capture Peoria
- 23 and Bloomington.
- 24 The proposed 17th District does not

follow this path to empower communities of color, as the district has a strong majority, white, voting-age population of 72.23 percent and includes a small fraction of black VAP of 12.12 percent, a Latino VAP of 9.26 percent, and 2.5 percent Asian VAP.

As defined by the Illinois Voting
Rights Act of 2011, this district would fall
short of being a coalition district that would
give racial and language minority groups in
the district the opportunity to elect a
candidate of their choice. If the goal is to
connect communities of interest, it's not
clear what commonalities are being prioritized
that couldn't be achieved using cities or
towns closer to either the northern or
southern part of the district.

If the goal was simply to connect urban voters, it seems it would have been easier to go east from Rockford to create a district between Waukegan and Rockford or Rockford and Elgin. Connecting Waukegan to Rockford not only would have been closer geographically, but it would have reduced the

need for thin swaths to ensure equal population requirements were met.

In prior testimony, we encouraged this Committee to prioritize and publicize what criteria was being considered for each district. As the current map is drafted, it is difficult to determine any rationale for many of districts, absent any guidance from this Committee.

We've also previously asked Committee members to prioritize the Federal Voting Rights Act in their mapping considerations.

We do have strong concerns that this map falls short of that goal.

According to the data released on the Illinois Senate and House Redistricting websites, 13 of the 17 draft districts are majority white, including the 8th Congressional District, which is just two-tenths of a percent shy of a 50 percent white majority threshold based on total population but exceeds the 50 percent threshold in voting-age population.

For context, according to the 2020

Census, the total population of Illinois is 61.6 percent white. Roughly figured, that means three more districts possibly could have been drawn that be would non-majority white districts.

12.

Now, we understand that people don't live in perfect square boxes, nor are we asking you to overlay a perfect grid over the state of Illinois. But there are a handful of districts that are in close proximity to one another that include significant black, Latino, and Asian populations, including Districts 5, 6, 8, 9, 10 and 11.

We would encourage this Committee to ensure that the federal Vote Rights Act is prioritized in any additional drafts using voting-age population data to truly empower communities of color to elect candidates of their choosing.

While today's participation has been surprising, our concerns over the extent of community input remain. These Committee hearings need more meaningful dialogue with more Illinoisans. After being shunned over

and over again, during the spring and summer, many community groups and advocates have given up on participating in this process.

Without their input, people in
Illinois communities are needlessly split up,
their voting power is diluted, and their
representation is stifled.

Please make a sincere effort in these final hours to reach those Illinoisans and show that you are taking their input seriously.

And in getting to Senator Castro's question, I will say that we certainly believe that two Latino districts ought to be explored. It seems to us, in a general look at the data and the map, that there is a majority Latino district that could be created on the south side of the city of Chicago and a Latino-influenced district on the north side.

And we would certainly encourage all of you to solicit more input from voters in those communities and to use voting-age population data to see if that can be done.

Thank you for your time and I'm happy

Page 116 1 to take any questions. 2 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Thank you, Ms. Doubek. I see Senator McClure's hand. 3 Senator McClure. 4 5 SENATOR McCLURE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 6 And thank you, ma'am, for your testimony. 7 You've been very involved in this whole 8 Committee process, and I really appreciate 9 your patience and your willingness to come before us. 10 How much time do you think is needed 11 in order to review the final map before there 12 13 is a vote with enough time for yourself to 14 review it and for other important constituents 15 and for every constituent across this entire 16 state to be able to review it. 17 MS. DOUBEK: We have said a minimum of two weeks, but a month would certainly be 18 19 preferable. 20 SENATOR McCLURE: Thank you very much. 21 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Thank you, Senator. 22 Senator Castro. 23 SENATOR CASTRO: One final question. 24 Madeleine, have you looked at any of the maps

Page 117 and analyzed them that have been submitted to 1 2 the portal thus far? 3 MS. DOUBEK: Senator, unfortunately, I have not had time to do that. I have not had 4 5 an opportunity to examine them, no. 6 SENATOR CASTRO: And I understand it's 7 not -- there is others who have not. And what 8 I would encourage others that -- who talked 9 about seeing them but not having a chance to 10 digest them, that there is more time, so 11 please look at those and submit your comments, 12 concerns. 13 I think I would like to engage more of the folks who are here. And thank you all 14 15 for your time today. 16 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Thank you, Senator 17 Castro. Senator McClure, is your hand up for 18 19 a second time? Okay. 20 So let me say this. And, Ms. Doubek, 21 I appreciate your testimony. I appreciate 22 your participation, as you have always been 23 consistently participating in this process. 24 But I would challenge and push back

- 1 | that the participation that you've seen,
- 2 | particularly from the voices of residents of
- 3 | the 3rd Congressional District who have
- 4 | participated in this process, this is exactly
- 5 | how we've identified and want this process to
- 6 | work; for individuals to have the opportunity
- 7 to participate. And that's why we've been
- 8 | doing this and that's why we're doing these
- 9 hearings.
- 10 | So I would agree with you. We want
- 11 | participation. And when you see today,
- 12 | individuals voice -- want to lift up their
- 13 | voices and participate in this process. And
- 14 | we are glad that they did that. So I'm
- 15 | certainly glad to see all of the participants
- 16 | and always nice to see you, again, Ms. Doubek.
- 17 | Seeing no for the questions --
- 18 | Senator Plummer, I see your hand raised.
- 19 | SENATOR PLUMMER: Yes, it is. Thank you,
- 20 | Chairman Sims.
- 21 My question is not for the previous
- 22 | speaker. I appreciate her comments. I had a
- 23 | couple questions for you if that time is
- 24 | appropriate now.

	Page 119
1	VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Before we do that, let
2	me go to see if there is anyone any
3	other anyone from the public seeking to
4	testify before we go to questions from Members
5	of the Committee to the Chair.
6	Are there any other questions from
7	any member of public seeking to be heard?
8	Going once, going twice. Okay.
9	Senator Plummer, please proceed with your
10	question, sir.
11	SENATOR PLUMMER: Thank you, Chairman
12	Sims.
13	And I know this was touched on
14	briefly earlier. I wasn't fully clear of the
15	answer. But, you know, my understanding is
16	this process is for the Congressional
17	Districts but also the judicial sub-circuits
18	in Cook County.
19	Is there going to be a proposal
20	release on those judicial sub-circuits for the
21	public to view and, if so, when will that
22	proposal be released?
23	VICE-CHAIR SIMS: I would certainly
24	believe that there will be a proposal

released. I can't speak to when that might be.

But as was mentioned and was made public in the announcement of today's Committee hearing, this is for the Congressional hearing — the focus of today's hearing was for Congressional maps. But if anyone is seeking to testify or bring up the topic, we certainly would be willing to listen to that.

SENATOR PLUMMER: Obviously, there is just some concern that no one has seen these very important maps or proposals. And there is a vote expected next week. So, hopefully, there is time for the public to comment on that.

So we had a hearing last Thursday.

Thank you again for that. During that
hearing, there was questions that I asked of
the Chair regarding when a map might be
dropped, who was going to be drawing the map,
so on and so forth. The constant response -I'm not trying to put words in anyone's mouth.
But the constant response was "I don't know,"
"we don't know," "we'll have to wait and see,"

Page 121 "we're just gathering information." 1 That was 2 Thursday. 3 Coincidentally a map dropped Friday, a map that obviously took a tremendous amount 4 5 of time. That the timing is, you know, odd to say the least. 6 So I guess my question is when did 7 8 members of the Committee find out that this 9 map was going to be dropped? And I guess it 10 brings back the original, you know, hearing 11 Friday, why was that canceled? VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Well, number one, 12 13 Senator, the meeting was not canceled; it was 14 rescheduled. So that's a -- Friday's hearing 15 is -- we're having that hearing today. 16 have always talked about giving and providing 17 ample opportunity for the public to review the 18 maps, see them and then comment, which is, 19 thus, the hearing we are participating in 20 right now. 21 SENATOR PLUMMER: So I guess my question 22 remains then, who drew the map that no one 23 knew about Thursday that showed up on Friday?

Senator, we have a map.

VICE-CHAIR SIMS:

24

We have a proposal that's been out there. And it's like -- the map is like any other piece of legislation where -- that takes place in the legislative process.

Senator, my response is going to be consistent with what it has always been. This process requires that we all participate. And just like any other part of legislative process, we would certainly be willing to look at proposals coming from our friends on the other side of the aisle.

I would also remind my friend that there have been significant proposals submitted through our public portal but not yet one of them that includes the input from my friends on the other side of the aisle.

SENATOR PLUMMER: Well, that's because Republicans don't think that the politicians should be drawing the map. We've said that constantly.

So you talk about the legislative process here. So this is part of the legislative process, I think is what you just said. So who is sponsoring this legislation?

## 10/20/2021

	Page 123
1	VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Senator, I can't answer
2	that. I don't know.
3	SENATOR PLUMMER: You don't know?
4	VICE-CHAIR SIMS: That was what I just
5	said, Senator.
6	SENATOR PLUMMER: Okay. Typically, in the
7	legislative
8	VICE-CHAIR SIMS: If it passes prologue,
9	then the Senate President was the sponsor of
10	the legislative maps. I would assume that
11	might be that might be the case here as
12	well. But I can't answer that because I don't
13	know.
14	SENATOR PLUMMER: Well, typically through
15	the legislative process, as you well know, the
16	sponsor of legislation appears before the
17	Committee so that questions can be asked of
18	them and so that there can be a more
19	transparent process.
20	So I'm being told by the Vice
21	Chairman of the Committee I just want to be
22	clear about this and if I'm incorrect,
23	please correct me that the Vice Chairman of
24	the Committee in charge of redistricting

doesn't know who drew the map that appeared out of nowhere Friday and doesn't know who is going to be sponsoring the legislation, even though this map is supposedly part of the legislative process?

VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Well, Senator, what I just said was, the legislative maps were sponsored by the Senate president. If that holds true, I would imagine that the Senate president would do that again. That's number one. Let me finish, Senator.

Number two, this is not the hearing on the bill or the final bill. We're not taking any votes today. This hearing was to hear specifically from the public, which we heard the testimony after testifier after testifier speaking to their desire for what they want to see in a final proposal.

A proposal that -- we are not taking any votes today. So that's not -- we are not at a part of the process yet. This process was to hear from community members what they want to see and their desire for the map. That's what we did.

SENATOR PLUMMER: So will there be another hearing then before a vote so that the sponsor of the legislation can appear and there is a transparent process where the public can have input on -- you know, as we normally would through the legislative process?

VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Senator, again, I've talked about the transparency in this process over and over again and the opportunity for the public to have input and for there to be a discussion on the proposals.

And I have said to you previously, that we are committed to a process that is transparent and that will continue. That is still a commitment that that will happen.

SENATOR PLUMMER: So there were a lot of witnesses today. Clearly a lot of witnesses that spent a tremendous amount of time putting a lot of thought into mapmaking or put a lot of thought analyzing their district or their community, a lot of interesting testimony.

I think the disconnect -- and if you could -- you know, this is less of a specific question and more of an ask that you explain

this to me but also explain it to the
witnesses so that there is a comfort level
here. If you don't know who is drawing the
map and we then don't know who is drawing the
map, how do we know that this testimony and
the hard work of these witnesses gets to those
people and is reflected on the map?

VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Senator, as I said to you and I have said a number of times before, this process is like any other in the legislative process. And as we are going through this process -- and those maps will be drawn. If you want to participate, we certainly would like -- we would like to have that input.

We have not yet seen that input.

That's why we set up the portal that has been created to allow for individuals to state their perspective about how the maps should look.

Again, I've not yet seen that from the other side of the aisle, but I have seen that from everyday ordinary citizens of Illinois across the state who have lifted up

Page 127 1 their voices to participate in the process. I 2 would encourage any friends on the other side of the aisle still to use that as an example 3 and do the same. 4 Well, I think I'm 5 SENATOR PLUMMER: 6 sitting here actively participating with you 7 right now. 8 But based on that response, is it 9 your claim that anyone that is participating 10 in the -- or anyone that shows up in the hearings that hasn't submitted a map isn't a 11 12 participant in the process? Or what are you 13 saying there? 14 Because I've been to every single 15 hearing. I've questioned you at every single 16 hearing. I've questioned witnesses at every 17 hearing. I've been a very active participant, 18 and then you tell me I'm not participating. So is the lack of a map submittal, 19 20 does that mean someone isn't participating or 21 what are you saying there? 22 Participating in VICE-CHAIR SIMS: 23 the process -- in creating a map for the --24 a proposal for the entire state of

1 | Illinois.

Senator, I think -- and, again, I'm not -- please don't take this as me attempting to denigrate your participation in this process. But there is participation via the exchanges that you and I are having and there are active -- there is active participation as it relates to producing a final product that the General -- that the General Assembly will take up in legislative action. And that is the process that I'm asking for my Republican colleagues to participate in.

There is certainly the back and forth and the questions about this process. I appreciate that, but participating and producing a proposal that will be taken up by the Illinois Senate, taken up by the Illinois House, and then forwarded to the Governor for action, that is the process that I am asking for and my Republican colleagues to participate in.

SENATOR PLUMMER: So in theory, if someone wanted to participate in that specific map-drawing process that you're describing,

Page 129 where is map drawing taking place and who 1 2 would be we be participating with? VICE-CHAIR SIMS: 3 Senator --SENATOR PLUMMER: Who is drawing the map? 4 5 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Senator, I'm so glad you 6 asked that question because we have a public 7 portal that anyone who wants to draw a map can 8 utilize that portal to draw and submit a map. 9 Additionally, there is an email address where anyone -- and we have said we 10 11 will accept any proposal from anyone from an additional mapmaking software to be submitted. 12 13 If you have -- if you have challenges with it, 14 I will -- I can certainly -- I will certainly 15 show you, tell you again. Because that 16 website is ILSenateredistricting.com. 17 want to go to that, go to the website and go 18 to the portal. 19 But, also, if you want to submit a 20 map through whatever mapmaking portal or 21 platform that you have used, you can 22 submit that via email to 23 RedistrictingCommittee@SenateDem.ILGA.gov. 24 Again, that's

RedistrictingCommittee@SenateDem.ILGA.gov.

SENATOR PLUMMER: So I appreciate that.

3 And, you know, the very first witness

4 | commented. And I think that person seemed to

5 | be extraordinarily acquainted with the process

6 | and deeply involved in mapmaking, not just in

7 | Illinois, but elsewhere. But he mentioned the

8 extreme technical difficulties he was having.

9 | And just so you know, because I know you care,

10 | Senator Sims, that your website wasn't working

11 | this weekend. So anyone that did try to go

12 | there, the website wasn't loading. Just as an

13 | FYI. That's something you might want to have

14 | your IT team look into. I want to shift gears

15 | here.

1

2

16 VICE-CHAIR SIMS: That's why we have got

17 | the backup email address, Senator. Please

18 | continue.

19 | SENATOR PLUMMER: So it is now -- and you

20 | and I have talked about this and there seemed

21 | to be a certain lack of curiosity around this,

22 which I found very odd, considering this is

23 | the Committee tasked with the redistricting

24 | process. And I would hope that this is the

way redistricting is handled, in a transparent manner.

12.

But it is widely reported by multiple reporters, multiple media outlets that there have been secret meetings between the President of the Senate's office, the Speaker of the House's office, and the Governor's office.

I have asked numerous times if you or anyone else on the Committee would inquire with those bodies to find out either, yes, that's true so that we can dig into it and have them show as witnesses or they can correct the record and tell us it's not happening.

You know, the majority part has elected not to do that thus far. The House Democrat Press Secretary Jaclyn Driscoll has confirmed on the record now that these meetings are taking place. So the Governor had committed to not participating in a partisan or a process lacking in transparency. And now it's very clear that the Governor's office is leading an effort with the House

Democrats and the Senate Democrats to draw a map outside of the authority or outside the purview of this Committee.

This is, obviously, a significant problem. Will you, Vice-Chairman Sims, ask the Governor and/or a representative of the Governor to appear before this Committee before a final map is drawn so that we can ask about this not only reported news but this confirmed news?

VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Well, Senator, I'm not going to speak on behalf of what House

Democratic -- a representative of the House

Democrats has or has not confirmed.

What I will commit to and which is what we have done here today and which I will continue to do is listen to the voices of our constituents, listen to the voices of Illinois residents who are asking for participation in this process. That's what I am more interested in participating in, Senator.

You know, again, you can -- we can go around and around about this story and I -- SENATOR PLUMMER: I don't want to go

1 | around and around.

VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Senator, let me finish, let me finish.

We can go around and around about this story, which I am not interested in doing. I am more interested in a product and a process that produces a map that our constituents are looking for. That's what I'm prepared to do, Senator.

SENATOR PLUMMER: What concerns me is the complete lack of interest in pursuing the story, especially now that it has been confirmed by participants in these meetings that are drawing a map.

You know, I'm not an investigator or anything like that. But it's pretty easy to connect the dots. Committee hearing is on Thursday. We ask about these meetings; nobody knows about them. We ask who's drawing the map; nobody knows. We ask when we will see a map; nobody knows.

A map appears out of thin air on Friday, right. I'm going to guess it probably came from the Governor's office and the

representatives of those other groups. And so I would think, just out of sheer diligence or, frankly, out of frustration by you -- because they're clearly running around this Committee, that we would want the Governor's office to appear.

So I'm going to send a letter to the Governor's office, Senator Sims. Would you join me in writing a letter to the Governor's office to ask the Governor and/or a member of his staff to appear to -- before the Committee to answer questions about these meetings that have been confirmed?

VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Senator, you are certainly within your right to send that letter to the Governor. But, again, as I said to you previously, I don't need to be told how to feel.

But, in addition, I guess I don't understand the concern. Because what has been -- I have heard on a consistent basis coming from my friends on the other side of the aisle that we need at least two weeks before hearing -- before final action on a

1 | final map proposal.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

There was a proposal that was submitted last week, which is almost two weeks before the end of the scheduled spring -- scheduled fall veto session. So is the complaint with the timing of the submission of the map?

I quess I don't understand the circular logic and the circular outrage because we have consistently talked about having -- giving the public ample opportunity to see a map and to weigh in on it. happening, Senator. We submitted -- there was a map submitted. The map was then -- the map was submitted. We have now had the opportunity. We didn't go right and have the meeting right after the map was submitted but gave communities the time and the opportunity to look at those maps and then come back with their -- with their input and their testimony, which is what we have been spending the last several hours talking about, the input from Illinois residents about what they want to see.

Senator, that is what this process -that's the process that we have outlined from
the very beginning and the one that we
continue to engage in.

SENATOR PLUMMER: I appreciate you acknowledging all of that and I appreciate you highlighting the importance of some of that.

So I guess that just begs the obvious question.

If all this public input and all of this public review is so important after the submittal of that map, will you commit to having a period of time of at least two weeks, as numerous witnesses have asked for, so that witnesses can review and talk about the final map, the actual map that is going to be voted on? If there is another map, Senator Sims, will there be a period of time of -- a reasonable period of time between the submittal of that map and the vote on that map so the public can review and the public can provide their input?

VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Senator, as I have mentioned to you on numerous occasions, the

fall veto session has been scheduled since the end of the spring legislative session. That is -- that is the calendar on the schedule that we have been following and the calendar on the schedule that we continue to follow.

SENATOR PLUMMER: And that's fine. For the witnesses' benefit, obviously, there is nothing at all that says that we have to vote on a map during fall veto session. I mean, just like we came back for a special session in August. If we truly care about transparency and the public input, we can obviously come back later on. But that's fine, if that's the calendar that you want to follow.

I guess my likely last question would be, the meeting that was supposed to take place this last Friday was supposed to take place in Carbondale. Carbondale is in southern Illinois. That meeting was not canceled, as you pointed out earlier; it was changed to today in Springfield. And it would be negligent of me not to point out the fact that this deprives the entirety of

	Page 138
1	southern Illinois from hosting a hearing on
2	these maps.
3	So for the next hearing can we commit
4	to providing an avenue for the people of
5	southern Illinois to be better engaged in this
6	process?
7	VICE-CHAIR SIMS: I will certainly take
8	that up with the Chair.
9	SENATOR PLUMMER: Thank you, Senator Sims,
10	I believe that's all that I have today.
11	VICE-CHAIR SIMS: Okay. Thank you so
12	much, Senator.
13	Seeing no further hands or questions,
14	again, I want to remind all of our
15	participants and anyone and all listening, if
16	you want to view the 2020 census numbers and
17	data, draw community interest or district,
18	please go to our website at
19	ILSenateredistricting.com.
20	If you have drawn a map using another
21	mapping platform and would like to submit that
22	map and share it with the Committee,
23	please email it to
24	RedistrictingCommittee@SenateDem.ILGA.gov.

## 10/20/2021

	Page 139
1	Again, that's
2	RedistrictingCommittee@SenateDem.ILGA.gov.
3	Also if you want to submit additional
4	testimony or maps, please email them to
5	RedistrictingCommittee@SenateDem.ILGA.gov.
6	There being no further business to
7	come before the Committee, this meeting of the
8	Senate Redistricting Committee stands
9	adjourned. Thank you, everyone.
10	(End of proceedings.)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

## 10/20/2021

	Page 140
1	STATE OF ILLINOIS
2	COUNTY OF COOK
3	I, Cheryl L. Sandecki, and a Certified
4	Shorthand Reporter of the State of Illinois, do
5	hereby certify that I reported in shorthand the
6	proceedings had at the taking of said hearing
7	and that the foregoing is a true, complete, and
8	correct transcript of my shorthand notes so
9	taken as aforesaid, and contains all the
10	proceedings given at said hearing.
11	
12	Charala Socialista
13	Chergh Surdich
14	
15	
16	CHERYL L. SANDECKI, CSR, RPR C.S.R. License No. 084-03710
17	GIBINI EIGENDE NOI GOT GOTEG
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

	41:13 45:8	<b>3-</b> 35:6		
1	111:14,24	<b>300</b> 33:3		9
	<b>18.2</b> 26:5 72:5	<b>307</b> 110:9		
<b>1</b> 110:10	81:18 105:8	<b>30s</b> 30:22	<b>5</b> 114:13	<b>9</b> 114:13
<b>10</b> 114:13	<b>19th</b> 67:8	<b>31</b> 87:5	<b>50</b> 113:20,22	<b>9.26</b> 112:5
<b>10,000</b> 12:16	68:11 72:18	<b>37</b> 84:4	<b>51</b> 21:7	<b>9th</b> 17:12
<b>102</b> 110:14	1st 6:6 20:3	3rd 14:12,17	<b>55</b> 100:12	
<b>10th</b> 17:11	67:12	15:3 16:9,11	<b>58</b> 27:9	${f A}$
57:21		17:19 18:18,	<b>5th</b> 17:12 29:9	
<b>11</b> 114:13	2	22 22:13	47:17 56:1	A-B-R-A-H-
<b>11th</b> 28:4,8,21		29:17 41:8		<b>A-M</b> 99:2
29:9 31:23	<b>2</b> 22:7 39:9	51:16,23 52:8	6	A-N-N-A
41:7 45:8	56:23	67:13 68:3,4		78:20
55:23,24	<b>2.5</b> 112:6	72:14 79:1,4,	<b>6</b> 114:13	A-R-N-I-E
72:18 104:13	<b>20</b> 23:21	6,8 80:13	<b>60s</b> 87:12	91:21
<b>12.12</b> 112:5	26:13 34:6	81:9 83:22	<b>61.6</b> 114:2	ability 12:8
<b>123GO</b> 6:21	41:23 43:19	85:13 92:8,	<b>66</b> 88:19	70:24
67:10,24	79:8	19,20 94:6	<b>67.5</b> 27:5	<b>Abraham</b> 7:1
69:23	<b>2000</b> 43:20	95:6 96:12,20	<b>6th</b> 17:13 41:4	98:21 99:1
<b>13</b> 32:23 33:7,	<b>2011</b> 112:8	97:7,9 98:11	45:8 48:14,18	<b>absent</b> 4:20
8,9 113:17	<b>2016</b> 10:20,22,	99:5,10	56:2	113:8
<b>13th</b> 33:23	24 36:13	100:6,8 102:8		absolutely
34:6,9 41:13	<b>2017</b> 10:24	104:1,16 105:15 106:2,	7	26:15 31:8
<b>14</b> 22:6	<b>2018</b> 11:1,4	3,6 118:3		35:24 37:19 52:17 74:17
<b>14th</b> 37:15	44:21 67:24	5,0 110.5	<b>70s</b> 87:12	78:13
<b>15</b> 22:7	<b>2019</b> 11:4		<b>71</b> 27:2	
111:15	20:24 27:8	4	<b>72.23</b> 112:3	accept 129:11
<b>150</b> 101:24	<b>2020</b> 20:22	<b>4</b> 39:10	<b>7th</b> 20:19	access 35:23 87:21
<b>15th</b> 72:19	113:24	<b>40</b> 43:23 68:2	21:12 52:4	
100:4	<b>2070</b> 54:6	69:8	80:1,5	accessible 36:10
<b>16th</b> 37:15	<b>22</b> 26:13 28:8	<b>400</b> 35:7		accessing 61:7
<b>17</b> 32:23 33:9	<b>25</b> 23:21	<b>42</b> 110:14	8	O
35:3 109:22	41:23 106:16	<b>42.9</b> 20:21	<b>8</b> 114:13	accomplish 58:24
113:17	<b>25-year</b> 91:22	<b>45.1</b> 21:4		
17-district	<b>2nd</b> 20:8	<b>49</b> 21:2	<b>80</b> 13:23	accomplishes 66:8
27:20 29:8	67:12	49 21.2 4th 17:14	<b>80s</b> 87:13	
31:5		26:24 51:24	8th 17:13	account 26:19 27:10
<b>17th</b> 33:4,22	3	80:1,6,7	31:22 32:3,9 41:2 56:1	accounting
36:2,5 37:7,	2 22 7	111:4	113:18	27:6
17 38:1,4	<b>3</b> 22:7	**	113.10	21.0

accurate 66:22 achieve 13:9 19:24 20:1,2 34:22 111:1 achieved 112:15 acquainted 130:5 Act 16:14,18 20:17 21:20 30:5 54:1,2,5	addressed 98:7 adequately 33:1 50:6 97:18 adjacent 86:17 adjustments 12:5 administrator 84:2 advantage	aggravating 24:22 agree 118:10 ahead 9:24 26:20 air 69:3 133:22 Airport 100:16 102:15 aisle 122:11, 16 126:22	110:2  analyze 76:16 109:7  analyzed 117:1  analyzing 77:15 125:20  and/or 54:17 132:6  Anderson 6:13 7:5 9:12, 13,14,15	approach 61:2 approaches 13:2 approaching 13:14 Aquino 4:19 area 10:23 14:6,8 15:13 18:3,4,5 19:24 20:11 28:15,17
112:8 113:12 114:15 action 7:3 108:16 128:10,19 active 58:17 127:17 128:7 actively 109:1 127:6 activism 67:21 73:23 activist 71:8	109:17  Advisory 95:10  advocacy 55:9 57:8 86:11 94:16  advocate 55:19 91:16 95:23  advocates 115:2  advocating	127:3  Alexandria 54:7  allowed 5:12 100:6 110:21  allowing 14:9 60:10  alongside 55:10  amenable 27:24 49:3  Amendment	Andrew 6:15 9:16 10:3 32:15 ands 82:9 Ann 11:2 Anna 6:21 78:14,19 announcement 120:4 answers 74:1 Anthony 6:13 7:5 9:12	36:12 43:18 48:1 50:15 51:4 52:4 59:4,21 69:6 71:2,9 80:23, 24 82:23 83:2 84:18 86:17 87:5,12,23 88:2,13,22 89:6,7,9,10, 24 92:6,12,24 93:8,10 95:22 97:1
72:11 74:3 activity 12:13 actuality 30:14 add 56:3 additional 53:18,22 55:9,18 90:24 114:16 129:12 Additionally 129:9 address 12:2 55:15 64:1 93:12 95:2 129:10 130:17	53:16 57:18 108:1 ae 25:8 affected 99:23 100:3 affinity 94:10 African- american 53:2 afternoon 3:1 9:20 57:12 age 62:24 agency 59:13, 15 agenda 53:24 56:5	24:17  American 42:13 103:5  Americans 87:11 88:8  amount 16:19 47:7 49:15 99:13 121:4 125:18  amounts 23:7  ample 121:17  amplify 53:20 56:3  analysis 30:2 108:20 109:10,16,22	anymore 37:2 82:21,24 anyone's 120:22 appealed 87:18 appeared 124:1 appearing 40:7 appears 123:16 133:22 appreciated 12:10	areas 13:20 15:8,22,23 17:23 18:3 20:12 27:11, 19 33:18 34:18 36:5 37:6 39:11,18 41:12 42:10, 11 44:1,8 48:23 49:4,7 50:11 57:22 60:21 66:4 69:4 71:5 81:5 90:10 93:8 111:11 Argonne

100.16	.444.1	111 22	04.20	1. 25 1 21 14
100:16	<b>attempted</b> 11:19 12:2	111:22	94:20	<b>big</b> 25:1 31:14
<b>argue</b> 13:22		117:24	bean 25:1	107:8
26:9	29:13,14,16, 20 30:14	121:10 128:13	bear 51:7 75:1	bilingual 59:8
Armour 15:6			107:16	<b>bill</b> 8:6 15:19
21:9 52:3	attempting	backfire	<b>bears</b> 11:10	28:16 63:11
99:8	128:3	96:20	beautiful	124:13
arms 24:3	<b>attend</b> 101:19	background	87:24	<b>bind</b> 103:23
43:6 44:10,15	attending	10:17 11:11	beauty 92:8,	<b>bird</b> 25:5
Arnie 6:23	85:2	40:9	22	<b>bit</b> 10:17
91:18,20	attention	backgrounds	began 6:6	11:11,16 12:6
artificially	14:12 38:24	90:13	89:13 101:24	13:8 32:14
21:16	39:1 77:21	backup	102:1	40:9 43:6
<b>arts</b> 88:6	attorney	130:17	<b>begin</b> 7:9	58:23 84:8
<b>Asia</b> 102:22	44:21 99:3	backyards	100:13	97:10 107:1,
Asian 15:7	Aurora 28:9,	90:2	beginning	13
21:9 52:3	14 30:20	<b>bad</b> 42:2	40:8 66:18	<b>black</b> 17:16
112:6 114:12	59:1,2,3,18	96:24 97:1,3	behalf 6:16,	18:7,12 20:21
aspects 111:9	60:4,6,19	balance 19:24	17,20,21 7:2,	21:3,4,7,18,
asphalt 73:18	61:18,19 66:4	52:7 74:19	5 9:16 10:4	23 22:17
aspiration	authority	ballot 39:7	53:8 60:5	34:7,12 112:4
90:5	132:2	<b>Barba</b> 6:20	86:11 91:16	114:11
Assembly	average 110:7	58:20,21	94:16 108:1,8	<b>bleed</b> 24:13
53:11 128:9	<b>avoid</b> 21:21	66:11,13	132:12	<b>blob</b> 77:9
assistance	Awesome	Barickman	behave 44:16	blocking
61:12	83:10	4:2,3 7:7,11,	belong 90:6	68:19
assistant 11:2,	awhile 64:21	12,17,20,24	benefit 79:15	Bloomington
6		8:4,9,15,22	benefits 40:18	111:17,23
Association	В	9:4,8	Bennett 4:16	<b>Bloomington-</b>
59:14		base 82:20	Bernstein	normal 36:7
assume 45:11	B-E-R-N-S-T-	baseball 94:10	6:23 91:18,	<b>blue</b> 23:5,10
123:10	<b>E-I-N</b> 91:21	based 27:8	19,20,21	92:12
assuming	<b>baby</b> 89:21	60:7 67:8,12,	93:17,19,22	blue-collar
72:22 75:19	bachelor's	17,18,21	94:3,11,14	79:14 80:18
assumptions	59:10	68:10 108:24	96:3	Blueroom 5:1
45:3	<b>back</b> 7:18 8:1,	113:21 127:8	<b>Berwyn</b> 84:13	<b>Bobby</b> 17:19
assured 45:2	12 9:15	baseline 11:14	Beverly 69:1	18:14
attachment	58:11,12 63:9	<b>basic</b> 26:23	83:24 91:22	<b>bodies</b> 131:11
11:7	68:13 70:18	basically 31:5	92:6 99:9	boomers
attempt 28:6	76:17 77:17	44:8 47:13	104:6	89:21
attempt 20.0	83:1 89:23	48:13 64:8	<b>Biden</b> 44:23	booming
	107:11			_
I	I	l	l	

84:23	brings 68:8	29:22	69:2,5,9,10,	categories
<b>Boone</b> 47:20	121:10	Calabrese's	15 73:3,4,5	109:8
boost 21:4,23	<b>broad</b> 72:14	97:16	92:15 130:9	<b>Caucus</b> 11:13
borders 87:9	brought 70:23	Calderon 6:17	career 28:18	caucuses 13:7
111:7	brunt 51:7	52:19,21,24	careers 62:15,	celebration
		56:8,13,22	16	63:16
<b>born</b> 53:2	<b>Bryant</b> 3:10,	57:7	careful 40:17	
59:1	14,15,17,21,	call 14:18		Celeste 6:18
bought 87:20	24 4:1 32:18,		<b>caring</b> 90:12	57:10,12
<b>bound</b> 88:13	19,20 35:2,21	<b>called</b> 3:3	carve 80:2	census 21:5
boundaries	38:3 39:23	59:13 64:6	carving 93:1	27:3 30:24
68:7 70:19	40:1 41:14	67:10 84:9	case 44:23	53:1 62:8
75:2 79:6	56:9,11 57:3	109:5	47:15 62:6	114:1
81:9 82:14	76:5,7	calls 54:8	73:1 77:5,8	<b>Center</b> 6:18,
89:4	<b>build</b> 66:2	campaign	123:11	19 53:7 54:4
<b>box</b> 24:14	71:9	10:24 11:3	<b>cash</b> 24:5	57:14
<b>boxes</b> 114:7	building	24:3,5 36:13,	Casten's	centers 79:22
<b>boy</b> 78:21	46:15 50:2	15,17,20	17:13	central 10:8
108:15	88:20	campaigns	Castro 4:14,	33:7 34:14
<b>Brad</b> 17:11	<b>built</b> 102:17,	23:17	15 5:2,3,9	37:10 43:17
<b>break</b> 27:18	18	Campbell	25:20,21 26:2	87:9
breaking	<b>bunch</b> 17:22	32:15	29:12 31:21	centrist 96:21
13:10	Burlington	<b>canal</b> 88:18	32:1,10,13	cetera 52:5
breaks 95:20	87:20	102:2,5	50:3 71:24	<b>Chair</b> 98:23
breathe 69:3	<b>bush</b> 25:6	Canaryville	72:1 74:11	119:5 120:19
	business 71:7	99:8 104:7	75:4,11 76:2,	Chairman 3:5
Bridgeport	businesses	canceled	4 81:13,14,23	5:3 7:7,13,21
15:6 21:10	61:5,7,11,13,	121:11,13	82:16 83:10,	8:5,17 9:9
52:4 68:13	15 89:24	candidate	14 85:17,18	25:21 40:6
78:24 79:10,	103:8,20	30:12 112:12	86:5,8 90:22	67:4 72:1
19 80:3 90:6	businessperso	candidates	91:6,7,13	76:9 83:12
93:5,6 95:21	n 103:14	15:18 39:6	93:12 94:1	86:6 107:20
96:24 99:4,8	<b>Bustos</b> 36:4,9,	110:1 114:18	105:1,2	108:4 116:5
100:12 102:3	15 39:4	capital 100:20	106:8,24	118:20
103:17 104:6,	butts 82:9	103:22	107:19,22	119:11
8,13	Duits 02.7	<b>Capitol</b> 56:16	116:22,23	123:21,23
bridges 101:5		•	117:6,17	challenge
<b>briefly</b> 119:14	C	capture 111:22	Castro's 98:8	117:24
<b>bring</b> 14:11	C-L-A-R-E		115:12	challenges
37:24 120:8	67:5	captured	casual 12:12,	129:13
bringing 83:1	Calabrese	62:14	22	127.10
	Calabrese	care 35:17		

Champaign-	40:21 51:4,6	<b>civic</b> 46:21	collective	6:6 8:8 10:15
urbana 34:9	86:23	67:7 87:3,17	53:24	12:3,4 14:14
champion	chief 53:5	89:1,17 90:10	college 42:18,	19:3 20:2
43:24	children 30:6	<b>claim</b> 127:9	20 92:17	25:3,20
chance 34:15	60:22 63:3	<b>Clare</b> 6:20	college-	56:14,18,21
85:7 117:9	89:22,23	66:24 67:5	educated	58:5,8 66:13
change 7:2	Chinatown	clarify 9:21	15:12 47:24	70:17 76:17
16:19 17:6,9,	95:21 97:1	10:2 47:11	48:24	85:11 86:20
15 19:17 68:5	choice 30:12	clear 112:14	<b>color</b> 92:12	93:24 95:2
70:22 96:17	44:19 54:11	119:14	112:2 114:18	98:2,24
108:8,16	94:10 112:12	123:22	colors 17:5	104:15
changing	choosing	131:23	103:11	106:21
14:14 93:4	114:19	cleats 79:22	Columbus	108:10 113:4,
character	Christopher	Clerk 3:4,9,16	14:4,8 43:15	9,10 114:14,
81:9 82:14	6:24 94:17	clinical 59:12	combine	22 116:8
85:12 96:15	chunk 50:24	<b>Clinton</b> 63:11	65:24	119:5 120:5
97:6 101:22	Chuy 17:14	close 55:17	combined	121:8 123:17,
103:4 106:3,7	55:11	114:10	16:13	21,24 130:23
charge 55:14	Cicero 84:13		<b>comfort</b> 126:2	131:10 132:3,
123:24	92:18,20	<b>closer</b> 112:16,	comment	7 133:17
charged 37:20	cities 34:8	23	120:15	Committee's
chart 35:15	102:12	club 80:12	120.13	5:21 6:2
Cheri 36:3,9,	111:22	<b>clubs</b> 81:5	commented	47:12
14 39:3	111.22	coalition 68:5	130:4	common
Chicago 18:5	citizen 20:23	69:8,24 70:23		15:16 17:24
20:12 28:10	21:6 27:7	72:15 75:14	comments 117:11	18:4 33:6
53:10 59:21	31:1 59:6	112:9	117.11	44:8 54:17
60:20 66:5		<b>Coast</b> 102:9		55:15 67:17,
67:8 80:17	citizen-led 95:12	coherent	commission	18,21 68:8
84:1,11,24	, , , , ,	14:23 41:10	53:4 95:10,13	69:19 70:16 72:20 79:21
86:19 87:16	citizens 32:24	<b>coin</b> 64:7	commissioner	84:15,19
95:5,9,17	40:19 46:20 71:2,12 98:11	Coincidentally	95:9	89:15,16
97:2 104:3	126:23	121:3	commit	90:19 96:11
115:18		<b>Cold</b> 43:5	132:15	97:11 101:22
Chicago's	citizenship 15:24 21:15	collaborative	commitment	103:4,17,23
53:2,14 55:2,		71:11	125:15	commonalities
14 78:24 99:4	city 67:8	<b>collar</b> 92:12	committed	92:14 93:10
104:13	73:11,13 80:8	colleagues	125:13	112:14
Chicagoland	87:10,21 88:11,23 90:7	78:10 128:12,	131:21	communities
16:6 17:9	115:18	20	Committee	13:10,17
19:24 23:9	113.10		3:3 5:6,17,24	14:24 15:5,
				1 1.2 1 13.3,
	l l			

10,11,15	124:22	compliant	133:13	Congressperso
16:1,13,24	125:21	20:17 21:19	Congress	<b>n</b> 73:24
17:24 19:23	community-	28:7 52:5	37:24 43:18,	congresswoma
21:15 25:7,9	<b>based</b> 67:11,	compounding	24 53:21,23	<b>n</b> 36:3,9,10,13
33:1,20 34:4,	16 72:11	43:2	54:1,13,14	39:3 101:7
5,16,24	commuter	comprehensiv	55:19 56:5	connect
37:13,17,21	87:21	e 74:6 77:18	84:17	112:13,18
38:4 40:22	compact	comprised	congressional	133:17
41:9,10,17	33:15 35:5	88:3	5:14,18 6:9	connected
49:6,24 50:5	37:20 44:4	concentrated	11:14,21	34:9 84:12
51:13,18,20	46:5,7 48:15	47:23	14:12,19	Connecting
55:7 58:3	110:8 111:15	concentration	23:21 24:6,12	112:22
59:23 60:19	compactness	83:4	26:15 29:17,	conservative
61:14 62:8	37:18 110:6		19 41:23	15:21 16:2
66:1,5 70:8,	111:2,8	concern 12:22	50:23 51:5	
11,15,23	·	13:6,15 14:17	53:12,18	considerable
78:10 79:10,	<b>compared</b> 61:8	20:13 22:24	55:10 57:21	49:15
15 82:8 85:6		36:1 45:24	58:4 67:13	consideration
90:18 95:18,	comparison	48:8,11 49:5	68:4 79:1,5,6,	6:15,17 9:17
23 96:14	13:12	120:12	9 80:2,11,13	19:3,8 25:15
98:19 100:6	competing	concerned	81:10 83:8,22	49:23
109:5 112:1,	99:14	23:5 39:20	84:6 87:1	considerations
13 114:18	competition	46:13 68:23	89:5 94:7	113:12
115:5,22	44:18 46:24	concerns	95:6,20 97:3,	considered
community	competitive	10:14 15:2	7 98:12 99:5,	113:5
6:13 7:6 9:12	23:8,23 24:6	17:10 27:24	10 100:9	consistent
14:23 26:4	41:23 43:9	34:3,16 37:23	104:1,17	14:1 122:6
28:1 35:7	44:5 45:9,19	82:24 83:2	105:15 106:5,	consistently
38:2 40:15	46:2,4,8,19	113:13	23 107:4	34:17 84:16
48:9,16 54:15	47:18 90:14	114:21	108:12,22	117:23
55:8 57:17	109:21,23,24	117:12	109:23 110:9,	constant
58:1,9 59:14,	competitivene	133:10	13,21 111:5,	120:21,23
18 63:15 64:2	ss 109:9,15	conclude	10 113:19	constantly
67:21 71:8	complaint	93:21	118:3 119:16	122:20
72:5 74:3	16:14 27:17	confidence	120:6,7	constituencies
81:18 86:11	complete	37:2	congressman	101:18
87:22 88:6	133:11	confident	11:1 20:3	constituency
91:17 94:16	completely	39:12	52:1 55:10	35:10
96:9 97:11	11:24 55:20	<b>confine</b> 48:3,5	101:6,7	constituent
99:18 104:15	96:15	confirmed	Congressmen	116:15
105:7 108:1	compliance	7:15 131:19	54:6	constituents
114:22 115:2	30:6	132:10,14	-	Constituents

		1		
35:11 54:16	14	<b>COVID</b> 55:6	79:1 82:14	<b>deal</b> 99:12,14
81:2 104:5	cornerstone	create 29:6	85:14 89:7	decade 6:10
116:14	61:15	31:19 44:15	95:6 97:7,9	12:24 13:22,
132:18 133:8	cornucopia	46:23 51:11	105:12 106:7,	24 14:7
constitutes	103:10	56:2 68:20	20 107:17	decades 84:9
36:4	correct 103:1	97:19 112:20	111:4 113:6	85:3 104:4
construction	123:23	created 19:22	<b>cut</b> 51:8	Decatur 34:10
102:1	131:14	79:18 115:17	<b>cuts</b> 111:22	<b>deep</b> 12:20
consultants	correspondenc	126:18	<b>cycle</b> 36:17	14:17 51:2
22:23 23:15	es 6:1	creates 34:21		89:12
contained	corridor	46:19		deeper 45:22
24:15 101:9	68:21,22	creating 25:11		103:2
context 11:19	79:13,23 82:7	30:15 47:22	D-O-U-B	<b>deeply</b> 130:6
113:24	88:15 103:18	48:9 63:5	108:14	defined 112:7
continue 55:5	counter 11:14	105:24	D-U-G-G-A-N	defining 87:12
71:3 105:23	counties	127:23	67:6	<b>degree</b> 10:19
125:14	110:12,15,19	credibility	<b>daily</b> 88:16	45:4
130:18	111:16	11:16	<b>Dale</b> 6:22	
132:17	countries	<b>creeds</b> 103:11	83:19,21	delay 57:2
continued	110:24	crisis 60:8	<b>Dan</b> 15:19	delegation
88:11	<b>country</b> 14:19	criteria 113:5	100:13	17:17 18:7
continuing	23:22 24:1	cross-roads	<b>Danny</b> 20:15	20:14 22:17
58:18	42:7 43:5,10	96:5	dark 24:8,21	97:3 106:5,23
control 24:23	80:11 81:5	<b>CSX</b> 100:15	data 62:9	deliberate
42:7 43:1	102:19,24	Cultural 6:18	113:15	12:18
convention	county 20:5,	53:6 54:4	113.13	democracy
54:9	10 29:1,2		115:16,23	85:4
conversation	40:24 41:4,5	culturally	date 63:9	Democrat
38:6	44:7 47:20	15:20 96:10		46:12 131:18
Cook 20:5	59:15,17,20	97:5	daughter 59:5	<b>Democratic</b>
41:3 59:16	80:22 82:22	culture 90:1	<b>David</b> 43:19	11:13 13:4
88:18 95:4	88:18 95:4	Cunningham	<b>Davis</b> 20:15	14:8 15:18
97:2 110:18	97:2 110:16,	4:12,13	day 23:3 74:9	22:14 23:1
119:18	18 119:18	curiosity	days 17:21	42:11,19 49:1
cooperation	<b>couple</b> 12:14	56:12 81:23	77:14,24	96:18 105:20,
90:5	19:16 26:2	130:21	87:15	21,22 109:17,
coordinate	36:18 50:2	curious 33:5	<b>DC</b> 22:23	19 110:1
101:16	118:23	45:24 75:13	100:23	132:13
	coupled 24:2	current 40:18	<b>DCCC</b> 24:3	<b>Democrats</b>
<b>cores</b> 37:9	court 5:5,9,11	54:8 55:21	75:20	22:6,7 23:2
corner 15:6,	66:20	57:19 70:20		44:6 46:5
	00.20			
	ı	l	I	

132:1,14	difficulties	distinct 33:20	12,16,18,20	20,21,23,24
denigrate	130:8	34:15	97:7,9 98:12,	110:8,21
128:4	difficulty	district 14:12,	14 99:6,10	113:8,17
denominator	11:22	17,19 15:4	100:7,9,22	114:3,5,10,13
96:12	diffuse 47:2	16:9,11	101:6,7,9,21,	115:14
		17:11,12,21	23 102:8,10,	119:17
<b>Department</b> 60:4	<b>dig</b> 103:2	18:1,18,23	24 103:2,9	<b>dive</b> 45:17
		20:3,8,20	104:1,5,17	diverse 68:10
depend 15:24	digest 117:10	21:12,17,24	105:14,16,17	71:10 84:12
depopulating	<b>diluted</b> 71:6	22:13,18	106:2,3,7,14	93:9 96:10
43:23	85:10 115:6	23:4,15 26:24	107:12 109:7	97:6 101:21
<b>depth</b> 50:16	diminish 85:7	28:4,8,21,22	110:17,23	103:1 106:3
<b>Des</b> 6:7	direct 8:6	29:4,15 30:15	111:3,5,15,	diversity
describing	direction 86:3	32:3,9 34:6,	18,24 112:2,	69:10 87:6
128:24	Director	21 36:2,5,22,	8,9,11,17,21	90:2,11 92:6,
deserve 25:10	108:15	24 37:3,5,6,8,	113:6,19	22 98:14
103:23	disconnect	15,17,20	115:17,19	103:12,20
deserving	125:22	38:1,4,22	118:3 125:20	divide 68:7
26:17	discuss 12:6	39:9,13,15	districting	73:10
designed	79:21	40:21 41:1,2,	5:20 14:15	<b>divided</b> 90:14
46:23,24 47:2	discussing	4 44:3,5	districts 14:4	dogs 64:15
desire 58:16	38:11	47:16,17,22	16:5,15,18	
61:20 62:11,	discussion	48:14,18	17:2,4,8,14,	dollars 101:3
14 71:10	7:14 8:2 48:3	49:2,22	18 18:21	dominated
124:17,23	97:17 125:11	51:16,24 52:8	21:11 22:12,	88:16
destroy 90:3	discussions	53:19 54:20	22 23:8,16,22	<b>Donald</b> 39:9
destroys	48:5	55:13,22,23,	24:7 25:8	<b>dots</b> 133:17
105:12 106:2	disempowered	24 56:1,2,3	26:7,13,15	Doubek 7:2
detail 76:1	71:4	57:20,22	29:20 30:21	108:7,9,13
details 45:18	disparate	65:14 67:13	31:7 32:5,23,	116:2,17
determine	14:24 15:1	68:4,24 69:5,	24 33:15,22,	117:3,20
54:10 113:7	33:18 37:16	7 72:14 74:7,	24 41:24 43:9	118:16
dialogue	51:20 80:15	24 79:2,5,7,9	44:22 45:7,20	downstate
114:23	dispersing	80:5,6,7,10,	46:2,5,7	51:3
difference	55:3	11,14 81:10 82:11,12,15	49:20 51:6,8,	downtown
64:19 65:21	displacement	83:23 84:9,21	12,21 57:20	68:22 87:16
differing 83:9	54:24	85:6,13 87:1	72:8 74:16,18	<b>draft</b> 53:12
difficult	dissipated	89:5,8,18	80:2 81:3,20	76:12 98:1
31:16,18 42:6	24:20	92:8,19,20,23	85:23 91:9,11 93:16 97:15,	108:11,20
113:7	distant 28:20	93:5,9 94:7	93:16 97:13, 19 105:10,18	109:13,18
110.1	20.20	95:7,14 96:6,	107:4 109:18,	110:4,8,13
		75.7,1170.0,	107.7 107.10,	113:17
I	l	l		ı

ı	I	I	ı	
drafted 113:6	10:24	99:12 133:16	14:22 21:17	emailed 5:21
<b>drafts</b> 114:16	<b>due</b> 7:21	economic	27:13,22	emotional
<b>draw</b> 17:21	77:23 110:19	79:16 90:11	electric 101:4	35:19
29:14 46:4	Duggan 6:20	economy 61:4	elements 92:3	emphasize
49:2 67:19	66:24 67:2,4,	educate 100:1	elevate 74:5	15:17 16:1
95:13 129:7,8	5,6 71:18	103:19 109:1	elevating	50:21
132:1	72:9 74:11,17	educated	72:21	empower
drawing	75:4,9,16	42:18	elevator 80:19	112:1 114:17
37:21 47:16	76:19 77:4	education	<b>Eleven</b> 109:18	enclaves 15:9
74:12 111:6	78:7,12 96:1	42:14,20	<b>Elgin</b> 30:18	encourage
120:20	Dupage 41:5,	61:24 65:11	31:22,24	97:4 114:14
122:19 126:3,	8 59:20	69:5 84:24	32:2,9 59:16,	115:20 117:8
4 129:1,4	110:19	88:7	18 60:19 66:4	127:2
133:14,19	<b>duties</b> 101:18	educational	112:22	encouraged
<b>drawn</b> 15:17	<b>duty</b> 46:21	48:22	eligible 63:3,6	88:24 113:3
18:2 33:18,21		effective	eliminated	<b>end</b> 11:24
44:1 48:15	$\mathbf{E}$	13:17 38:2	16:12 18:23	12:9 23:3
51:18 114:4		effectively	eliminating	27:21,22
126:13 132:8	<b>e-k</b> 108:15	36:4 95:23	52:7	30:20 53:4
<b>dream</b> 103:5	earlier 19:6	105:13	elimination	62:9 105:3
<b>drew</b> 47:18	41:22 94:9	effort 29:6	51:16	<b>ends</b> 34:23
121:22 124:1	119:14	49:18 86:21	<b>Ellis</b> 90:16	<b>engage</b> 46:20,
drink 69:4	<b>early</b> 71:21	115:8 131:24	<b>Ellison</b> 6:15	24 109:3
Driscoll	earmuff 27:18	efforts 29:22	9:16,18,20	117:13
131:18	30:17,19	56:4 87:2	10:1,3 19:9,	engaged 89:1
<b>drive</b> 43:14	earmuffs	elaborating	14 25:18,22	engagement
92:21	27:16	41:14	26:1,8 29:21	56:24 87:3,17
drivers 55:1	earnestly	elect 112:11	31:24 32:2,	engaging 43:5
driving 25:23	95:17	114:18	11,22 33:11	65:18
<b>drop</b> 77:5	earthed 102:5	elected 14:22	35:20,24 38:9	<b>enjoy</b> 103:20
dropped	<b>easier</b> 101:10	35:9 43:18	40:7,13,20	enjoyed 89:15
120:20 121:3,	112:20	99:19 131:17	42:3,5 44:20	ensure 113:1
9	easily 24:15	electing 30:9	47:9 48:2,7	114:15
<b>drops</b> 27:10	<b>east</b> 33:6	101:12	49:14 50:19	ensuring
drove 10:7	34:11 43:17	election 15:17	52:12,15	63:19
40:10	102:9 111:22	22:3 39:5	72:3,10 97:9	entire 23:22
<b>drug</b> 80:5	112:20	96:22	98:13	24:1 31:13
Duckworth	eastern-most	elections	<b>email</b> 6:1 12:2	97:20 116:15
36:14	41:5	13:24	19:8 91:1	127:24
Duckworth's	easy 87:21	electorate	129:9,22	entities 4:23
			130:17	

entrench	evening 52:23	expensive		85:16,18,24
96:18	evenings	23:8	F	86:9 96:2
entrepreneuri	77:14	experience		father 99:2
al 103:8	eventually	10:21 59:22	F-A-S-T	103:14
entry 62:23	63:6	63:10 70:15	83:22	<b>favor</b> 25:12
63:12	everybody's	74:3	facilities 81:6	26:16
environment	97:13	experienced	88:21	favorable
25:12 45:12	everyday	71:21 77:5	<b>fact</b> 94:5	97:24 109:24
46:1	126:23	experiences	<b>factor</b> 110:11	feasible 29:7
environmental	evident 22:2	70:12	factory 73:18	feature 87:12
68:23 69:13	evolution 90:4	experiencing	82:23	features 88:15
equal 32:5	exact 26:14	60:8 111:13	faculty 84:2	109:9,14
110:22 113:1		experiment	fair 9:8 24:17	110:4
equality 88:5	<b>examine</b> 117:5	95:12	66:7,8 69:16	federal 95:24
equitable	exceeds	<b>expert</b> 74:12	73:7	113:11
95:13	113:22	explain	fairer 85:7	114:15
equity 53:13		125:24 126:1	fairly 34:1	feedback 77:6
era 24:5	<b>Excellent</b> 10:1 75:4	explanation	37:22	108:21
error 30:2		52:13	fairness	<b>feeding</b> 42:22
	exception 36:6	explored	109:8,14	feel 16:7
escapable 64:13		115:15	<b>faiths</b> 103:11	18:16 19:22
	exchanges 128:6	exposed 64:9	fall 49:8 65:4	22:9 26:16
escape 64:10		expound	112:8	28:4,21 31:9
escaping	Executive	107:13	<b>fallen</b> 65:5	39:12 41:10,
64:11	108:15	expression	falls 113:13	12,16 44:14
essentially	exemplified	89:7	families 60:22	65:19,20 71:5
59:17 61:11	111:4	Expressway	89:11,24	96:19
63:5,17,18, 19,22 64:12,	existed 51:17	88:20	103:6	feeling 13:7
19,22 04.12,	existing	extent 110:12	<b>Family</b> 6:19	43:16 51:10
103:5 106:1	16:14,18,20	114:21	57:13 59:13	65:22
estimate 21:1,	17:2 48:18	extraordinaril	fan 18:18 93:6	<b>fellow</b> 57:17
6	exists 14:13	y 130:5	fantastic	<b>felt</b> 11:7 13:3
estimates 27:8	16:10,12 27:1		74:24 97:16	<b>fight</b> 89:2
ethic 89:19	39:8 48:18 51:17 52:8	extraordinary 111:13	farm 81:1	figured 114:2
		extreme 130:8		figures 28:12,
ethnic 15:9	exiting 62:5		farming 81:1	13
ethnicity	<b>expand</b> 88:11	extremely 42:10,12	<b>farmland</b> 80:19	<b>filled</b> 48:23
92:10	expect 32:20	′		87:23
Europe	70:15	<b>eyes</b> 33:16	<b>farms</b> 81:7	<b>final</b> 11:11
102:22	expected		Fast 6:22	76:15 77:3
	120:14		83:19,20,21	10.13 11.3

	1	1	1	
115:9 116:12,	foolhardy	fringe 32:7	115:15 128:9	15 129:5
23 124:13,18	96:19	fringes 32:4	generation	glance 82:5
128:8 132:8	<b>force</b> 23:17	fruition 11:10	55:11 59:7	<b>global</b> 42:13
finance 11:2	<b>forced</b> 17:21	<b>full</b> 5:6 88:22	69:18 89:20	globally 42:17
<b>find</b> 19:23	foremost 48:8	97:18	95:4 102:6	<b>goal</b> 38:17
26:22 97:4	<b>forest</b> 87:24	full-time 53:5	generations	70:4 111:1
121:8 131:11	88:19	77:13	61:20 64:5	112:12,18
<b>fine</b> 35:20	<b>form</b> 68:4	<b>fully</b> 40:24	65:5 102:13	113:14
<b>finger</b> 93:2,3	70:20 80:7	119:14	genesis 88:10	<b>goals</b> 20:1
<b>finish</b> 124:11	forward 9:9	<b>fun</b> 87:17	gentleman	<b>good</b> 3:1 9:20
133:2,3	13:5 16:24	functionally	70:6 75:22	28:5,22 29:3,
<b>fire</b> 22:10	48:19 56:5	18:3	gentlemen	9 34:5 42:1
first-	90:9 107:18	functions 38:1	75:10	52:23 57:12
generation	forwarded	<b>Fund</b> 7:3	Gentrification	58:12 69:15
61:23	128:18	108:16	54:24	76:7 89:19
<b>fit</b> 39:20 52:9	<b>Foster</b> 28:16	fundamental	geographic	<b>goods</b> 102:11
<b>flail</b> 16:5	fought 85:3	93:4	109:9,14	government
flock 89:23	<b>found</b> 72:20	<b>future</b> 28:20	110:3	71:12 76:24
<b>flood</b> 24:9	109:16	29:11 101:2	geographically	88:5
<b>floor</b> 9:13	130:22	<b>FYI</b> 130:13	33:17 35:22	governor
52:20 67:2	fraction 112:4		112:24	43:20 128:18
83:20 86:14	fractured	G	geography	131:20 132:6,
91:19 94:22	34:14		99:13	7
98:22	<b>frame</b> 63:7	gained 72:5	gerrymander 67:14 111:4	Governor's
Flores 6:18	<b>Frank</b> 29:22	81:18 105:8		131:7,23 133:24
57:10,11,12,	frankly 17:23	<b>gains</b> 31:14	gerrymandere	
13 58:14	18:11	Garcia 52:1	<b>d</b> 14:18 110:18	<b>grade</b> 63:9 109:7 110:5
fluctuated	free 109:4	55:11		
14:6	freight 68:19	Garcia's	gerrymanderi ng 23:24	graded 109:10
fluke 24:18,19	Friday 5:14	17:14	46:19 108:20,	graduate 59:9
flukes 24:24	17:1 69:21	gathered 92:4	23	graduated 10:19
<b>focus</b> 6:4 81:4	77:7 121:3,	gathering	Gillespie's	
110:3 120:6	11,23 124:2	121:1	11:3	grain 80:19
focused 74:8	133:23	<b>gave</b> 94:1	gist 26:23	granted 5:2,
95:15	Friday's	<b>gears</b> 130:14	give 10:10	11
<b>folks</b> 73:16	121:14	general 19:18	112:10	grassroots
86:22 117:14	<b>friend</b> 122:12	40:19 44:21	giving 58:8	68:3 87:2
<b>follow</b> 112:1	friends 29:22	47:22 48:7	78:2 121:16	great 11:4,7
follow-up	36:22 122:10,	53:11 61:9	glad 118:14,	13:3,6 37:2 40:15 55:4
29:12	16 127:2	85:14 96:22	Siuu 110.17,	40.13 33.4
	<u> </u>			

87:10 98:14	45:16 49:19	69:3 73:17,19	127:15,16,17	history 31:13
99:22,24	50:20 82:5,9	131:15	133:17	34:18 61:1
102:12	121:7,9,21	<b>happy</b> 38:13	hearings 8:23	104:10
107:14	133:23	97:8 98:5	43:13 75:22	hodgepodge
Greater 95:21	guidance	115:24	114:23 118:9	51:18 90:19
97:1	113:8	<b>hard</b> 32:1	127:11	holding 25:16
greatest 89:20	<b>guilty</b> 37:19	70:2 103:19	heart 16:7	99:21
green 23:6	<b>Gulf</b> 102:9	126:6	74:23	<b>holds</b> 124:9
Greenwood	<b>gut</b> 78:2	Harden's	heaviest 51:2	<b>home</b> 28:9
84:4	<b>guys</b> 53:17	106:12	heavily 14:6	38:10 58:12
grew 26:4	58:24	harder 65:1	59:21	62:17 84:9,14
59:7,24 80:22		95:22	helpful 52:14	<b>honest</b> 75:16
102:14	Н	hate 83:2	91:17 108:2	honestly
<b>grid</b> 114:8		<b>haven</b> 16:10	helplessness	74:21
<b>grit</b> 104:12	<b>H.R.</b> 54:6	health 59:15	64:6,24 65:6	<b>hope</b> 13:3
grocery 80:4	<b>hails</b> 84:17	61:4	Hendricks	71:9 74:1
groundbreaki	<b>half</b> 10:7	healthy 25:10	47:21	78:15 94:18
ng 95:11	43:14 56:23	hear 6:8 8:3,	heritage 72:23	130:24
group 14:24	80:14	12,15,19 9:6	73:2	hopeful 25:3
78:17 92:3	Hamilton	47:3 60:14	<b>high</b> 6:13 7:6	<b>hoping</b> 57:24
99:18	44:7 47:19	93:19,20	9:12 29:18	58:24 60:18
<b>groups</b> 26:11	hammer	124:15,22	high-quality	horseshoe
69:8 72:15	38:10	heard 8:13	95:14	80:8
74:4 112:10	<b>hand</b> 7:12	41:19,22	<b>higher</b> 106:20	<b>host</b> 97:8
115:2	25:5 32:18	43:15 46:3	110:7,23	hour 56:23
<b>grow</b> 70:3	39:24 56:9	50:8 57:17	highest 107:3	<b>hours</b> 10:8
71:10 103:20	70:7 76:5	62:22 63:20,	Highlands	12:13 43:14
growing 55:1	81:13 104:24	22 65:19	86:17	73:16 77:7
60:23 62:3,7	116:3 117:18	95:16 119:7	<b>highly</b> 47:23	115:9
66:6 68:9	118:18	124:16	Highway	<b>house</b> 5:15
69:16 87:8,13	handful 114:9	<b>hearing</b> 5:4,7,	100:12	8:23 9:5 11:5
<b>grown</b> 60:1	handled 131:1	10,13,23 6:4,	Hispanic	12:3 13:24
68:2 87:5	<b>hands</b> 83:16	6,7 7:14 9:2	93:14,16	19:5 50:22
89:23	86:9	10:16 50:22	historic 90:4	56:17 57:16
<b>growth</b> 53:20	<b>happen</b> 63:21	57:16,24	historical	87:20 113:16
69:14,15	125:15	66:21 71:22 99:21 104:19	70:19 79:6	128:18
73:11	happened		81:8 82:13	131:17,24
guaranty	64:4	120:5,6,7,16, 18 121:10,14,	historically	132:12,13
111:3	happening	15,19 124:12,	44:24 82:22	<b>House's</b> 131:7
<b>guess</b> 7:13	10:12 65:22	14 125:2	104:2	household
		17 123.2		
I		l	l	

59:8	ILGA 5:21	Ilsenateredistr	includes 72:15	82:19
housekeeping	6:2	icting.com.	112:4 122:15	industry
7:8,11 32:14	Illinois 6:21	129:16	including 17:1	79:16 83:1,3,
<b>hub</b> 29:3	7:2 10:13,22	imagine 124:9	82:7 113:18	4 84:23
84:21 100:10	11:8 12:18,23	immigrant	114:12	inflate 21:16
101:9,13,15	17:17 22:23	59:5 103:15	inclusion	influence
hubs 79:22	25:13 26:3,17	immigrants	69:11 70:16	105:21 106:4,
100:18	34:15 37:11	69:17,18	inclusive 85:5	15,23
102:16	40:19 42:16	102:17,21	incongruous	influenced
huge 61:14	44:12 47:14	immigration	47:15	97:19
62:11	48:4,6,13	54:2 61:4	incorporate	information
human 88:11	53:11 55:18,	69:10 73:3	55:22	61:6 121:1
Humboldt	19 59:2,9	<b>impact</b> 24:11	incorrect	informative
53:3	62:6,17 65:15	30:8,11 55:5	123:22	78:8
hundreds	67:10,14,24	61:3	increasingly	infrastructure
12:13 95:16	69:23 72:3	importance	42:9	68:17 88:6
Hunter 4:10,	81:17 84:5	82:19	incumbents	101:23 102:6,
11	87:9 90:9,13	important	99:16	7,14
husband	97:3,20	90:10 100:21	independence	inherently
87:19	100:22	101:14 106:6	54:10	37:8 38:16
hyperpolarize	101:11 102:4	116:14	India 103:15	39:13
d 42:8	105:6 108:8,	120:13	Indiana 10:6,	initial 105:4
u +2.0	16,17 109:11, 13 110:4,9,14	impossible	8,18,19 11:12	initiative 89:1
	111:11,19,21	31:6 38:12	40:13,14	input 114:22
I	112:7 113:16	48:14	43:11,16,17	115:4,10,21
<b>I-55</b> 79:12,23	112.7 113.10	improved	44:6,11 46:3	122:15 125:5,
82:7 84:23	126:24 128:1,	73:23	47:14,15 48:5	10 126:15,16
103:18	17 130:7	incentive	70:11	inquire 8:7
idea 29:24	132:18	44:15	Indianapolis	131:10
45:12 91:10	Illinois' 68:3	incentivize	44:2 47:19	instance 48:9
93:15	85:13 99:5	23:12	individual	110:18
identified	Illinois-	inception	35:11 42:24	integrated
118:5	michigan	88:17	61:23 81:21	68:10 71:11
identify 52:24	88:18 102:2	include 53:17	98:11	integrity 92:5
IL3 68:5	Illinoisans	80:10,16,18	individuals	0 0
70:19 73:13	55:12 114:24	82:6,13	5:22 6:11	intelligence
87:1 88:3	115:9	114:11	60:7 63:24	87:6
89:8,14 90:3	illustrated	included	64:16 118:6,	intend 76:13
IL3's 73:15	54:18	15:23 79:14	12 126:18	<b>intent</b> 14:20
ILBC 18:12	JT.10	82:10 89:6	industrial	intention
10.12			43:22 81:5	14:21

intents 21:18   32:8   130:6   Joliet 79:12,   58:23 60:1   Lasal 100:15   Irish 15:10   102:4   knew 121:23   kase 10:11   17 14:23   issue 10:11   Juan 6:17   67:22 91:11   late 7	ntentional	involve 9:2	88:16	30:16 32:1	largely 93:16
intents         21:18         61:21 116:7         joined         67:24         46:9 49:7         110:           32:8         130:6         Joliet         79:12,         58:23 60:1         Lasal           interest         13:11,         17:18-thnic         102:4         knew 121:23         82:2           interest         13:11,         72:23         Jones 4:8,9         knowing         82:1           17:14:23         issue         10:11         52:19,24         Kokomo         10:5,         Latin           24:8 25:8         42:2,3,14,16         24:9:3         43:16,44:7         21:1         Latin           33:20 34:5         43:3 57:9         24:8 105:12         judicial         8:16,47         21:1           41:11,18         issues 11:17,         24:46:16 61:4         68:16 85:4         Krishnamoort         17:2           41:11,18         48:10,17 49:6         68:15,16,17,         48:16,17,         48:16,17,         48:16,17,         48:16,17,         48:16,17,         48:16,17,         48:16,17,         48:16,17,         48:16,17,         48:16,17,         49:1         Kwame 45:6         31:1           82:5 132:21         30:21         41:7 59:15         Labor 69:15         41:7 59:15         Labor 69:15	76:6	involved	join 68:2	40:8 45:18,21	larger 87:22
130:6   Irish 15:10   20 100:14   75:13   82:2	ntents 21:18	61:21 116:7	ŭ	46:9 49:7	110:20
Interchange   100:15	32:8	130:6	· ·	58:23 60:1	Lasalle 80:21
100:15   Irish-ethnic interest 13:11,   72:23   issue 10:11   Juan 6:17   67:22 91:11   late 7   24:8 25:8   42:2,3,14,16   33:20 34:5   43:3 57:9   24 9:3   38:2 40:22   94:8 105:12   41:11,18   issues 11:17,   24:11,18   issues 11:17,   22 109:5   68:15,16,17,   112:13   24:9 91:1   29:1   29:1   20:19 95:   13:31:1   71:1 79:21   interested   80:23 83:5   42:2,314,16   33:21   92:14 99:12   Interested   80:23 83:5   41:7 59:15   Laboratory   125:21   interests 23:1,   13 55:15 83:9   84:15 96:14   97:12,13   97:12,13   97:12,13   97:23 106:19   13,2   29:13   41:7 59:15   Laboratory   125:21   interests 23:1,   13 55:15 83:9   84:15 96:14   97:12,13   97:12,13   97:12,13   13 55:15 83:9   80:4   100:17   63:22   interesting   100:17   63:22   interesting   100:17   63:22   interesting   100:2   interesting   100:2   interesting   100:2   interesting   100:2   interesting   13 13:18   Jan 17:11   introduced   54:7   58:20,21   interest 101:2   invest 101:2   inve	nterchange	<b>Irish</b> 15:10	· 1	75:13	82:22
interest 13:11, 17:14:23   issue 10:11   26:19:38:11   52:19.24   Kokomo 10:5, 24:825:8   42:2,3,14,16   judicial 8:16, 24:93   43:16:44:7   21:1   33:24:825:8   43:357:9   24:83:57:9   24:18   68:16:85:4   43:16:44:7   21:1   48:10,17:49:6   50:1,554:17, 22:109:5   68:15,16,17, 112:13   24:69:11,13   133:11   71:179:21   interested 80:23:83:5   42:23:14   41:7:59:15   Kanich 94:17, 13:35:6   interesting interesting interesting 125:21   interests 23:1, 13:55:15:83:9   84:15:96:14   97:12,13   99:15,16,17   79:12   interested 99:15,16,17   79:15,16,17   79:12   interests 23:1, 13:55:15:83:9   84:15:96:14   97:12,13   99:15,16,17   79:15,16,17   79:15,16,17   79:15,16,17   79:15,16,17   79:15,16,17   79:15,16,17   79:15,16,17   79:15,16,17   79:15,16,17   70:2   interesting interesting interests 23:1, 13:55:15:83:9   84:15:96:14   Jack on 18:4   Jack on 18:4   Keeping 49:24   50:4   133:11   81:1   81:1   85:2   133:21   13:18   Jack on 18:4   Jack on 18:4   Keelly's 18:1   Lacking 107: 33:15   job 53:5:69:13   roisilation   77:13:99:22   kinds 69:6   12:10   lack 60:15   55:25   13:10   lack 12:14   lacking 107: 33:15   job 53:5:69:13   roisilation   77:13:99:22   roisilation   77:13:99:22   roisilation   77:13:99:22   roisilation   77:13:99:22   roisilation   roisilat		Irish-ethnic		knew 121:23	Lasalle-peru
17   14:23   16:11   19:23   26:19   38:11   52:19,24   Kokomo   10:5,	nterest 13:11,	72:23	Jones 4:8.9	knowing	82:17,20
16:11 19:23	17 14:23	issue 10:11	,	67:22 91:11	late 71:22
24:8 25:8   33:20 34:5   43:3 57:9   24 9:3   119:17,20   Kotski's   17 2	16:11 19:23			<b>Kokomo</b> 10:5,	<b>Latin</b> 82:7,12
33:20 34:5   38:2 40:22   94:8 105:12   119:17,20   58:20 31:17,   24 46:16 61:4   68:16 85:4	24:8 25:8	42:2,3,14,16	ŕ	8 40:13,14	<b>Latino</b> 15:5
38:2 40:22	33:20 34:5	43:3 57:9	•	43:16 44:7	21:12 26:4,7,
41:11,18   48:10,17 49:6   50:1,5 54:17,   24 46:16 61:4   64:1 67:23   22 109:5   68:15,16,17,   112:13   24 69:11,13   71:1 79:21   interested   80:23 83:5   82:5 132:21   92:14 99:12   133:5,6   Italian 15:9   iteration   125:21   29:13	38:2 40:22	94:8 105:12		Kotski's	17 27:3,5,10,
48:10,17 49:6   50:1,5 54:17,   64:1 67:23   22 109:5   68:15,16,17,   112:13   24 69:11,13   71:1 79:21   interested   80:23 83:5   92:14 99:12   133:5,6   Italian 15:9   iteration   125:21   29:13	41:11,18	issues 11:17,	·	97:23 106:19	13,24 28:5,9,
This introduced   Section   Sectio	48:10,17 49:6	· 1	•	Krishnamoort	12,13,22
Table   Tabl	50:1,5 54:17,	64:1 67:23	00.10 03.1	<b>hi's</b> 11:1	29:1,3,7,10,
112:13	22 109:5	68:15,16,17,		17:13	14,19 30:12
Till		24 69:11,13	<u>K</u>	<b>Kwame</b> 45:6	31:19 51:22
Social Sales   Soci	133:11	71:1 79:21	<b>Kane</b> 29:1		52:1 53:18,23
Section   Sect	nterested	80:23 83:5		т	54:16,18
Italian 15:9   iteration   29:13		92:14 99:12	<b>Kanich</b> 94:17.		55:2,7,12,13,
interesting         iteration         Z9:13         Kanish 6:24         Laboratory         60:1           interests 23:1,         13 55:15 83:9         Kankakee         20:10         lack 54:16,24         65:1           13 55:15 83:9         J         Karina 28:14         111:8 127:19         72:4           84:15 96:14         J-E-A-N-E-T-         Keeping 49:24         130:21         73:1           99:15,16,17         T-E 86:16         Kelly 20:8         lacking         85:2           100:2         Jackson 18:4         Kelly 20:8         131:22         105:           intersections         Jan 17:11         Kendall 41:8         59:16         86:16,18         107:           54:7         Jeaneth 6:19         key 65:17         kids 69:6         57:22 102:11,         12:           invest 101:2         Jeanette         86:12,15         Kilbride         12:10         Latin           investigator         133:15         job 53:5 69:13         Kilbride         12:10         Latin           invitation         77:13 99:22         13:10 14:21         large 60:15         55:2	133:5,6	Italian 15:9	·	<b>labor</b> 69:15	18 59:7
125:21	0	iteration	· ·	Laboratory	60:12,20
13 55:15 83:9   34:15 96:14   97:12,13   99:15,16,17   100:2	125:21	29:13		100:17	63:22 64:5
J   Saise	*			lack 54:16,24	65:15,16 66:6
84:15 96:14       97:12,13       J-E-A-N-E-T-       keeping 49:24       130:21       73:1         99:15,16,17       T-E 86:16       Jackson 18:4       Kelly 20:8       lacking       131:22       105:         intersections       Jaclyn 131:18       Kelly's 18:1       Lagrange       106:       107:         so:4       Janeth 6:19       59:16       86:16,18       112:         introduced       Janeth 6:19       key 65:17       kids 69:6       57:22 102:11,       12         invest 101:2       Jeanette       103:19       Latin focuse         investigator       Jesus 55:10       Kilbride       24:18       112:10       Latin influe         133:15       job 53:5 69:13       77:13 99:22       13:10 14:21       large 60:15       26:7				111:8 127:19	72:4,7,15
99:15,16,17         T-E 86:16         Selly 20:8         Iacking         133:11         85:2           100:2         Jackson 18:4         Kelly 20:8         Lagrange         131:22         105:           intersections         Jan 17:11         Kendall 41:8         Lagrange         15:13 18:10         107:           54:7         58:20,21         key 65:17         Lake 40:24         Latin           invest 101:2         Jeanette         86:12,15         Kilbride         103:19         Latin           investigator         Jesus 55:10         Kilbride         24:18         Invitation         In				130:21	73:12 74:8,15
T-E 86:16   Jackson 18:4   Jackson	· ·	J-E-A-N-E-T-		133:11	81:18,19
intersections         Jackson 18:4         Kelly's 18:1         Lagrange         106:2           80:4         Jan 17:11         Kendall 41:8         15:13 18:10         107:           introduced         Janeth 6:19         59:16         86:16,18         112:           invest 101:2         Jeanette         kids 69:6         57:22 102:11,         Latin           investigator         33:15         Jesus 55:10         Kilbride         12:10         Latin           invitation         77:13 99:22         kind 12:14         large 60:15         26:7		<b>T-E</b> 86:16		lacking	85:22 91:8,10
Solid   Soli		Jackson 18:4	•	131:22	105:7,9
introduced         Jan 17:11         59:16         86:16,18         112:           54:7         58:20,21         key 65:17         Lake 40:24         115:           invest 101:2         Jeanette         86:12,15         Latin focuse           investigator         Jesus 55:10         Kilbride         12:10           133:15         job 53:5 69:13         kind 12:14         12:10           invitation         77:13 99:22         kind 12:14         large 60:15		<b>Jaclyn</b> 131:18	•	Lagrange	106:1,14,17
Introduced       Janeth       6:19       key       65:17       Lake       40:24       115:         invest       101:2       Jeanette       kids       69:6       57:22       102:11,       Latin         investigator       Jesus       55:10       Kilbride       12       Latin         133:15       job       53:5       69:13       kind       12:14       language       112:10         invitation       77:13       99:22       large       60:15       55:2		<b>Jan</b> 17:11		15:13 18:10	107:1,3,11
invest 101:2       Jeanette       kids 69:6       57:22 102:11,       Latin focuse         investigator 133:15       Jesus 55:10       Kilbride 24:18       language 112:10       Latin focuse         invitation       job 53:5 69:13       kind 12:14       112:10       large 60:15       26:7		Janeth 6:19		86:16,18	112:5 114:12
invested 65:12 investigator       Jeanette       103:19       57:22 102:11,       focuse         133:15 invitation       Jesus 55:10 job 53:5 69:13       Kilbride 24:18 kind 12:14 large 60:15       language 112:10 large 60:15       Latin influe 26:7		58:20,21	•	<b>Lake</b> 40:24	115:14,17
investigator 133:15 invitation    S6:12,15     S6:12,15   S6:12,15     S6:12,15     S6:12,15     S6:12,15     S6:12,15     S6:12,15     S6:12,15     S6:12,15     S6:12,15     S6:12,15   S6:12,15     S6:12,15   S6:12,15     S6:12,15		Jeanette		57:22 102:11,	
133:15   job 53:5 69:13   24:18   language   112:10   influe   26:7	nvested 65:12	86:12,15		12	focused 74:24
133:15 job 53:5 69:13 kind 12:14 large 60:15 influe	_	<b>Jesus</b> 55:10		language	Latino-
invitation 77:13 99:22 Kind 12:14 large 60:15 26:7	133:15	<b>job</b> 53:5 69:13		112:10	influenced
1 13:10 1/0:71		•		<b>large</b> 60:15	26:7 29:15 55:23 56:2
23:19 24:7   iohe 68:18 21   64:17 92:7	23:19 24:7		13:19 14:21	64:17 92:7	55:23 56:2
invited 5:22 22.60:16 10:22.18:19 100:17	nvited 5:22	•		100:17	72:8 74:16,18 81:19 85:23
77:11 79:15			Z1:ZZ Z9:Z3		01.17 03:23

1	1	1	1	
91:8 97:15	legislative	listen 56:7	loses 50:23	<b>macro</b> 73:8
105:10,14,17	11:5 24:13	120:9 132:17,	loss 49:22	74:2,20
107:12	122:4,8,21,23	18	<b>lost</b> 16:17	<b>made</b> 22:16
115:19	123:7,10,15	listening	17:7 18:6,16	29:22 67:11
Latino-owned	124:5,7 125:6	54:15 57:16	26:3 72:4	89:5,10 96:17
61:7,10	126:11	104:19	81:17 93:18	98:12 120:3
Latino/latina	128:10	live 51:1	105:6	Madeleine 7:2
53:9	lessening	60:22 68:24	<b>lot</b> 13:20 15:4,	108:7,8,13
Latinos 53:19	73:14	70:9,13 86:16	22 22:16	116:24
62:4,6,7,21	<b>letter</b> 3:6,9,12	87:8 93:10	23:13,18	<b>main</b> 13:14
63:14 64:17	letting 49:8	99:3 104:6	27:11 34:18	38:10 110:2
106:5 107:8	level 11:18	114:7	37:5 42:22	maintain
law 99:3	24:12 42:6,9,	<b>lived</b> 10:22	43:3 46:1	70:18,22 79:5
<b>Lawn</b> 6:13 7:5	23 44:13	lives 28:14	48:23 59:4,22	85:12
9:12	52:10 95:24	88:8,16	60:23 61:10,	maintains
lawsuit 21:22	126:2	<b>living</b> 61:18	22 62:5,13,18	106:13
Leader 3:13	licensed 59:12	loading	63:23 64:9	<b>major</b> 34:8
52:22	<b>life</b> 89:1	130:12	69:21 80:23	80:3
Leaders 55:4	102:19	<b>loans</b> 61:8	82:9 92:9	majority 13:4
leading 54:4	life-long 71:8	local 92:3	93:6 97:21	21:18 27:13
56:4 131:24	<b>lift</b> 118:12	logically 29:2	106:1 125:16,	29:6 31:19
leads 46:17	<b>lifted</b> 126:24	logistics 79:22	17,19,21	52:1 53:18
leaflets 92:1	<b>light</b> 23:10	100:18,20	love 47:3	73:1 82:11
learn 63:14	likelihood	long 22:15,19	low 15:24	112:2 113:18,
learned 61:24	23:20 27:20	25:11,13	lower 21:15	21 115:17
64:6,11,23	Likewise	40:10	33:6 106:21	131:16
65:6 88:3,9,	94:13	long-term	109:11	<b>make</b> 9:1
22	limited 99:13	55:5	luck 58:12	10:13 11:9,10
leave 4:23 5:1,	limiting 43:8	longer 47:12	lumped 71:4	12:23 13:13
11 56:6	lines 17:4	72:24	lumping	16:15 17:18
	67:19 84:22	looked 30:13	73:15	18:8,20,23
leaving 39:17	88:14 90:1	56:18 68:15	<b>Lyons</b> 104:9	21:17 22:17
ledger 44:22	link 102:8	72:11 75:5,17		29:3 31:6
Lee 32:16		81:24 84:6,7	M	35:22 38:12,
<b>left</b> 38:5 92:1	Lipinski	93:13 107:6		17,18 43:15
legislation	15:19	116:24	M-A-D-E-L-	52:4,6 54:11
7:23 54:8,13	Lipinskis	loop 25:1 80:8	E-I-N-E	55:8 62:16
122:3,24	15:18	lose 63:23	108:14	64:19 66:22,
123:16 124:3	list 86:13	losers 38:16,	M-A-T-T-H-	24 74:7 82:11
125:3	108:6	22	<b>E-W</b> 99:2	83:8 85:4 86:1 88:7
		44		00.1 00.7

100:8 101:9,	85:14 95:14,	97:22 99:19	Mcclure's	77:16 93:24
21 115:8	20 96:24	106:9 109:7,	116:3	98:24 108:10
makes 28:24	97:16,18,23,	16 116:24	Mcconchie	113:11 119:4
39:21 51:12,	24 99:24	120:7,13	3:7,11,13,14	121:8 124:22
19 52:8 61:22	100:3 105:12,	121:18	Mcintosh	membership
65:1 86:2	24 106:13,19	123:10 124:7	43:19	68:9 69:22
<b>making</b> 13:15	108:12,21,22	126:12,19	Mckinely 99:9	77:17
24:22 25:8	109:13 110:4,	market 23:9	Mckinley	<b>mental</b> 59:14
31:14 43:8	9,13,23	married 91:23	68:14 73:18	mention 63:2
44:4 45:3	111:10 113:6,	mashed 15:1	meaningful	87:23 90:16
49:5,23 50:4	13 115:16	massive 16:19	114:23	mentioned
73:6 95:22	116:12	31:10	means 89:7	29:16 31:22
96:12 98:17,	120:19,20	master's	114:3	54:5 62:4
20	121:3,4,9,22,	59:11		66:6,19 82:18
<b>manner</b> 131:2	24 122:2,19	matched	measure 20:23 110:6	94:8 96:3,4
<b>Mano</b> 6:19	124:1,4,24	109:12		104:16 120:3
57:13,20,21	126:4,5,7	matter 11:17	measured	130:7
manufacturin	127:11,19,23	24:16 63:13	110:11	merits 26:8
g 79:18 80:9	129:1,4,7,8,	64:18,21 71:2	measuring	message 18:15
manufacturin	20 132:2,8	90:12	30:5	met 16:16
g-oriented	133:7,14,20,		media 23:9	34:24 38:15
80:16	21,22	mattered	131:4	39:21 113:2
	map-drawing	68:16	meet 41:11	
<b>map</b> 5:14,17, 18 6:9,16	128:24	matters 7:8,	89:13 110:22	metal 25:1
9:17 11:14,	mapmaker	11 61:21 62:2	meeting 3:2,3	<b>Metra</b> 84:22
15,21 12:15,	72:9	Matthew 7:1	25:16 41:15	metrics 109:6
20 13:16,21,	mapmakers	98:21,23 99:1	57:1 89:16	<b>metro</b> 33:6
23 17:20	111:1	104:23 105:2,	121:13	34:10 36:7
18:13 19:2,	mapmaking	11 106:11	meetings 7:16	44:2 47:24
18,21 20:15	125:19	107:15,23	77:8 131:5,20	Mexican 53:1
22:6 26:20	129:12,20	108:4	133:13,18	<b>Mexico</b> 102:9,
38:5 41:16	130:6	maximize	member	23
46:3,14,23	mapping	14:5 22:4	18:11 20:14	Michigan
48:13 53:12,	55:21 109:4	maximizes	28:2 37:24	102:11
17 57:19 58:2	113:12	50:11	43:18 53:4	midterm
60:11 69:20	<b>maps</b> 5:19	maximizing	84:2 87:1,2	22:10 44:24
70:6 71:3	6:15 10:10	23:1 31:10	119:7	midterms
74:2,21	13:2,5,9 43:4	Mcclure 3:20,	members 5:19	45:1
76:12,14,16	57:18 58:8	21 76:8,9,22	17:16 18:12	Midway
77:2,3,10	74:13 90:24	78:3,6 116:4,	22:17 25:19	100:16
79:24 84:6	93:13 95:16	5,20 117:18	54:14 57:6,17	102:15
			66:12 69:9	

102:6 103:22  Midwesterner s 88:8  migrated 88:23  migration 87:10 88:12  Mike 17:12  mile 79:22  miles 33:3 35:7 80:19  millennials 89:22  Milner 32:17  mind 22:13 38:17 42:2 48:12 51:10, 19 52:6  mindful 21:23 22:20 25:5,7  mine 44:23	mom 59:6 63:11 moment 8:10 76:23 98:4 momentum 62:13 63:17, 18 money 16:10, 11 23:7,13,18 24:4,8,9,21 month 11:12 116:18 months 36:12, 18 95:8 moral 90:14 morning 73:22 91:1 Morton 92:17,18 motion 5:2 motivating	44:22 131:3,4 municipal 95:15 Murphy 4:4, 5,6 muted 4:7 52:11  N narrow 111:21 nation 90:15 national 24:2 42:5,23 44:13 46:1 100:17 nationally 41:24 46:15 nationwide 109:2 natives 88:23	neighbor 79:11 neighborhood 72:24 79:1,4 80:1 91:23 92:2 99:4 103:16 104:13 neighborhood s 21:13 80:17 89:12 neighbors 53:9 67:12,22 84:13 89:14 98:16 neighbors' 85:9 networks 96:6 news 132:9,10	northern 15:: 27:18 30:16 41:8 47:19 51:23 112:16 northwest 15:14 41:3 47:20,21 48:20 53:10, 14,15 54:20 55:2,14,20 107:7,9 northwestern 37:10 111:19 note 12:11 19:4,15 27:4 36:11 44:20 noted 19:6 48:21 noticed 56:15 61:18
Midwesterner s 88:8 migrated 88:23 migration 87:10 88:12 Mike 17:12 mile 79:22 miles 33:3 35:7 80:19 millennials 89:22 Milner 32:17 mind 22:13 38:17 42:2 48:12 51:10, 19 52:6 mindful 21:23 22:20 25:5,7 mine 44:23	moment 8:10 76:23 98:4 momentum 62:13 63:17, 18 money 16:10, 11 23:7,13,18 24:4,8,9,21 month 11:12 116:18 months 36:12, 18 95:8 moral 90:14 morning 73:22 91:1 Morton 92:17,18 motion 5:2	95:15  Murphy 4:4, 5,6  muted 4:7 52:11  N  narrow 111:21 nation 90:15 national 24:2 42:5,23 44:13 46:1 100:17 nationally 41:24 46:15 nationwide 109:2	79:11 neighborhood 72:24 79:1,4 80:1 91:23 92:2 99:4 103:16 104:13 neighborhood s 21:13 80:17 89:12 neighbors 53:9 67:12,22 84:13 89:14 98:16 neighbors' 85:9 networks 96:6 news 132:9,10	41:8 47:19 51:23 112:16  northwest 15:14 41:3 47:20,21 48:20 53:10, 14,15 54:20 55:2,14,20 107:7,9 northwestern 37:10 111:19 note 12:11 19:4,15 27:4 36:11 44:20 noted 19:6 48:21 noticed 56:15 61:18
s 88:8 migrated 88:23 migration 87:10 88:12 Mike 17:12 mile 79:22 miles 33:3 35:7 80:19 millennials 89:22 Milner 32:17 mind 22:13 38:17 42:2 48:12 51:10, 19 52:6 mindful 21:23 22:20 25:5,7 mine 44:23	76:23 98:4 nomentum 62:13 63:17, 18 noney 16:10, 11 23:7,13,18 24:4,8,9,21 nonth 11:12 116:18 nonths 36:12, 18 95:8 noral 90:14 norning 73:22 91:1 Morton 92:17,18 notion 5:2	Murphy 4:4, 5,6 muted 4:7 52:11 N narrow 111:21 nation 90:15 national 24:2 42:5,23 44:13 46:1 100:17 nationally 41:24 46:15 nationwide 109:2	neighborhood 72:24 79:1,4 80:1 91:23 92:2 99:4 103:16 104:13 neighborhood s 21:13 80:17 89:12 neighbors 53:9 67:12,22 84:13 89:14 98:16 neighbors' 85:9 networks 96:6 news 132:9,10	51:23 112:16 northwest 15:14 41:3 47:20,21 48:20 53:10, 14,15 54:20 55:2,14,20 107:7,9 northwestern 37:10 111:19 note 12:11 19:4,15 27:4 36:11 44:20 noted 19:6 48:21 noticed 56:15 61:18
migrated 88:23 migration 87:10 88:12 Mike 17:12 mile 79:22 miles 33:3 35:7 80:19 millennials 89:22 Milner 32:17 mind 22:13 38:17 42:2 48:12 51:10, 19 52:6 mindful 21:23 22:20 25:5,7 mine 44:23	momentum 62:13 63:17, 18 money 16:10, 11 23:7,13,18 24:4,8,9,21 month 11:12 116:18 months 36:12, 18 95:8 moral 90:14 morning 73:22 91:1 Morton 92:17,18 motion 5:2	5,6 muted 4:7 52:11  N  narrow 111:21 nation 90:15 national 24:2 42:5,23 44:13 46:1 100:17 nationally 41:24 46:15 nationwide 109:2	72:24 79:1,4 80:1 91:23 92:2 99:4 103:16 104:13 neighborhood s 21:13 80:17 89:12 neighbors 53:9 67:12,22 84:13 89:14 98:16 neighbors' 85:9 networks 96:6 news 132:9,10	northwest 15:14 41:3 47:20,21 48:20 53:10, 14,15 54:20 55:2,14,20 107:7,9 northwestern 37:10 111:19 note 12:11 19:4,15 27:4 36:11 44:20 noted 19:6 48:21 noticed 56:15 61:18
88:23 migration 87:10 88:12 Mike 17:12 mile 79:22 miles 33:3 35:7 80:19 millennials 89:22 Milner 32:17 mind 22:13 38:17 42:2 48:12 51:10, 19 52:6 mindful 21:23 22:20 25:5,7 mine 44:23	62:13 63:17, 18 money 16:10, 11 23:7,13,18 24:4,8,9,21 month 11:12 116:18 months 36:12, 18 95:8 moral 90:14 morning 73:22 91:1 Morton 92:17,18 motion 5:2	muted 4:7 52:11  N  narrow 111:21 nation 90:15 national 24:2 42:5,23 44:13 46:1 100:17 nationally 41:24 46:15 nationwide 109:2	80:1 91:23 92:2 99:4 103:16 104:13 <b>neighborhood</b> <b>s</b> 21:13 80:17 89:12 <b>neighbors</b> 53:9 67:12,22 84:13 89:14 98:16 <b>neighbors'</b> 85:9 <b>networks</b> 96:6 <b>news</b> 132:9,10	15:14 41:3 47:20,21 48:20 53:10, 14,15 54:20 55:2,14,20 107:7,9 <b>northwestern</b> 37:10 111:19 <b>note</b> 12:11 19:4,15 27:4 36:11 44:20 <b>noted</b> 19:6 48:21 <b>noticed</b> 56:15 61:18
migration 87:10 88:12 Mike 17:12 mile 79:22 miles 33:3 35:7 80:19 millennials 89:22 Milner 32:17 mind 22:13 38:17 42:2 48:12 51:10, 19 52:6 mindful 21:23 22:20 25:5,7 mine 44:23	18 money 16:10, 11 23:7,13,18 24:4,8,9,21 month 11:12 116:18 months 36:12, 18 95:8 moral 90:14 morning 73:22 91:1 Morton 92:17,18 motion 5:2	52:11  N  narrow 111:21 nation 90:15 national 24:2 42:5,23 44:13 46:1 100:17 nationally 41:24 46:15 nationwide 109:2	92:2 99:4 103:16 104:13 neighborhood s 21:13 80:17 89:12 neighbors 53:9 67:12,22 84:13 89:14 98:16 neighbors' 85:9 networks 96:6 news 132:9,10	47:20,21 48:20 53:10, 14,15 54:20 55:2,14,20 107:7,9 <b>northwestern</b> 37:10 111:19 <b>note</b> 12:11 19:4,15 27:4 36:11 44:20 <b>noted</b> 19:6 48:21 <b>noticed</b> 56:15 61:18
87:10 88:12  Mike 17:12  mile 79:22  miles 33:3	money 16:10, 11 23:7,13,18 24:4,8,9,21 month 11:12 116:18 months 36:12, 18 95:8 moral 90:14 morning 73:22 91:1 Morton 92:17,18 motion 5:2	N narrow 111:21 nation 90:15 national 24:2 42:5,23 44:13 46:1 100:17 nationally 41:24 46:15 nationwide 109:2	103:16 104:13 neighborhood s 21:13 80:17 89:12 neighbors 53:9 67:12,22 84:13 89:14 98:16 neighbors' 85:9 networks 96:6 news 132:9,10	48:20 53:10, 14,15 54:20 55:2,14,20 107:7,9 <b>northwestern</b> 37:10 111:19 <b>note</b> 12:11 19:4,15 27:4 36:11 44:20 <b>noted</b> 19:6 48:21 <b>noticed</b> 56:15 61:18
Mike 17:12 mile 79:22 miles 33:3 35:7 80:19 millennials 89:22 Milner 32:17 mind 22:13 38:17 42:2 48:12 51:10, 19 52:6 mindful 21:23 22:20 25:5,7 mine 44:23	11 23:7,13,18 24:4,8,9,21 month 11:12 116:18 months 36:12, 18 95:8 moral 90:14 morning 73:22 91:1 Morton 92:17,18 motion 5:2	narrow 111:21 nation 90:15 national 24:2 42:5,23 44:13 46:1 100:17 nationally 41:24 46:15 nationwide 109:2	104:13 neighborhood s 21:13 80:17 89:12 neighbors 53:9 67:12,22 84:13 89:14 98:16 neighbors' 85:9 networks 96:6 news 132:9,10	14,15 54:20 55:2,14,20 107:7,9 <b>northwestern</b> 37:10 111:19 <b>note</b> 12:11 19:4,15 27:4 36:11 44:20 <b>noted</b> 19:6 48:21 <b>noticed</b> 56:15 61:18
mile 79:22 miles 33:3 35:7 80:19 millennials 89:22 Milner 32:17 mind 22:13 38:17 42:2 48:12 51:10, 19 52:6 mindful 21:23 22:20 25:5,7 mine 44:23	24:4,8,9,21  nonth 11:12 116:18  nonths 36:12, 18 95:8  noral 90:14  norning 73:22 91:1  Morton 92:17,18  notion 5:2	narrow 111:21 nation 90:15 national 24:2 42:5,23 44:13 46:1 100:17 nationally 41:24 46:15 nationwide 109:2	neighborhood s 21:13 80:17 89:12 neighbors 53:9 67:12,22 84:13 89:14 98:16 neighbors' 85:9 networks 96:6 news 132:9,10	55:2,14,20 107:7,9 <b>northwestern</b> 37:10 111:19 <b>note</b> 12:11 19:4,15 27:4 36:11 44:20 <b>noted</b> 19:6 48:21 <b>noticed</b> 56:15 61:18
miles 33:3 35:7 80:19 millennials 89:22 Milner 32:17 mind 22:13 38:17 42:2 48:12 51:10, 19 52:6 mindful 21:23 22:20 25:5,7 mine 44:23	nonth 11:12 116:18 nonths 36:12, 18 95:8 noral 90:14 norning 73:22 91:1 Morton 92:17,18 notion 5:2	narrow 111:21 nation 90:15 national 24:2 42:5,23 44:13 46:1 100:17 nationally 41:24 46:15 nationwide 109:2	s 21:13 80:17 89:12 neighbors 53:9 67:12,22 84:13 89:14 98:16 neighbors' 85:9 networks 96:6 news 132:9,10	107:7,9 northwestern 37:10 111:19 note 12:11 19:4,15 27:4 36:11 44:20 noted 19:6 48:21 noticed 56:15 61:18
35:7 80:19 millennials 89:22 Milner 32:17 mind 22:13 38:17 42:2 48:12 51:10, 19 52:6 mindful 21:23 22:20 25:5,7 mine 44:23	116:18 nonths 36:12, 18 95:8 noral 90:14 norning 73:22 91:1 Morton 92:17,18 notion 5:2	111:21 nation 90:15 national 24:2 42:5,23 44:13 46:1 100:17 nationally 41:24 46:15 nationwide 109:2	89:12 neighbors 53:9 67:12,22 84:13 89:14 98:16 neighbors' 85:9 networks 96:6 news 132:9,10	northwestern 37:10 111:19 note 12:11 19:4,15 27:4 36:11 44:20 noted 19:6 48:21 noticed 56:15 61:18
millennials 89:22 Milner 32:17 mind 22:13 38:17 42:2 48:12 51:10, 19 52:6 mindful 21:23 22:20 25:5,7 mine 44:23	months 36:12, 18 95:8 moral 90:14 morning 73:22 91:1 Morton 92:17,18 motion 5:2	nation 90:15 national 24:2 42:5,23 44:13 46:1 100:17 nationally 41:24 46:15 nationwide 109:2	neighbors 53:9 67:12,22 84:13 89:14 98:16 neighbors' 85:9 networks 96:6 news 132:9,10	37:10 111:19 note 12:11 19:4,15 27:4 36:11 44:20 noted 19:6 48:21 noticed 56:15 61:18
89:22  Milner 32:17  mind 22:13  38:17 42:2  48:12 51:10,  19 52:6  mindful 21:23  22:20 25:5,7  mine 44:23	18 95:8 noral 90:14 norning 73:22 91:1 Morton 92:17,18 notion 5:2	national 24:2 42:5,23 44:13 46:1 100:17 nationally 41:24 46:15 nationwide 109:2	53:9 67:12,22 84:13 89:14 98:16 neighbors' 85:9 networks 96:6 news 132:9,10	note 12:11 19:4,15 27:4 36:11 44:20 noted 19:6 48:21 noticed 56:15 61:18
Milner 32:17 mind 22:13 38:17 42:2 48:12 51:10, 19 52:6 mindful 21:23 22:20 25:5,7 mine 44:23	moral 90:14 morning 73:22 91:1 Morton 92:17,18 motion 5:2	42:5,23 44:13 46:1 100:17 <b>nationally</b> 41:24 46:15 <b>nationwide</b> 109:2	84:13 89:14 98:16 neighbors' 85:9 networks 96:6 news 132:9,10	19:4,15 27:4 36:11 44:20 <b>noted</b> 19:6 48:21 <b>noticed</b> 56:15 61:18
mind 22:13 38:17 42:2 48:12 51:10, 19 52:6 mindful 21:23 22:20 25:5,7 mine 44:23	73:22 91:1  Morton 92:17,18  notion 5:2	46:1 100:17 nationally 41:24 46:15 nationwide 109:2	98:16 neighbors' 85:9 networks 96:6 news 132:9,10	36:11 44:20 <b>noted</b> 19:6 48:21 <b>noticed</b> 56:15 61:18
mind 22:13 38:17 42:2 48:12 51:10, 19 52:6 mindful 21:23 22:20 25:5,7 mine 44:23	73:22 91:1 <b>Morton</b> 92:17,18 <b>notion</b> 5:2	nationally 41:24 46:15 nationwide 109:2	neighbors' 85:9 networks 96:6 news 132:9,10	noted 19:6 48:21 noticed 56:15 61:18
38:17 42:2 48:12 51:10, 19 52:6 <b>mindful</b> 21:23 22:20 25:5,7 <b>mine</b> 44:23	73:22 91:1 <b>Morton</b> 92:17,18 <b>notion</b> 5:2	41:24 46:15 <b>nationwide</b> 109:2	85:9 networks 96:6 news 132:9,10	48:21 <b>noticed</b> 56:15 61:18
48:12 51:10, 19 52:6 <b>mindful</b> 21:23 22:20 25:5,7 <b>mine</b> 44:23	92:17,18 <b>notion</b> 5:2	nationwide 109:2	networks 96:6 news 132:9,10	<b>noticed</b> 56:15 61:18
19 52:6 mindful 21:23 22:20 25:5,7 mine 44:23	92:17,18 <b>notion</b> 5:2	109:2	news 132:9,10	61:18
mindful 21:23 m 22:20 25:5,7 m mine 44:23	notion 5:2		,	
22:20 25:5,7 mine 44:23		natives 88:23	40.15	NID CC 040
mine 44:23	nouvating	natives 66.25	nice 40:15	<b>NRCC</b> 24:3
	111:6	nature 93:4	118:16	number 6:1
minimum n	notivation	<b>Nazi</b> 92:2	<b>night</b> 10:7	22:5 26:14
	23:4	nearby 16:14	nominally	43:8 50:13
	Mount 84:3	84:3	33:24	110:12,24
	nouth 120:22	necessarily	non-compact	121:12
	nove 5:4 13:5	15:21 26:12	111:3	124:10,12
**	52:18 80:4	27:16 28:1	non-majority	126:9
	90:9	38:14 39:19,	114:4	numbers
110 10	noved 51:9	20 43:1	non-partisan	35:15 75:1
		45:11,14	11:13	numerous
	noves 5:9	47:13,16	noncitizens	131:9
77.7	12:24	48:10 110:17	30:7	Nydia 54:6
11	noving 92:24	needed 17:15	<b>north</b> 17:8	
	107:18	96:1 116:11	33:3 43:17	O
	nulti-modal	needlessly	115:19	
	81:6	115:5	northeast	Oak 6:13 7:5
	nulticultural	negative 24:9,	15:5	9:12 80:11
mocked 29:24	90:5	11	northeastern	obvious 47:7

0	20.4.52.16	• • • • • •	4 50 6	107.24
Ocasio-cortez	29:4 52:16	origins 89:6,	parents 59:6	107:24 114:20
54:7	59:24 60:10	11	Park 18:4	· -
occupation	65:1 71:15	<b>Orland</b> 84:13	53:3 68:14	117:22 118:1,
46:12	75:14,15	89:10 90:6	73:18 80:11	11 128:4,5,7
occurred 7:16	76:20 77:16	93:7 104:9	84:13 89:9,10	132:19
occurring	82:2 95:1 100:1 104:21	Orleans	90:7 99:9	parties 42:24
48:22		102:12	<b>part</b> 10:9 17:8	partisan
October 6:6	106:9,12 108:10	other's 72:21	33:7 43:16	13:10 14:2,20
<b>odd</b> 121:5	112:11 117:5	74:5	51:23 58:10	22:4 47:3
130:22	112:11 117:3	outlets 131:4	59:16 68:1,11	48:11 109:8,
offended	125:9	outlined 50:11	85:19 92:3,	14 131:22
72:17		outrage 24:20	19,20 94:2	partisanships
offer 98:15,	opposition	outreach	99:5,9 105:11	49:8
19,20	17:20	98:13	108:18 110:2	<b>parts</b> 15:3
offering 98:13	opted 44:7	outset 66:20	112:17 122:8,	33:24 41:6
offers 28:4	orange 68:20	overextend	22 124:4,21	84:18 85:5
office 7:15	73:19	22:3	131:16	92:20,24
36:15,23	order 3:3	overextending	participant	100:16
131:6,7,8,24	54:11 76:16	13:8	58:17 127:12,	101:15 102:2
133:24	82:11 116:12	overhaul	17	party 14:8
officer 53:6	ordinary	55:20	participants	44:24 96:23
oftentimes	126:23	overlap 75:2	50:8 118:15	passed 44:3
30:3	<b>Oregon</b> 44:12	-	133:13	56:24 89:21
Ohio 13:21	organization	<b>overlay</b> 17:1 114:8	participate	<b>passes</b> 123:8
	10:5 67:7,9,		49:17 62:12	passing 84:23
old-fashion	11,17 78:17	overperform	64:22,23 65:3	passion 89:19
89:19	108:24	39:6	118:7,13	passionate
onward 89:22	organizations	override	122:7 126:13	10:11 88:4
open 24:7	68:2,3	67:15	127:1 128:12,	passionately
71:14	organize	owner 71:7	21,23	95:18
opening 46:10	70:13,14		participated	past 69:21,22
operating	organizer	P	118:4	79:20 95:8
53:6 79:23	11:2		participating	102:4
operative	<b>origin</b> 106:18	paid 23:7	115:3 117:23	path 112:1
22:14 46:13	original	<b>pain</b> 64:9	121:19 127:6,	patience
opinion 64:5	121:10	<b>Palos</b> 89:10	9,18,20,22	116:9
75:6	originally	104:9	128:15 129:2	pay 18:8
opportunities	10:5,18 14:3	pandemic	131:21	38:23 39:1
29:10 43:9	68:1 82:18	55:6	132:21	
opportunity	86:23	Pandora's	participation	<b>paying</b> 69:16
9:22 28:5,22		24:14	66:14 90:10	Payment 61:8

people 23:15	Peru-ottawa	6,14 125:1,16	20:22,24	potential 83:7
24:22 25:13	18:2 20:11	127:5 128:22	21:1,5,6,9	potential 63.7
33:13 37:16	<b>PGP</b> 109:6	129:4 130:2,	26:3,5 27:2,4,	-
38:11,14,18,	PGP's 109:10	19 132:24	7,8 29:19	poverty 53:4
19,21 41:12	110:2	133:10	30:3,23,24	power 16:2
51:1 54:9,23		plurality	31:1 46:18	34:20 45:1,2
62:5,11,15	photograph	27:21 29:7	49:21 50:24	70:2 115:6
70:2 77:10,11	4:24 32:17	31:19	51:2,7,9	Practical
85:1 88:4	phrase 35:18	pockets 37:14	53:20 55:2,16	68:18
89:2,13 90:1,	<b>piece</b> 54:12	107:8	60:15 61:9	practicality
12 93:8	122:2		62:3 64:17	26:9
99:17,18,23	<b>pit</b> 16:10	<b>point</b> 27:17 42:6 43:14	65:2,9,16	practice 99:3
101:16	111:10		66:6 72:4,6	pre-signed-in
102:18,23	place 3:11	48:7 77:19	73:10,12	108:7
103:21	84:12 102:20	97:17 100:24	74:19 81:17	precinct 12:19
104:11 109:2	106:4 122:3	101:12,20	105:6,8	precincts
114:6 115:4	129:1 131:20	points 39:10	106:16,17	12:17 19:16
126:7	Plaines 6:7	100:7 106:20	107:3 110:20,	preferable
Peoria 10:23	<b>plan</b> 7:23 12:7	poised 34:22	22 112:3	116:19
36:12 111:22	22:8	polarization	113:2,22,23	preferred
percent 20:21	<b>planet</b> 102:24	23:24 42:15,	114:1,17	11:20
21:2,4,7 26:5	planning	23 46:17	115:23	prepared
27:2,5,9 28:8	57:15	48:22 111:13	population-	133:9
34:6 72:5	<b>plant</b> 80:9	<b>police</b> 60:3,4	wise 32:5	preselect
81:18 105:8	platform	policies 46:18	populations	108:6
106:16 112:3,	129:21	policy 54:3	34:8,13 35:16	
5,6 113:20,22		<b>Polish</b> 15:10	54:19 80:15	<b>presence</b> 15:7
114:2	playground	political 10:20	114:12	39:11,15
percentage	46:20	25:12 28:12	portal 11:21	<b>present</b> 3:19,
54:17	playing 22:10	31:12 61:21	19:5 75:8,12	21 4:1,3,18,
	pleasure 11:4	62:1 99:15	117:2 122:14	22 37:4 77:1
perfect 3:17	<b>plight</b> 103:17	politicians	126:17 129:7,	99:5 100:11
39:20 114:7,8	Plummer	122:18	8,18,20	presentations
perfecting	3:18,19 40:4,	<b>politics</b> 46:17	portion 106:1	9:2
12:15	5,14 41:21	105:19	-	presented
<b>person</b> 8:7	42:4 44:17	pollution	<b>position</b> 59:19	110:14
14:21 32:6	45:16 49:9,11	79:17 83:4	possibly 96:21	presenting
33:12 100:24	118:18,19		114:3	18:19
101:12 130:4	119:9,11	populated	posted 5:15,	presently
personal 73:2	120:11	31:8 34:2	20 6:2 19:10,	40:12 100:18,
perspective	121:21	population	13	19
107:7 126:19	122:17 123:3,	15:7 17:10	posting 5:16	

1	-	ı		I
preserve 16:6	112:14	professor 94:4	proposals	122:14
preserves	114:16	Project	5:18 6:5	124:15 125:4,
87:24 88:19	problem	108:23	16:20 58:2	10 129:6
president	132:5	Project's	120:13	publicize
123:9 124:8,	problematic	108:20	122:10,13	113:4
10 131:6	44:14	projected	125:11	published
press 75:18,21	problems	109:18	propose 18:22	75:18 98:1
131:18	18:17 19:6	projects	proposed	Puerto 6:18
<b>pretty</b> 133:16	61:1,2 69:12	109:22	13:21 14:14	52:24 53:6,24
preventing	proceed 3:16	prologue	16:8 19:1	54:3,9,15
12:1	119:9	123:8	20:16,18	73:4
previous	proceedings	prominent	22:5,13,22	<b>pull</b> 20:18
54:18 56:16	4:24 32:16,17	28:11,13	26:10 57:19	26:20
59:19 64:4	process 11:9	promising	58:6 60:11	pulling 62:19
65:5 75:10	12:21 13:1	24:16	69:21 70:1	<b>pulls</b> 34:19
81:16 118:21	30:11 31:12	promote	79:24 80:7,13	purpose 6:7
previously	38:16 41:20	15:20 87:3	84:5 95:20	purposes
113:10	58:10,17	properly	99:19 100:4	21:19 32:9
125:12	85:10,12	76:16	106:10 111:24	pursuing
price 18:8	100:2 109:3	proponent		133:11
primaries	115:3 116:8	31:10	<b>prosperity</b> 79:16	purview 132:3
16:3	117:23 118:4,	proposal 5:15		<b>push</b> 13:5
primarily	5,13 119:16	11:15,20	<b>Protection</b> 61:8	117:24
32:2 33:23	122:4,7,9,22,	13:12 16:8,9,		pushing 23:16
74:7	23 123:15,19	24 19:10,12,	<b>proud</b> 87:14	56:4
primary	124:5,21,22	21 21:3 25:4	<b>provide</b> 29:9	put 12:19,20
14:22 23:4	125:4,6,8,13	40:18 44:5,18	31:17 44:18	16:24 22:8
49:5 105:21,	126:10,11,12	48:6,19	53:13 103:6	27:19 44:7
22	127:1,12,23	49:16,22	108:21 109:2, 17	47:7 48:19
Princeton	128:5,11,14, 19,24 130:5,	50:10,16		57:23 58:3
108:19,23,24	19,24 130:5, 24 131:22	52:14 73:13	<b>providing</b> 13:18 121:16	75:7 77:18
principles	132:20 133:7	82:6 106:21		120:22
67:18	produces	107:17	<b>proximity</b>	125:19
<b>prior</b> 7:14	produces 133:7	108:22	87:14 114:10	putting 51:22
56:24 57:1		110:13	psychological	52:3 58:8
71:22 113:3	producing	111:10	64:8	125:18
prioritize	128:8,16	119:19,22,24	<b>public</b> 5:19	
53:23 113:4,	<b>product</b> 128:8 133:6	122:1 124:18,	6:8 75:7	Q
11		19 127:24	81:24 119:3,	
prioritized	<b>professional</b> 11:16,18	128:16	7,21 120:4,15 121:17	<b>Quad</b> 111:21
_	11.10,18	129:11	121.1/	

quantifiable	quickly 32:21	Raoul 45:6	recommendati	reform 54:2
109:6	- · ·		ons 48:4 55:21	69:10 73:3
quantitative	Quigley's 17:12	ratcheting 43:7	record 14:1	region 14:10
110:6			24:4 66:19	20:2 28:12
	Quirk 86:12,	rates 16:1	78:16 131:14,	29:2 40:23
<b>question</b> 7:21	13,15,16	21:15	19	43:22 101:10
26:6 31:21	91:5,10,15	rationale		103:21
39:24 45:16,	96:2	33:10 113:7	recreating	
19 47:6,10	<b>quo</b> 106:14	re-hooked	14:15	regional 23:24
49:10,19	quorum 4:20,	3:22	recreation	42:8
50:20 56:11	22	<b>reach</b> 23:11	88:17	regions 100:3
71:24 72:2	quote/unquote	35:11 89:8	red 23:5	regressing
73:7 74:1,13	51:3	115:9	redistricting	107:18
81:15 82:16		reaching 35:9	3:2 5:16,17	relates 128:8
85:19 90:23	R	99:22	10:12 11:17,	relationship
91:6,7 94:2		reaction 78:2	22 38:12	65:17
105:4,9 115:13	r-o-w-s-k-y	read 90:24	43:4,13 53:14	relative 39:6
116:23	78:22	ready 55:18	66:8 85:10,11	release 119:20
118:21	race 35:3 43:6	77:22	95:10 97:20	released 6:5
118:21	44:10,15,21	real 9:21	99:11 109:3	113:15
21 125:24	92:10	104:12	113:16	119:22 120:1
129:6	races 24:13	reality 64:16	123:24	religion
	racial 53:13	realized 88:2	130:23 131:1	92:10,11
questioned	112:10	89:4,14	Redistrictingc	remain 20:11
127:15,16	rail 100:14	,	ommittee@	79:4 99:9
questions 8:2,	railroad 79:21	reason 8:22	senatedem.	100:6 104:16
5,6,13,24 9:6	raise 70:24	21:8 22:21	ilga.gov.	114:22
25:19 26:2	110:24 111:5	56:20,22	129:23 130:1	remains
38:7 50:3,7,9,	raised 7:12	reasons 87:19	redraw 53:17	121:22
13 57:6 66:12	24:5 53:2	received 5:18,	reduced	
71:15 78:4	56:10 59:1	24 19:10	112:24	remarks
83:12 86:6	94:7 104:24	109:13	reducing	55:17
93:23 98:5,8	118:18	recent 15:20	106:4	remember
104:21	raising 24:3	17:20	reelected	66:17
110:24 111:6	_	recently 71:21	39:10	remind 66:16
116:1 118:17,	<b>Raja</b> 11:1	73:23	reflect 13:16	122:12
23 119:4,6	17:13	recognizable	reflected	<b>Reock</b> 110:7
120:18	RANDOZZO	70:9	126:7	repeat 81:17
123:17	3:5,10,17,20,	recognize	reflective	replaced 84:9
128:14	24 4:2,4,8,10,	74:12	15:22	replacing 3:13
quick 9:21	12,14,16,19	recognized		reported
27:14 97:21	<b>range</b> 69:11	32:21 50:6	reflects 19:22	131:3 132:9
105:9			40:22	
1	l	<u>'</u>	ı	

1	<b>5</b> 4 40 5	. –	4445	D 1 1-10
reporter 5:5,	7:1 10:3	respect 7:22	114:15	<b>Rush</b> 17:19
9,12 66:20	13:20 20:6	47:11	<b>River</b> 102:5	18:14 20:4
reporters	67:1 78:18,23	respectfully	111:20	ruthlessly
131:4	represents	78:1	Riverdale	96:18
represent	20:4,9 41:17	respond 77:22	86:24 87:8,9	<b>Ryan</b> 100:13
28:17 58:22	90:4	94:19	<b>roads</b> 101:5	
65:15 67:7	reps 83:8	response	<b>Robin</b> 18:1	$\mathbf{S}$
69:9 83:9	Republican	120:21,23	20:8	
Representable	14:5,10 22:8	122:5 127:8	Rockford	S-C-H-I-B
109:5	42:12,21	responsibility	111:16,19	78:21
representation	96:22 109:20	87:3	112:20,21,22,	sacrifices
14:5 21:24	128:11,20	restate 105:4	23	18:20 22:16
23:14 26:18	Republicans	restore 97:5	role 60:2	<b>safe</b> 58:11
29:10 31:11	22:7 23:12	restricted	<b>roll</b> 3:4,16	<b>sake</b> 40:16
60:21 66:9	49:3 122:18	9:23	<b>room</b> 17:18	<b>salt</b> 39:17
73:7,15 84:17	request 32:15	result 65:23	18:8,20,23	scatter 37:9
85:8 103:24	requirements	results 39:5	22:17 56:14,	scenarios
106:15 107:2	113:2	retail 80:3	21	45:10
115:7	requires	retain 106:7,	roughly 79:12	Schakowsky's
representation	122:7	22	114:2	17:12
<b>s</b> 18:19	rescheduled	retention	<b>Round</b> 57:22	scheme 16:22
representative	121:14	24:18	<b>Route</b> 88:19	27:20 31:5,16
25:9 27:23	reside 83:24	retired 84:1	ruled 45:14	schemes 30:14
28:23 35:12,	resident 40:12		rules 31:18	Schibrowsky
23 37:4 38:23	71:8 78:24	review 75:14,		6:21 78:14,
39:16 51:22	83:22 91:22	15 76:15	run 22:15,19	19,20,21
132:6,13	95:4,6 104:12	108:19 109:7	25:11,13	81:12,21
representative	residents	116:12,14,16 121:17	28:17 43:20 51:15	82:4,21 83:15
<b>s</b> 11:6 13:19	38:21 47:24			96:2
18:7,9 51:15	48:24 79:19,	reviewed	running 84:22	Schneider's
81:4 101:17	20 83:7 92:4	75:24	96:23	17:11
represented	94:6 95:16	revolve 80:24	runs 111:18,	School 6:14
23:2 30:10	98:11 104:2	<b>Rican</b> 6:18	20	7:6 9:13
33:2 35:16	118:2 132:19	52:24 53:6	rural 17:22	schools 69:6
36:3 49:7	resolve 46:14,	54:4,15	20:10 33:8,24	85:2 93:15
51:14 62:10	16	<b>Rico</b> 53:24	37:8,13 38:3,	science 10:20
63:23 64:20	Resource 6:19	54:9 73:4	21,24 39:11,	
66:7 71:3	57:14	<b>Rights</b> 16:18	15,18 42:11	scored 109:11
79:9 104:2,5	resources	20:17 21:19	84:18 90:7 92:24 96:13	110:5,9
representing	62:20 96:1	30:5 112:8	92:24 90:13 111:11	scores 110:7
6:14,22,23,24	101:1 109:2,4	113:12	111.11	
	,-			

screen 9:22	128:17 132:1	4,5,20,21,22,	services 60:7	<b>shut</b> 65:3
16:21	Senate's	23 117:3,6,	serving 11:5	shy 113:20
Sean 17:13	131:6	16,18 118:18,	session 58:12	•
season 36:21		19 119:9,11		side 21:13
	<b>Senator</b> 3:6,	120:11	set 111:1	53:3,10,14,15
seat 14:7 26:3	10,11,13,14,	121:13,21,24	126:17	54:21 55:3,
28:5 29:7	15,17,18,19,	122:5,17	shakier 27:12	14,21 67:9 68:12 69:1,2
31:20 50:23	20,21,24 4:1,	123:1,3,5,6,	<b>shaky</b> 27:14	73:20 74:4
seated 14:7	2,3,4,6,8,9,	14 124:6,11	<b>shape</b> 85:14	84:1,11,16
seats 14:10	10,11,12,13,	125:1,7,16	share 9:22	85:2,13 87:7,
16:7 18:24	14,15,16,19 5:2,3,8,9 7:7,	126:8 127:5	16:21 73:17	11,18 88:9,
22:5 23:10	11,12,17,20,	128:2,22	84:19 101:22	10,23 89:12
29:8 58:4	24 8:4,9,15,	129:3,4,5	103:17	92:7 95:5
109:19,20	18,22 9:4,8	130:2,10,17,	shared 75:20	97:2 104:3,14
second-highest	25:20,21 26:2	19 132:11,21,	103:12	107:8 115:18,
29:18	28:14 29:12	24 133:2,9,10	she'll 3:22	19 122:11,16
second-most	31:21 32:1,	Senators	sheer 111:8	126:22 127:2
105:17	10,12,18,19,	52:23	<b>shift</b> 130:14	siders 68:13
seconds 3:23	20 35:2,21	sends 18:15	shifting 49:20,	
secret 131:5	36:14 38:3	sense 13:3,4,6,	21	signed 6:12
Secretary	39:23 40:1,4,	10,19,23	shipping	significant
131:18	5,14 41:14,21	14:2,11,13	79:18	17:17 23:7
section 110:4	42:4 44:17	17:3 18:16	shopping 93:7	31:4 34:7,12
secure 95:24	45:16 49:9,11	20:17 26:12	11 0	39:15 53:19
seeking 4:23	50:3 52:21	28:24 29:1	shore 14:9	109:17
57:10 83:19	56:9,11 57:3,	30:6 39:22	<b>short</b> 112:9	114:11 122:13 132:4
119:3,7 120:8	6 67:4 71:24	47:22 51:12,	113:14	
seeks 109:1	72:1 73:8	19 52:2,8	<b>show</b> 97:10	silence 60:13,
segment 85:6	74:11 75:4,11	61:22 73:8	98:13 115:10	17 70:1
	76:2,3,5,7,8,	74:7,20 86:1,	129:15	silencing
segregated 54:19	9,22 78:3,5	3 89:5,10	131:13	71:13
	81:13,14,23	separate 83:5	showcasing	similar 12:7
Self-	82:16 83:10,	separating	98:18	19:19 37:22
determination	13 85:17,18	35:13	showed 39:5	66:1,2 80:17
54:1,5	86:5,7 90:22	serve 60:6	121:23	85:20
sell 25:2	91:6,7,13		showing 95:12	similarities
Senate 3:2	93:12,24	served 84:1	98:18	60:24
5:15,20 10:24	94:24 98:8	95:9 99:18	<b>shown</b> 89:18	<b>simple</b> 74:13
11:3,12 12:3	104:24 105:2	serves 57:21	<b>shows</b> 43:21	<b>simply</b> 84:6
19:5,12 44:6	106:8,11,24	<b>service</b> 59:14	127:10	87:4 103:10
46:5 113:16	107:19,21	73:20 88:5	shunned	104:12
123:9 124:8,9	115:12 116:3,		114:24	111:17

112:18	sitting 127:6	88:12	128:23	Springfield
Sims 3:1,8,12	situation	southern	specifically	10:10 34:10
4:17,18,21	34:21 35:5	30:19 34:14	15:23 37:1	Springs 15:14
5:8 7:10,17,	45:6 64:13	89:9 95:4	53:15 55:7	18:11
24 8:9,18 9:4,	sizes 110:20	112:17	124:15	square 15:6
10,24 19:9	<b>slight</b> 19:16	southland	speculate	21:9 52:4
25:17 32:12	slowdown	18:5 20:12	28:19	99:8 114:7
39:23 40:3,6	71:20	southwest	<b>spell</b> 66:18	<b>St</b> 84:3
48:2 49:9,12	small 37:14	20:5 21:13	78:16	stability
52:12,17 56:8	54:17,20	67:9 68:11,	spelling 66:22	13:15,18
57:5 58:14	61:5,14 71:7	12,14,21,22	spend 23:17	stable 13:16,
66:10 67:5	103:14 112:4	69:1,2 73:20	-	23 25:12
71:17 76:3,8		74:4 79:11	spent 12:13	
78:5,13 81:11	social 59:10,	83:24 84:11,	49:15 50:15	stakes 10:22
83:13 85:16	11,12 60:3	16 85:2,13	59:3 69:21	<b>stand</b> 53:16
86:7 91:4,14	68:16 85:3	87:7,18 88:9,	77:14 87:15	standard 30:4
93:17,20,23	society 89:3	14,23 89:12	93:6 125:18	110:5
94:4,13,21	socio-	92:7 97:2	spiral 24:23	standards
95:1 98:6	economically	104:3,14	<b>spirit</b> 19:1,19,	110:22
104:23	96:10	·	21	<b>stands</b> 67:14
107:21 108:5,	socioeconomic	southwestern	<b>split</b> 14:3	100:9 105:16
9 116:2,21	<b>ally</b> 97:6	86:18	21:10 27:15	<b>start</b> 9:18
117:16	software	<b>Sox</b> 93:5	41:1 51:19	27:6,11 30:22
118:20 119:1,	129:12	soybean 81:6	80:1 81:4	55:24 89:24
12,23 121:12,	<b>solicit</b> 115:21	<b>space</b> 99:14	101:15	103:7
24 123:1,4,8	solving 69:12	Spanish 59:8	110:12,19,24	started 62:24
124:6 125:7	someplace	sparsely 34:1	115:5	starting 62:15
126:8 127:22	56:15	speak 39:18	<b>splits</b> 110:14	97:16 100:11
129:3,5		60:10 71:16	111:15	
130:10,16	son 103:15	72:13 92:4	splitting 85:5	starts 27:9,14
132:5,11	sort 13:18	120:1 132:12	110:16	state 10:9
133:2	28:23 43:5	speaker 54:18	<b>spoke</b> 43:12	11:3,5 12:17,
sincere 115:8	77:2	70:5 81:16	sponsor 7:22	24 24:8,9,12,
	<b>sounds</b> 35:14	118:22 131:6	-	22 25:11 29:2
single 12:19	74:24	Speaker's	8:6 123:9,16 125:2	31:7 34:17
36:24 127:14,	<b>south</b> 15:11	7:15		41:18 50:22
15	33:4 86:24		sponsored	54:11 55:4
sir 3:11 4:20	87:10,11	<b>speaking</b> 53:8	124:8	59:10 62:5
9:19 52:20	88:1,10 95:5	59:8 60:5	sponsoring	66:17 67:1,13
91:19 94:23	104:7 111:18	67:22 124:17	122:24 124:3	78:15 80:14
98:22 119:10	115:18	specialist 74:2	spread 87:24	84:19 85:6
sit 52:7 92:16	south-moving	specific 37:11	<b>spring</b> 115:1	86:4 97:20
102:3	3	100:3 125:23		101:17
				<u> </u>

		ı		
105:14,18	strength	sub-circuits	suffered 61:11	
114:9 116:16	90:11 103:13	8:17,24 9:3	suffering	T
126:18,24	strengthens	119:17,20	60:16 64:10	4.1.63
127:24	34:19,20	submissions	<b>summer</b> 115:1	tab 6:3
state's 72:6	strengths	75:5,7 82:1	sums 24:4	tail 105:3
state-wide	66:2,3	<b>submit</b> 11:20	Sundays 22:9	takes 105:24
107:6	stretch 30:17	19:4 117:11	<b>super</b> 46:7,8	122:3
statement	80:21	129:8,19,22	supervisor	taking 30:16
46:11 77:18	stretches	submittal	60:4	56:7 115:10
states 43:3	80:14	127:19	support 26:6,	124:14,19
62:18 109:12	stretching	submitted	8 72:7,21	129:1 131:20
statewide	20:9 30:19	6:5,14,16	74:15 81:19	talk 12:8 92:5
52:10	111:16	9:17 11:23	85:22 91:8,10	122:21
statistics	strikes 69:24	19:2 75:12	93:15 96:23	talked 49:20
103:3 107:16	<b>strip</b> 111:21	97:22 117:1	97:14 100:23	63:1 82:17
<b>status</b> 54:8,10	strive 85:4	122:14 127:11	105:9 107:11	106:24 117:8 121:16 125:8
106:13	strong 15:7	127:11	supportive	130:20
<b>stay</b> 56:21	39:11 64:20		75:3	
58:5	82:19,23	submitting 12:1	supposedly	<b>talking</b> 70:11 79:20 105:18,
<b>step</b> 70:18	90:14 96:7,		124:4	79:20 105:18, 20
Stevenson	11,21 97:5	subsides 81:1	Supremacist	talks 72:18
88:20	104:10	substitution	92:2	
Stickney	106:14 112:2	3:6	surely 94:22	Tammy 10:23
104:8	113:13	<b>suburb</b> 86:18,	surprised	task 99:11
stifled 115:7	stronger	24	84:8	<b>tasked</b> 130:23
stockyards	105:22	suburban	surprising	taught 92:17
80:9	stronghold	33:23 37:6,9	114:21	<b>Tax</b> 24:17
<b>stop</b> 64:12	104:11	39:14 42:10	suspect 45:4	<b>taxes</b> 55:1
73:21	strove 68:6	44:1,4 90:8	sustainable	<b>team</b> 54:3
<b>store</b> 80:5	struck 70:5	suburbs 15:13	22:15,19	94:10 130:14
stores 80:6	92:22	18:10 20:6 48:20,21	swaths 113:1	technical
story 132:23	structure	53:10,15	sympathetic	11:23 12:9
133:5,12	101:4	54:21 55:3	71:23	130:8
straight 29:14	struggle 79:17	68:14 79:11	<b>system</b> 14:15	<b>ten</b> 68:1
111:17	<b>stuck</b> 65:7	80:18 84:14	29:8 61:24	<b>tending</b> 42:18,
strange 70:10	88:4	85:1 87:7	62:1 63:5	20
73:11	students	89:9 104:4,8	65:11 85:1	tensions 43:7
strategy 96:19	93:14	107:9		46:14 47:2
Stream 5:1	<b>study</b> 82:3	successful		<b>term</b> 64:7,8
2		95:11		
		ı	<u> </u>	

1			I	
terms 10:21	124:16	<b>tie</b> 96:6	94:15 95:3	<b>tracks</b> 92:16
13:7,8,9	125:21 126:5	<b>tied</b> 97:11	98:9 99:7,21	traditional
17:10,15	<b>Texas</b> 44:12	ties 103:23	100:5,8	69:17
18:18 20:21	109:12	time 9:23 11:8	107:24	traffic 71:20
26:9 30:8,11	<b>theme</b> 96:8	25:15 31:20	108:11,18	79:17
31:4 34:3	<b>theory</b> 23:11	35:1 36:14	110:3 117:15	train 87:15,16
37:18,21	27:15 30:1	37:1 40:16	118:11	88:14 90:1
41:14,18 50:3	31:2 45:8	44:3 47:7,12	121:15	92:15 102:16
51:7,14 52:9	128:22	49:15,18	124:14,20	<b>trains</b> 68:19
72:19 74:18	<b>thin</b> 113:1	50:15 51:17	125:17	71:20 73:21
75:2,23 88:16	133:22	56:7 58:9,11	132:16	trajectory
testament	thing 12:11	59:4 60:1	today's 4:24	88:12
31:14 104:20	42:1,2,13	61:18 63:7,12	5:10,12 6:4	transcribe
testified 29:23	44:11 45:3	69:22 74:6,10	32:16,17	5:10,12
43:12	50:20 65:13	76:15 77:1,	66:21 114:20	transcribed
testifier	99:20	10,15,22	120:4,6	5:5
124:16,17	things 38:10	78:12 86:21	<b>told</b> 9:1	
testifiers	44:13 50:17	88:17 93:6	123:20	transcribing
86:13 108:7	61:3 63:15,	97:14 98:4	ton 14:1	66:21
testify 5:22	16,21 65:22	99:24 111:12	tone 50:7	transcript 5:6
6:12 8:11,21	68:18 77:12	115:24	tool 109:4	transparency
25:23 56:17	88:7 89:15	116:11,13	top 17:2	125:8 131:22
57:11 58:10,	90:8,21 92:13	117:4,10,15,	topic 120:9	transparent
16 76:17,21	101:9	19 118:23	-	33:12 123:19
83:20 87:4	thinking	120:15 121:5	total 27:1 30:3	125:4,14
119:4 120:8	12:15	125:18	113:21 114:1	131:1
testifying		times 36:16	totally 38:5	transportation
57:15 79:3	thought 12:20	126:9 131:9	touch 13:11	35:8 68:17
86:22 95:3	125:19,20	<b>timing</b> 121:5	touched	69:14 84:21
testimony 6:3,	thoughtful	<b>Tinley</b> 89:9	101:24	88:15 92:14
8 7:19 10:10	13:1	today 6:12	119:13	94:8 96:5
11:15 41:21	thoughts 25:4	8:15 10:16	touching	100:10 101:8,
56:18 57:8	107:7	13:12 14:13	54:12	13,15 102:16
66:15,18	thousands	25:5,15,23	tough 99:20	103:22
76:11 77:2	69:8	39:8 49:17	towns 112:16	traveling 47:8
78:8 83:11,17	threshold	50:8 53:8,16	township	87:16
86:10 91:15	113:21,23	54:13 58:16	41:3,6	travels 58:11
93:21 94:2,15	<b>thumbs</b> 94:1	66:14 71:16,	townships	tremendous
98:9 108:2,	Thursday	22 75:22	41:5 104:9	121:4 125:18
11,18 113:3	120:16 121:2,	76:11 78:11,	track 53:1	trend 42:19,
11,18 113.3	23 133:18	23 79:3 83:18	58:5	20
110.0 11/.21		23 17.3 03.10	50.5	

1				1
trending 49:1	understanding	<b>upset</b> 18:13	76:3,8 78:5,	64:20 71:1
trends 49:4	16:4 33:9	24:23	13 81:11	118:12
105:23	76:19 119:15	<b>urban</b> 33:23	83:13 85:16	<b>voices</b> 41:19
Trevor 6:12	understood	37:6,9,14	86:7 91:4,14	53:21 63:20
7:4 9:11	36:8 40:11	39:1,14 42:9	93:17,20,23	65:4 71:5,13
troubling	62:22 65:20,	111:11	94:4,13,21	72:22 73:14
111:9	21	112:19	98:6 104:23	74:5 85:10
truck 100:14	<b>unfair</b> 60:11	<b>urge</b> 79:3	107:21 108:5,	118:2,13
trucking	83:6,7	utilitarian	9 116:2,21	127:1 132:17,
84:23 102:16	unified 21:11	38:18	117:16 119:1,	18
true 124:9	68:12	utilize 129:8	23 121:12,24	<b>vote</b> 7:23 30:7
131:12	<b>unify</b> 69:19		123:1,4,8	62:1 63:3,6,
<b>Trump</b> 39:9	unifying	$oldsymbol{ ext{V}}$	124:6 125:7	11 64:18,22
turf 27:12	67:17		126:8 127:22	67:20 114:15
turn 14:2	unincorporate	vague 77:9	129:3,5 130:16	116:13
turnout 15:24	<b>d</b> 86:17	valid 36:1	130.10	120:14 125:2
27:11	<b>union</b> 69:16	values 15:21	Vice-	<b>voted</b> 39:9
turns 111:19	82:19,23	60:24 103:11	chairman	<b>voter</b> 24:19
two-tenths	unique 60:2	<b>VAP</b> 112:4,5,	132:5	voters 16:3
113:20	103:16	6	video 4:24	38:24 39:2
two-thirds	unite 35:15	vehicle 101:4		44:19 47:1
51:5	92:13	Velazquez	<b>videotape</b> 32:16	51:23 52:3
typically	united 41:1	54:6	view 42:1	60:12 64:5
123:6,14	60:20 92:9	veritable	47:17 61:1	112:19
typified 70:8	96:11 103:3	103:10	83:5 119:21	115:21
72:18	<b>unites</b> 93:11	versus 77:6	views 47:14	voters' 30:12
72.10	uniting 20:11	vibrant 87:22	Villa 28:14	votes 74:8
	34:18 35:2,4	Vice 123:20,		124:14,20
U	37:8	23	villages 80:20	<b>voting</b> 12:17
<b>U.S.</b> 13:23	University	Vice-chair	visit 36:24	16:2,14,18
uncompetitive	10:19 59:10	3:1,8,12 4:17,	<b>visiting</b> 36:19	20:17 21:19 30:5 34:20
109:19.20	84:3 109:1	18,21 5:8	37:5	62:23 63:10,
underrepresen	unrecognizabl	7:10,17,24	vital 102:8	13 105:23
ted 31:12	<b>e</b> 84:10	8:9,18 9:4,10,	106:22	112:7 113:11
underserved	unveiled	24 19:9 25:17	vitality 89:18	115:6
34:17	53:12	32:12 39:23	<b>vitally</b> 101:14	voting-age
understand	<b>upfront</b> 33:13	40:3 48:2	<b>vocal</b> 17:20	20:22,24
50:10 70:20	upgrading	49:9,12	<b>voice</b> 43:15	21:5,6 27:3,8
80:23 90:20	101:4	52:12,17 56:8	53:22 54:22	30:23,24 31:1
114:6 117:6	<b>UPS</b> 88:21	57:5 58:14	55:9,19 56:3	106:17 112:3
		66:10 71:17	60:14 63:22	
I				

113:23	website 5:21	wider 87:23	working-class	
114:17	6:2 11:22	willingness	15:4,11 16:13	$\overline{\mathbf{z}}$
115:22	19:11 129:16,	116:9	37:14 79:10	
voting-eligible	17 130:10,12	winners	96:11 97:5	<b>zoom</b> 77:10
21:1 27:7	websites 5:16	38:15,19	104:11	
VRA 28:7	113:17	witnesses 7:9,	works 59:15	
	week 29:23	19 8:3,11,12,	76:24 100:19	
	58:13 76:14	14,16,19,20,	<b>world</b> 42:17	
	120:14	23 9:6 66:16	76:24	
wait 120:24	weekend	125:17 126:2,	worried 20:15	
walk 46:22	69:22 77:15	6 127:16	worry 19:11	
walked 40:8	130:11	131:13	107:17	
wanted 13:11	weeks 116:18	witnesses' 8:4	worth 25:6	
19:4 87:4	weighted	<b>won</b> 96:21	39:17 104:9	
128:23	105:20	wonderful	<b>wrap</b> 108:11	
wanting 36:24	well-	19:14 93:10	wrong 90:17	
37:23 62:12	connected	words 120:22	8	
War 43:5	84:24	work 11:10	X	
ward 67:8	well-served	31:6,18 36:23		
68:11 72:18,	81:3	59:3,11,13	Xavier 84:3	
19 93:1,2,3	west 17:8 28:9	60:3 73:21	<b>XYZ</b> 89:22	
95:16 104:13	47:21 53:3	78:9 86:2		
wards 72:16	59:21 60:20	87:17 89:19	Y	
73:14	66:4 88:1	91:2 92:21		
Washington	111:19	103:7,19	<b>yards</b> 68:13	
71:1 100:23	western 15:13	118:6 126:6	100:14,15	
101:1	18:10 33:7	workable	102:17	
water 69:4	41:7 48:20	26:12	year 23:23	
waterways	87:20 111:21	<b>worked</b> 10:23	24:17,19	
88:14	<b>WGEM</b> 32:15	59:2,20 70:2	79:20	
Waukegan	<b>white</b> 15:9,11	93:14	years 12:14	
57:23 112:21,	16:3 18:10	worker 59:12	15:20 43:19,	
22	27:21,23	working	23 79:8 84:4	
Wayne 41:6	37:14 47:24	12:14 33:16	87:5 91:24	
ways 41:2	48:24 92:2,12	36:12 59:17,	101:24	
73:9 92:10	96:13 112:3	22 61:19	<b>young</b> 62:24	
wealth 55:1	113:18,21	64:15 65:12	younger 65:10	
wealthier	114:2,4	71:11 74:4	<b>youth</b> 62:14	
15:12 47:23	<b>widely</b> 75:21	89:16 90:13		
web 19:5	131:3	130:10		
17.5				